EXHIBIT 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK				P
HAPAG-LLOYD AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, Plaintiff, Case No. 14-cv-9949 (VEC U.S. OIL TRADING LLC, O.W. BUNKER GERMANY GMBH, O.W. BUNKER & TRADING A/S, ING BANK N.V., CREDIT AGRICOLE S.A., Defendants. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAPAG-LLOYD AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, Plaintiff, Case No. 14-cv-10027 (VEC O'ROURKE MARINE SERVICES, L.P., L.L.P., O.W. BUNKER GERMANY GMBH, O.W. BUNKER USA, INC., ING BANK N.V., Defendants. January 19, 2016 10:05 a.m. DEPOSITION OF RULE 30(b)(6) WITNESS				
Case No. 14-cv-9949 (VEC U.S. OIL TRADING LLC, O.W. BUNKER GERMANY GMBH, O.W. BUNKER & TRADING A/S, ING BANK N.V., CREDIT AGRICOLE S.A., Defendants.				
-against- 14-cv-9949 (VECULOS. OIL TRADING LLC, O.W. BUNKER GERMANY GMBH, O.W. BUNKER & TRADING A/S, ING BANK N.V., CREDIT AGRICOLE S.A., Defendants		Plaintiff,		
GERMANY GMBH, O.W. BUNKER & TRADING A/S, ING BANK N.V., CREDIT AGRICOLE S.A., Defendants	-against-			(VEC)
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	GERMANY GMBH, O. A/S, ING BANK N.	W. BUNKER & TR	ADING	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		Defendants.		
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK x HAPAG-LLOYD AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, Plaintiff, Case Noagainst- 14-cv-10027 (VF) O'ROURKE MARINE SERVICES, L.P., L.L.P., O.W. BUNKER GERMANY GMBH, O.W. BUNKER USA, INC., ING BANK N.V., Defendants.			X	
Case No. -against- O'ROURKE MARINE SERVICES, L.P., L.L.P., O.W. BUNKER GERMANY GMBH, O.W. BUNKER USA, INC., ING BANK N.V., Defendants. January 19, 2016 10:05 a.m. DEPOSITION of RULE 30(b)(6) WITNESS	SOUTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF NEW YORK	==	
-against- 14-cv-10027 (VEO'ROURKE MARINE SERVICES, L.P., L.L.P., O.W. BUNKER GERMANY GMBH, O.W. BUNKER USA, INC., ING BANK N.V., Defendants. January 19, 2016 10:05 a.m. DEPOSITION of RULE 30(b)(6) WITNESS		Plaintiff,		
L.L.P., O.W. BUNKER GERMANY GMBH, O.W. BUNKER USA, INC., ING BANK N.V., Defendants. January 19, 2016 10:05 a.m. DEPOSITION of RULE 30(b)(6) WITNESS	-against-			7 (VE
January 19, 2016 10:05 a.m. DEPOSITION of RULE 30(b)(6) WITNESS	L.L.P., O.W. BUN	KER GERMANY GM	IBH,	
January 19, 2016 10:05 a.m. DEPOSITION of RULE 30(b)(6) WITNESS				
10:05 a.m. DEPOSITION of RULE 30(b)(6) WITNESS		Defendants.		
NORBERT KOCK		January 1	x 9, 2016	
	DEPOSITION	January 1 10:05 a.m	9, 2016	
		January 1 10:05 a.m	9, 2016	

	Page 2		Page 4
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	1	APPEARANCES:
2	U.S. OIL TRADING LLC,	2	
3	Plaintiff,	3	Attorneys for U.S. Oil Trading LLC:
4	Case Noagainst- 15-cv-6718 (VEC)	4	CLYDE & CO. US LLP
5	M/V VIENNA EVDDESS has tookla	5	405 Lexington Avenue
6	M/V VIENNA EXPRESS, her tackle, boilers, apparel, furniture,	6	New York, New York 10174
7	engines, appurtenances, etc., in rem: M/V SOFIA EXPRESS, her	7	BY: CASEY BURLAGE, ESQ.
	tackle, boilers, apparel, furniture,	8	AND: JOHN KEOUGH, ESQ.
8	engines, appurtenances, etc., in rem, Defendants.	9	
9	HADAC I LOVD AVTIENCESELI SCHAFT oc	10	Attorneys for O.W. Bunker Germany GMBH:
10	HAPAG-LLOYD AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, as Claimant to the M/V VIENNA EXPRESS,	11	HILL RIVKINS LLP
11	Counter-Claimant and Third-Party Plaintiff,	12	45 Broadway, Suite 1500
12		13	New York, New York 10006-3739
13	- against -	14	BY: JUSTIN M. HEILIG, ESQ.
	U.S. OIL TRADING LLC,	15	
14	Counter-Defendant and	16	Attorneys for O'Rourke Marine Services L.P.:
15	OW DUNIVED CEDMANY CMDH OW DUNIVED	17	SIMMS SHOWERS LLP
16	O.W. BUNKER GERMANY GMBH, O.W. BUNKER & TRADING A/S, ING BANK N.V., and CREDIT	18	201 International Circle, Suite 250
17	AGRICOLE CORPORATE AND INVESTMENT BANK a division or arm of CREDIT AGRICOLE S.A.,	19	Hunt Valley, Maryland 21030
18	Third-Party Defendant.	20	BY: CASEY L. BRYANT, ESQ.
19	X	21	(Appearing Telephonically)
20	Deposition of Rule 30(b)(6) Witness,	22	
21 22	NORBERT KOCK, pursuant to Notice, held at the offices of Freehill Hogan & Mahar LLP, 80 Pine	23	ALSO PRESENT:
23 24	Street, New York, New York, before Roberta Caiola, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public	24	Andrew Rona, The Interpreter
25	within and for the State of New York.	25	
	Page 3		Page 5
1	APPEARANCES:	1	INDEX
2		2	Witness Examination By Page
3	Attorneys for Defendant ING Bank N.V.,	3	Norbert Kock Mr. Maloney 12
4	as Security Agent:	4	Mr. Heilig 120
5	SEWARD & KISSEL LLP	5	Mr. Keough 165
6	One Battery Park Plaza	6	Ms. Bryant 216
7	New York, New York 10004	7	Mr. Maloney 220
8	BY: BRIAN P. MALONEY, ESQ.	8	
9	AND: MICHAEL W. BROZ, ESQ.	9	EXHIBITS
10		10	Kock Description Page
11		11	Exhibit 1 Notice of Rule 30(b)(6) 12
12	Attorneys for Hapag-Lloyd Aktiengesellschaft:		Deposition
13	FREEHILL HOGAN & MAHAR LLP	13	Exhibit 2 Notice of Rule 30(b)(6) 12
14	80 Pine Street	14	Deposition
15	New York, New York 10005	15	Exhibit 3 Document Bates stamped USOT 24
16	BY: MICHAEL FERNANDEZ, ESQ.	16	000101 through USOT 107
17	AND: MICHAEL DEHART, ESQ.	17	Exhibit 4 Document Bates stamped 41
18		18	HPL-USOT page 131
19		19	Exhibit 5 Document Bates stamped 43
20		20	HPL-USOT 135 and HPL-USOT 136
21		21	Exhibit 6 Document Bates stamped 49
22		22	HPL-USOT 137 and HPL-USOT 138
23		23	Exhibit 7 Document Bates stamped 50
		24	HPL-USOT 139 and HPL-USOT 140
24 25		25	111 L-0501 157 and 111 L-0501 140

	Page 14		Page 16
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	interpreter here today and we'll do that. Does	2	I'll ask you to take a look at the
3	that make sense?	3	documents that we've marked as Exhibits 1 and 2?
4	A. That makes sense.	4	MR. FERNANDEZ: Are there extra
5	MR. FERNANDEZ: Brian, at the	5	copies, Brian?
6	beginning, are we reserving all objections but	6	MR. MALONEY: Absolutely. So
7	for form and foundation, federal stips or usual	7	Exhibit 1 for the record is the Notice of
8	stips?	8	Deposition in case number 14-cv-9949, which is
9	MR. KEOUGH: That's fine with me.	9	Hapag-Lloyd against U.S. Oil Trading and others.
10	The witness will sign before any notary if he so	10	Exhibit Number 2 is 14 CV 10027, Hapag-Lloyd
11	chooses.	11	against O'Rourke Marine Services, and others.
12		12	•
	MR. MALONEY: Okay.	13	Q. Sir, have you seen these documents before?
13	Q. By whom are you employed?		
14	A. I'm employed by Hapag-Lloyd AG in	14	A. No.
15	Hamburg.	15	Q. What did you do to prepare for
16	Q. That's the entity that's the named	16	today's deposition?
17	party in this case?	17	A. For today's preparation we had a
18	A. Yes.	18	brief meeting yesterday, because I've never been
19	Q. Are there any other parent	19	part of such a deposition neither here nor
20	companies or subsidiaries that you're employed	20	Germany, nor anywhere else in the world, and the
21	by?	21	gentlemen explained to me a bit about the
22	A. No.	22	procedure here and what it is all about.
23	Q. What's your title?	23	Q. Could you turn to page 3 of the
24	A. My title is Director of Purchasing	24	document that we've marked as Exhibit 1. Have
25	and Supply.	25	you seen any of these topics before here on page
	D 15		
	Page 15		Page 17
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Page 17 Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
1 2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1 2	
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities		Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3?
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply?	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form.
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the
2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year.
2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're
2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals	2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate
2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide.	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels? A. Hapag-Lloyd owns a good number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels? A. Hapag-Lloyd owns a good number of vessels, but also chartered a good number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3? A. Yes. Q. Who at Hapag-Lloyd is authorized to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels? A. Hapag-Lloyd owns a good number of vessels, but also chartered a good number of vessels. The total capacity at the moment is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3? A. Yes. Q. Who at Hapag-Lloyd is authorized to procure fuel bunkers for the vessels?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels? A. Hapag-Lloyd owns a good number of vessels, but also chartered a good number of vessels. The total capacity at the moment is about 175 vessels operated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3? A. Yes. Q. Who at Hapag-Lloyd is authorized to procure fuel bunkers for the vessels? A. The Hapag-Lloyd purchasing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels? A. Hapag-Lloyd owns a good number of vessels, but also chartered a good number of vessels. The total capacity at the moment is about 175 vessels operated. Q. So Hapag-Lloyd operates about 175	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3? A. Yes. Q. Who at Hapag-Lloyd is authorized to procure fuel bunkers for the vessels? A. The Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels? A. Hapag-Lloyd owns a good number of vessels, but also chartered a good number of vessels. The total capacity at the moment is about 175 vessels operated. Q. So Hapag-Lloyd operates about 175 vessels?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3? A. Yes. Q. Who at Hapag-Lloyd is authorized to procure fuel bunkers for the vessels? A. The Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department. Q. Who is in the Hapag-Lloyd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels? A. Hapag-Lloyd owns a good number of vessels, but also chartered a good number of vessels. The total capacity at the moment is about 175 vessels operated. Q. So Hapag-Lloyd operates about 175 vessels? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3? A. Yes. Q. Who at Hapag-Lloyd is authorized to procure fuel bunkers for the vessels? A. The Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department. Q. Who is in the Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels? A. Hapag-Lloyd owns a good number of vessels, but also chartered a good number of vessels. The total capacity at the moment is about 175 vessels operated. Q. So Hapag-Lloyd operates about 175 vessels? A. Yes. Q. That's either owned or chartered?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3? A. Yes. Q. Who at Hapag-Lloyd is authorized to procure fuel bunkers for the vessels? A. The Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department. Q. Who is in the Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department? A. As it's my department, I'm leading
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels? A. Hapag-Lloyd owns a good number of vessels, but also chartered a good number of vessels. The total capacity at the moment is about 175 vessels operated. Q. So Hapag-Lloyd operates about 175 vessels? A. Yes. Q. That's either owned or chartered? A. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3? A. Yes. Q. Who at Hapag-Lloyd is authorized to procure fuel bunkers for the vessels? A. The Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department. Q. Who is in the Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department? A. As it's my department, I'm leading that department as a director and I'm leading a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels? A. Hapag-Lloyd owns a good number of vessels, but also chartered a good number of vessels. The total capacity at the moment is about 175 vessels operated. Q. So Hapag-Lloyd operates about 175 vessels? A. Yes. Q. That's either owned or chartered? A. Yeah. Q. I'm going to show you documents	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3? A. Yes. Q. Who at Hapag-Lloyd is authorized to procure fuel bunkers for the vessels? A. The Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department. Q. Who is in the Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department? A. As it's my department, I'm leading that department as a director and I'm leading a team of purchasing managers. This team is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels? A. Hapag-Lloyd owns a good number of vessels, but also chartered a good number of vessels. The total capacity at the moment is about 175 vessels operated. Q. So Hapag-Lloyd operates about 175 vessels? A. Yes. Q. That's either owned or chartered? A. Yeah. Q. I'm going to show you documents that we've marked as Exhibits 1 and 2. It's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3? A. Yes. Q. Who at Hapag-Lloyd is authorized to procure fuel bunkers for the vessels? A. The Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department. Q. Who is in the Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department? A. As it's my department, I'm leading that department as a director and I'm leading a team of purchasing managers. This team is entitled also to order fuel oil we are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels? A. Hapag-Lloyd owns a good number of vessels, but also chartered a good number of vessels. The total capacity at the moment is about 175 vessels operated. Q. So Hapag-Lloyd operates about 175 vessels? A. Yes. Q. That's either owned or chartered? A. Yeah. Q. I'm going to show you documents that we've marked as Exhibits 1 and 2. It's the Notice of 30(b)(6) Deposition that we've issued	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3? A. Yes. Q. Who at Hapag-Lloyd is authorized to procure fuel bunkers for the vessels? A. The Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department. Q. Who is in the Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department? A. As it's my department, I'm leading that department as a director and I'm leading a team of purchasing managers. This team is entitled also to order fuel oil we are entitled and authorized to order and purchase
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels? A. Hapag-Lloyd owns a good number of vessels, but also chartered a good number of vessels. The total capacity at the moment is about 175 vessels operated. Q. So Hapag-Lloyd operates about 175 vessels? A. Yes. Q. That's either owned or chartered? A. Yeah. Q. I'm going to show you documents that we've marked as Exhibits 1 and 2. It's the Notice of 30(b)(6) Deposition that we've issued in two of these three cases. I believe the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3? A. Yes. Q. Who at Hapag-Lloyd is authorized to procure fuel bunkers for the vessels? A. The Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department. Q. Who is in the Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department? A. As it's my department, I'm leading that department as a director and I'm leading a team of purchasing managers. This team is entitled also to order fuel oil we are entitled and authorized to order and purchase fuel oil.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. What are your job responsibilities as the director of purchasing and supply? A. I am responsible for providing the fleet of Hapag-Lloyd's owned and chartered containers with fuel oil and lubricants, and some selected chemicals, marine chemicals worldwide. Q. You mentioned that Hapag-Lloyd has a fleet. Does it own many vessels? A. Hapag-Lloyd owns a good number of vessels, but also chartered a good number of vessels. The total capacity at the moment is about 175 vessels operated. Q. So Hapag-Lloyd operates about 175 vessels? A. Yes. Q. That's either owned or chartered? A. Yeah. Q. I'm going to show you documents that we've marked as Exhibits 1 and 2. It's the Notice of 30(b)(6) Deposition that we've issued	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 3? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to form. A. Some of this has been part of the declaration I had given earlier this year. Q. You understand that you're appearing here today as the corporate representative of Hapag-Lloyd, and you prepared yourself to answer questions about topics, such as the topics that are listed here on page 3? A. Yes. Q. Who at Hapag-Lloyd is authorized to procure fuel bunkers for the vessels? A. The Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department. Q. Who is in the Hapag-Lloyd purchasing department? A. As it's my department, I'm leading that department as a director and I'm leading a team of purchasing managers. This team is entitled also to order fuel oil we are entitled and authorized to order and purchase

	Page 18		Page 20
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	at Hapag-Lloyd?	2	the 1990s?
3	A. As a director, they put me into	3	A. At that time we operated about 35,
4	that position I think it was in October 2007.	4	40 vessels.
5	Q. About how long have you been	5	Q. So by the time of about 2007,
6	working for Hapag-Lloyd?	6	Hapag-Lloyd had grown and you needed more
7	A. I started my career at Hapag-Lloyd	7	purchasers on the team?
8	in 1990.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Were you employed by any other	9	Q. So you've been director of the fuel
10	companies previous to that?	10	purchasing team from 2007 to the present?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. Right.
12	Q. Where were you employed prior to	12	Q. In about 2013 and 2014, about how
13	1990?	13	large was the bunker purchasing team at
14	A. Prior to 1990 I have been employed	14	Hapag-Lloyd?
15	by a company called Sachs-Dolmar, which is a	15	A. At that time we had a total team of
16	chain saw manufacturer.	16	five persons, including me. There was me as a
17	Q. Would you mind spelling that for	17	director and four purchasing managers.
18	the record?	18	Q. Who were the purchasing managers on
19	A. At that time the company's name	19	your team?
20	was	20	A. The purchasing manager on my team
21	THE INTERPRETER: Spell just the	21	at that time was Lukas Gaus.
22	name.	22	Q. L-u-k-a-s?
23	A. S-a-c-h-s then hyphen D-o-l-m-a-r.	23	A. Yes. Then Gaus, G-a-u-s. Nikolai
24	Q. What was your first position at	24	Doerner.
25	Hapag-Lloyd?	25	Q. Would you mind spelling that?
	Page 19		Page 21
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	A. A purchasing manager for technical	2	A. Nikolai, N-i-k-o-l-a-i, and the
3	spare parts and tools.	3	family name Doerner, it's D-o-e-r-n-e-r. Then
4	Q. And you came to that position in	4	we have Mrs. Niemeyer, Dorit Niemeyer.
5	1990?	5	Q. N-i-e-m-e-y-e-r?
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Yeah, Niemeyer. We had Ana Dubois.
7	Q. What did you do after that?	7	THE INTERPRETER: D-u-b-o-i-s.
8	A. They lifted me into the position of	8	Q. So those individuals report to you,
9	a director.	9	correct?
10	Q. So you were the purchasing	10	A. Yes.
11	A. Between May 1990 and 1992 I was a	11	Q. Who do you report to?
12	technical purchasing manager, and then I moved	12	A. I report to the senior director of
13	over into the position of a fuel oil purchaser	13	purchasing and supply. The name?
14	in 1992, and stayed in this position until 2007.	14	Q. Yes. Please.
15	Q. Could you describe what your	15	A. Ulf Naujeck. U-l-f, then the
16	responsibilities were as a fuel oil purchaser	16	family name Naujeck, N-a-u-j-e-c-k.
17	during that time period, between 1992 and 2007?	17	Q. Is the bunker purchasing team
18	A. I was physically doing the	18	located in Hamburg?
19	purchasing, there was no team. I mean there was	19	A. Yes.
20	a small team, the team was only limited to, when	20	Q. That is where Hapag-Lloyd is
21	I started, to two persons.	21	headquartered?
22	Q. Was Hapag-Lloyd a smaller company	22	A. Yes.
23	back in the 1990s?	23	Q. About how many employees does
24	A. Yes. At that time, yes.	24	Hapag-Lloyd have in total today?
25	Q. About how large was Hapag-Lloyd in	25	MR. FERNANDEZ: Is this in Hamburg

	Page 22		Page 24
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	or worldwide?	2	A. Yes.
3	A. I think worldwide about 12,000.	3	Q. Let me show you a document with
4	I'm not sure. We have a lot of seafarers, we	4	Bates numbers USOT 000101 through 107. This is
5	have a lot of land-based people, we have a lot	5	a document that's attached to Hapag-Lloyd's
6	of offices around worldwide.	6	complaint that was filed December 17, 2014.
7	Q. So worldwide, ballpark, about	7	MR. MALONEY: We'll mark it as
8	12,000 people?	8	Exhibit 3.
9	A. I would assume that, yes.	9	(Kock Exhibit 3, Document Bates
10	Q. And in Hamburg, about how many	10	stamped USOT 000101 through USOT 107, marked for
11	people in the headquarters?	11	identification.)
12	A. In Hamburg, I think about a	12	Q. Sir, have you seen this document
13	thousand. This is also an estimation, I don't	13	before?
	· ·		
14	have the actual figures.	14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Do you understand that the cases	15	Q. What is this document?
16	that you're appearing here in connection as	16	A. This is a contract pamphlet
17	Hapag-Lloyd's corporate representative today	17	covering our requirements, our fuel oil
18	involve certain bunker purchasing transactions	18	requirements in the Ports of Antwerp and
19	with vessels that involved Hapag-Lloyd,	19	Rotterdam during the period of January 1st and
20	including the SANTA ROBERTA, the SEASPAN	20	December 31, 2014.
21	HAMBURG, the VIENNA EXPRESS, the SOFIA EXPRES		Q. And the
22	the DERBY D and SIDNEY EXPRESS?	22	A. For the below mentioned fuel oil
23	A. Yes.	23	grades and expected quantities.
24	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd own or charter	24	Q. What does ARA mean at the top?
25	those vessels?	25	A. ARA is the abbreviation for the
	Page 23		Page 25
1	Page 23 Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Page 25 Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
1 2		1 2	
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)		Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam.
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to
2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014?
2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. The purchasing is done by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in Hamburg?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. The purchasing is done by Hapag-Lloyd's purchasing department. We are not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in Hamburg? A. Yes. Q. Does this contract relate to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. The purchasing is done by Hapag-Lloyd's purchasing department. We are not entitling any other party to do the purchasing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in Hamburg? A. Yes. Q. Does this contract relate to particular fuel deliveries, or is it a pricing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. The purchasing is done by Hapag-Lloyd's purchasing department. We are not entitling any other party to do the purchasing for us, we are the responsible purchasers.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in Hamburg? A. Yes. Q. Does this contract relate to particular fuel deliveries, or is it a pricing agreement that's used prior to particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. The purchasing is done by Hapag-Lloyd's purchasing department. We are not entitling any other party to do the purchasing for us, we are the responsible purchasers. Q. Understood. So in connection with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in Hamburg? A. Yes. Q. Does this contract relate to particular fuel deliveries, or is it a pricing agreement that's used prior to particular transactions being entered into?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. The purchasing is done by Hapag-Lloyd's purchasing department. We are not entitling any other party to do the purchasing for us, we are the responsible purchasers. Q. Understood. So in connection with those vessels that I mentioned, those six	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in Hamburg? A. Yes. Q. Does this contract relate to particular fuel deliveries, or is it a pricing agreement that's used prior to particular transactions being entered into? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. The purchasing is done by Hapag-Lloyd's purchasing department. We are not entitling any other party to do the purchasing for us, we are the responsible purchasers. Q. Understood. So in connection with those vessels that I mentioned, those six vessels, who did Hapag-Lloyd's bunker purchasing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in Hamburg? A. Yes. Q. Does this contract relate to particular fuel deliveries, or is it a pricing agreement that's used prior to particular transactions being entered into? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. The purchasing is done by Hapag-Lloyd's purchasing department. We are not entitling any other party to do the purchasing for us, we are the responsible purchasers. Q. Understood. So in connection with those vessels that I mentioned, those six vessels, who did Hapag-Lloyd's bunker purchasing department purchase the fuel from?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in Hamburg? A. Yes. Q. Does this contract relate to particular fuel deliveries, or is it a pricing agreement that's used prior to particular transactions being entered into? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. This is a pricing agreement on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. The purchasing is done by Hapag-Lloyd's purchasing department. We are not entitling any other party to do the purchasing for us, we are the responsible purchasers. Q. Understood. So in connection with those vessels that I mentioned, those six vessels, who did Hapag-Lloyd's bunker purchasing department purchase the fuel from? A. We purchased the fuel from O.W.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in Hamburg? A. Yes. Q. Does this contract relate to particular fuel deliveries, or is it a pricing agreement that's used prior to particular transactions being entered into? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. This is a pricing agreement on expected annual quantities which we provided
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. The purchasing is done by Hapag-Lloyd's purchasing department. We are not entitling any other party to do the purchasing for us, we are the responsible purchasers. Q. Understood. So in connection with those vessels that I mentioned, those six vessels, who did Hapag-Lloyd's bunker purchasing department purchase the fuel from? A. We purchased the fuel from O.W. Bunker in Germany.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in Hamburg? A. Yes. Q. Does this contract relate to particular fuel deliveries, or is it a pricing agreement that's used prior to particular transactions being entered into? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. This is a pricing agreement on expected annual quantities which we provided here in column 3 and 4, because we contracted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. The purchasing is done by Hapag-Lloyd's purchasing department. We are not entitling any other party to do the purchasing for us, we are the responsible purchasers. Q. Understood. So in connection with those vessels that I mentioned, those six vessels, who did Hapag-Lloyd's bunker purchasing department purchase the fuel from? A. We purchased the fuel from O.W. Bunker in Germany. Q. O.W. Bunker Germany, GMBH?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in Hamburg? A. Yes. Q. Does this contract relate to particular fuel deliveries, or is it a pricing agreement that's used prior to particular transactions being entered into? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. This is a pricing agreement on expected annual quantities which we provided here in column 3 and 4, because we contracted some different fuel oil grades here in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. The purchasing is done by Hapag-Lloyd's purchasing department. We are not entitling any other party to do the purchasing for us, we are the responsible purchasers. Q. Understood. So in connection with those vessels that I mentioned, those six vessels, who did Hapag-Lloyd's bunker purchasing department purchase the fuel from? A. We purchased the fuel from O.W. Bunker in Germany. Q. O.W. Bunker Germany, GMBH? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in Hamburg? A. Yes. Q. Does this contract relate to particular fuel deliveries, or is it a pricing agreement that's used prior to particular transactions being entered into? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. This is a pricing agreement on expected annual quantities which we provided here in column 3 and 4, because we contracted some different fuel oil grades here in this contract. RMG, RMK 700, RMK 500 low sulfur, RMG
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. The purchasing is done by Hapag-Lloyd's purchasing department. We are not entitling any other party to do the purchasing for us, we are the responsible purchasers. Q. Understood. So in connection with those vessels that I mentioned, those six vessels, who did Hapag-Lloyd's bunker purchasing department purchase the fuel from? A. We purchased the fuel from O.W. Bunker in Germany. Q. O.W. Bunker Germany, GMBH? A. Yes. Q. Did you enter into any agreements	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in Hamburg? A. Yes. Q. Does this contract relate to particular fuel deliveries, or is it a pricing agreement that's used prior to particular transactions being entered into? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. This is a pricing agreement on expected annual quantities which we provided here in column 3 and 4, because we contracted some different fuel oil grades here in this contract. RMG, RMK 700, RMK 500 low sulfur, RMG 380 low sulfur, and distillate fuel like DMZ and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The VIENNA EXPRESS is an owned vessel. Q. We'll take them one by one as we go through. In connection with those vessels that I just mentioned, did Hapag enter into a contract with anyone whereby they purchased fuel for those vessels? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. The purchasing is done by Hapag-Lloyd's purchasing department. We are not entitling any other party to do the purchasing for us, we are the responsible purchasers. Q. Understood. So in connection with those vessels that I mentioned, those six vessels, who did Hapag-Lloyd's bunker purchasing department purchase the fuel from? A. We purchased the fuel from O.W. Bunker in Germany. Q. O.W. Bunker Germany, GMBH? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Ports of Hamburg, Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Q. This contract appears to relate to fuel deliveries during the year 2014? A. Yes. Q. And the seller is listed as O.W. Bunker Germany? A. Yes. Q. And the buyer is Hapag-Lloyd AG in Hamburg? A. Yes. Q. Does this contract relate to particular fuel deliveries, or is it a pricing agreement that's used prior to particular transactions being entered into? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. This is a pricing agreement on expected annual quantities which we provided here in column 3 and 4, because we contracted some different fuel oil grades here in this contract. RMG, RMK 700, RMK 500 low sulfur, RMG

Page 26 Page 28 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 2 witness is referring to USOT 101, the first page 2 indicative and the buyer is allowed to exceed or 3 of the document. 3 reduce the quantities, along with buyer's 4 requirements, in the above mentioned ports by 4 Was this agreement for one 5 transaction or more than one transaction? 5 plus or minus 20%." 6 6 Do you have an understanding of More than one transaction. 7 7 what that means? Were you involved or was a member O. 8 8 of your team involved in negotiating this In case of unexpected changes of 9 document? 9 services there is a good chance that we will be 10 Yeah. I was involved, team members 10 not able to take the expected quantities as were involved, as well as the senior director of given in this contract here. Or in case of 11 11 vessel sales, there might be a good chance to 12 purchasing and supply. 12 13 When was the first time that you 13 buy less than previously agreed upon. Or it's worked with O.W. Bunker Germany or other the other way around, it could be even more 14 14 entities in the O.W. Bunker Group? 15 15 sometimes. 16 We started working with O.W. in 16 This is just a requirement to A. 17 17 secure that there is some kind of flexibility in 2007. Q. Did you often purchase fuel from these quantities, that we are not bound to these 18 18 19 O.W. Bunker Germany? 19 quantities, to have a flexibility of some plus 20 MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the or minus 20 percent. 20 In case the vessel needs more or 21 form. Go ahead, you can answer. 21 Q. less? 22 A. Yes. 22 23 Did you deal with any other 23 In case the vessel needs more or O. entities in the O.W. Bunker Group? 24 24 less, or in case we are just changing service 25 25 volumes from one area to another area. No. Page 27 Page 29 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 2 Q. Only with O.W. Germany? Sometimes it could happen that we will buy less 2 3 3 A. Yes. There was a time in between fuel oil in Northwest Europe and shift 4 quantities, more quantities to Asia; because 4 when they were -- when the company was named 5 also maybe the price levels in Asia could drop 5 Wrist Bunker. 6 below Northwest Europe and we could take more in 6 Q. Wrist, W-r-i-s-t? 7 7 A. Yes. This was the same people. Asia; to have that flexibility. 8 Q. About how long did it take to 8 Q. In the event you needed to make 9 9 those changes, you would communicate with negotiate this document here, USOT page 101? persons at O.W. Germany? 10 This contract was negotiated for 10 11 the total requirements of that specific -- these 11 A. Yes. 12 products during the period of 2014, though it 12 Under the heading marked has been negotiated between October to 13 "Pre-Planning" the document reads "Monthly 13 pre-planning schedule to be sent in advance for 14 December 2013. 14 the following month." 15 Q. Did you have a pricing agreement in 15 place for the year 2013 with O.W. Germany? Did Hapag-Lloyd send a schedule to 16 16 17 MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the 17 O.W. Germany? 18 18 A. form. 19 Might be. I don't have access to 19 O. What was the purpose of the monthly 20 my records here. So it could be that we had 20 pre-planning schedule? 21 selected products agreements also in 2013 also 21 A. To give them an idea about the in other areas, not only in Rotterdam and estimated quantities which will be taken in that 22 22 23 month. Plus, they have also to take care to 23 Antwerp. have enough product available for us. 24 Q. The line below the chart reads: 24 25 Could you tell me what the line 25 "The above mentioned quantities are

Page 38 Page 40 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 2 in this version of our terms and conditions. 2 by O.W. Bunker Germany, because they didn't 3 Q. Looking at page 102 --3 accept our required claim period of 60 days at 4 A. Claims. This is paragraph 13 on 4 that time. 5 page 106 on top, any claim to the quality or the 5 So is it fair to say that the Q. 6 description of this fuel oil must be notified in 6 document at 101 and 102 was prepared by O.W. 7 writing promptly after the circumstances giving 7 Bunker Germany? 8 rise to such claim have been discovered, if the 8 A. Yes. 9 buyer do not notify the seller of such claim 9 And that the terms and conditions O. 10 within 60 calendar days of the date of delivery 10 at pages 103 to 107 were prepared by 11 for term contracted low sulfur fuel oil supplies Hapag-Lloyd? 11 12 in Antwerp or Rotterdam. A. Yes. 12 13 So this mentioned here means we 13 Q. The SANTA ROBERTA, that's one of 14 have a special agreement on the -- or we have 14 the vessels at issue here today, was that on had a special agreement on the quality claim of 15 15 time charter in 2014? 16 60 days only for low sulfur fuel oils in Antwerp 16 It was a charter vessel. I don't 17 and Rotterdam. Then the next sentence says 30 17 know whether it was a time charter. It was a 18 days of the date of delivery for supplies of oil 18 charter vessel at that time. 19 in remaining ports worldwide. So this pamphlet 19 Q. Do you know whether the 20 here is not a standard Hapag -Lloyd pamphlet, relationship was governed by a Charter Party 20 21 this pamphlet here has been negotiated with O.W. 21 agreement? 22 Q. So these terms and conditions apply Yes, it must be a Charter Party. 22 A. to purchases and sales with O.W. Bunker Germany, Was it Hapag-Lloyd that was 23 23 is that your position? 24 24 responsible for purchasing the fuel for the 25 A. Yes. 25 SANTA ROBERTA? Page 39 Page 41 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 2 MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection as to 2 A. Yes. 3 form. 3 Do you know who owned that vessel O. 4 4 MR. KEOUGH: Could you read back in 2014? 5 the last question, I didn't hear the end of the 5 A. No. 6 6 auestion. O. How does the order come into the 7 7 (Record read.) bunker purchasing department, is it communicated 8 MR. FERNANDEZ: In relation to the 8 to your department by the vessel? 9 MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the vessels we're discussing here? These are pretty 9 10 broad statements that are being made and I don't 10 form. 11 think you've asked him about the vessels that 11 There is no order coming into our department. There is a requisition coming from 12 we're looking at here. 12 13 MR. MALONEY: We'll get to it the vessel into our department. 13 14 vessel by vessel. 14 MR. MALONEY: Let's mark this document as Exhibit 4, it's HPL-USOT page 131. 15 Q. The document at pages 101 and 102 15 16 is on O.W. Bunker's letterhead, but the document (Kock Exhibit 4, Document Bates 16 17 from pages 103 to 107 is on Hapag-Lloyd's stamped HPL-USOT page 131, marked for 17 18 18 identification.) letterhead. 19 Do you understand the reason for 19 Have you seen this document before? 20 20 A. Yes. the difference? 21 A. Because these negotiated terms and 21 Q. What is this document? 22 conditions has been originally based upon our This is a requisition of the SANTA 22 terms and conditions. There was some 23 ROBERTA for 3,000 tons of heavy fuel oil and 23 100 tons of diesel oil at the U.S. East Coast or 24 corrections made to bring this into an 24 25 acceptable version, which also could be accepted 25 West Coast, I don't know, and Canada.

	Page 42		Page 44
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Q. This email is coming from the	2	September 26, 2014 from the SANTA ROBERTA to
3	vessel	3	RQMT-Section 4 and others?
4	A. Sorry, sorry. For me this looks	4	A. Yes.
5	more than a pre-communication between the vessel	5	Q. Have you seen this email before?
6	and the vessel's stowage center, because these	6	A. Yes.
7	guys say, "Please note vessel intends to raise	7	Q. What does this document refer to?
8	the requisition for 3,000 tons." So this has to	8	A. This document refers to the bunker
9	be coordinated with the responsible stowage	9	requisition form which should be attached to
10	center.	10	this email here.
11	Q. Do you know any of the recipients	11	Q. On page 136, is that the bunker
12	on this email?	12	requisition form?
13	A. Yep.	13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Who is Harry Moran?	14	Q. Is this a request from the vessels,
15	A. I don't know Harry, but the next	15	the stem bunkers, at Tacoma, Washington?
16	address, Marine NONGA, this is TPA, at that time	16	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the
17	was the stowage center. I think Harry Moran was	17	form.
18	part of the team. The Marine NONGA was a big	18	A. This is a bunker requisition asking
19	branch of a centralized email address of a big	19	Hapag-Lloyd fuel purchasing to deliver the
20	number of individuals, and just was putting	20	mentioned quantities and qualities.
21	Harry Moran up front of it to be sure that he is	21	Q. Who is iocdo@nrcc.com in the cc
22	taking care to give his okay for 3,000 tons of	22	line of this email?
23	fuel oil.	23	A. I don't know. It's not known to
24	Q. What does TPA stand for?	24	me. Maybe it's one of the owner's addresses,
25	A. Tampa. At that time this was the	25	the owner of the vessel, because SANTA ROBERTA
	Page 43		Page 45
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	location where these guys were sitting.	2	
3	Q. Is NONGA an acronym, N-O-N-G-A?		is a charter vessel, or was a charter vessel at
	Q. 151(51(611 un ucron) m, 1(5 1(5 11)	3	is a charter vessel, or was a charter vessel at that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on
4	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it.		
	• •	3	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on
4	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it.	3 4	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also
4 5	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4?	3 4 5	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also
4 5 6	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it.Q. What is Fleet 4?A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly	3 4 5 6	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I
4 5 6 7	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I	3 4 5 6 7	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is.
4 5 6 7 8	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here	3 4 5 6 7 8	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"?
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here because I do not have the codes really here they used at that time. Q. I have the same question about the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"? A. This is the mailbox of our
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here because I do not have the codes really here they used at that time. Q. I have the same question about the other persons copied on this email?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"? A. This is the mailbox of our department for that bunker section.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here because I do not have the codes really here they used at that time. Q. I have the same question about the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"? A. This is the mailbox of our department for that bunker section. Q. Your bunker purchasing department?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here because I do not have the codes really here they used at that time. Q. I have the same question about the other persons copied on this email? A. Vpillai, I don't know that. Michael Nigmann is known, he is one of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"? A. This is the mailbox of our department for that bunker section. Q. Your bunker purchasing department? A. This is our purchasing department.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here because I do not have the codes really here they used at that time. Q. I have the same question about the other persons copied on this email? A. Vpillai, I don't know that. Michael Nigmann is known, he is one of the controlling guys in our company. Then we have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"? A. This is the mailbox of our department for that bunker section. Q. Your bunker purchasing department? A. This is our purchasing department. We have a couple of mailboxes available, the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here because I do not have the codes really here they used at that time. Q. I have the same question about the other persons copied on this email? A. Vpillai, I don't know that. Michael Nigmann is known, he is one of the controlling guys in our company. Then we have the RQMT-Section 4 mailbox included, but this is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"? A. This is the mailbox of our department for that bunker section. Q. Your bunker purchasing department? A. This is our purchasing department. We have a couple of mailboxes available, the service is divided. Q. Would you receive emails at this address?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here because I do not have the codes really here they used at that time. Q. I have the same question about the other persons copied on this email? A. Vpillai, I don't know that. Michael Nigmann is known, he is one of the controlling guys in our company. Then we have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"? A. This is the mailbox of our department for that bunker section. Q. Your bunker purchasing department? A. This is our purchasing department. We have a couple of mailboxes available, the service is divided. Q. Would you receive emails at this address? A. Pardon?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here because I do not have the codes really here they used at that time. Q. I have the same question about the other persons copied on this email? A. Vpillai, I don't know that. Michael Nigmann is known, he is one of the controlling guys in our company. Then we have the RQMT-Section 4 mailbox included, but this is more an informal message for these guys at that time.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"? A. This is the mailbox of our department for that bunker section. Q. Your bunker purchasing department? A. This is our purchasing department. We have a couple of mailboxes available, the service is divided. Q. Would you receive emails at this address? A. Pardon? Q. Would you receive emails at this
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here because I do not have the codes really here they used at that time. Q. I have the same question about the other persons copied on this email? A. Vpillai, I don't know that. Michael Nigmann is known, he is one of the controlling guys in our company. Then we have the RQMT-Section 4 mailbox included, but this is more an informal message for these guys at that time. MR. MALONEY: Let's take a look at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"? A. This is the mailbox of our department for that bunker section. Q. Your bunker purchasing department? A. This is our purchasing department. We have a couple of mailboxes available, the service is divided. Q. Would you receive emails at this address? A. Pardon? Q. Would you receive emails at this RQMT address?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here because I do not have the codes really here they used at that time. Q. I have the same question about the other persons copied on this email? A. Vpillai, I don't know that. Michael Nigmann is known, he is one of the controlling guys in our company. Then we have the RQMT-Section 4 mailbox included, but this is more an informal message for these guys at that time. MR. MALONEY: Let's take a look at a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 135 and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"? A. This is the mailbox of our department for that bunker section. Q. Your bunker purchasing department? A. This is our purchasing department. We have a couple of mailboxes available, the service is divided. Q. Would you receive emails at this address? A. Pardon? Q. Would you receive emails at this RQMT address? A. Not me personally. This is an
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here because I do not have the codes really here they used at that time. Q. I have the same question about the other persons copied on this email? A. Vpillai, I don't know that. Michael Nigmann is known, he is one of the controlling guys in our company. Then we have the RQMT-Section 4 mailbox included, but this is more an informal message for these guys at that time. MR. MALONEY: Let's take a look at a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 135 and 136.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"? A. This is the mailbox of our department for that bunker section. Q. Your bunker purchasing department? A. This is our purchasing department. We have a couple of mailboxes available, the service is divided. Q. Would you receive emails at this address? A. Pardon? Q. Would you receive emails at this RQMT address? A. Not me personally. This is an email address of one of our purchasing managers
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here because I do not have the codes really here they used at that time. Q. I have the same question about the other persons copied on this email? A. Vpillai, I don't know that. Michael Nigmann is known, he is one of the controlling guys in our company. Then we have the RQMT-Section 4 mailbox included, but this is more an informal message for these guys at that time. MR. MALONEY: Let's take a look at a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 135 and 136. (Kock Exhibit 5, Document Bates	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"? A. This is the mailbox of our department for that bunker section. Q. Your bunker purchasing department? A. This is our purchasing department. We have a couple of mailboxes available, the service is divided. Q. Would you receive emails at this address? A. Pardon? Q. Would you receive emails at this RQMT address? A. Not me personally. This is an email address of one of our purchasing managers Q. Which person is that?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here because I do not have the codes really here they used at that time. Q. I have the same question about the other persons copied on this email? A. Vpillai, I don't know that. Michael Nigmann is known, he is one of the controlling guys in our company. Then we have the RQMT-Section 4 mailbox included, but this is more an informal message for these guys at that time. MR. MALONEY: Let's take a look at a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 135 and 136. (Kock Exhibit 5, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 135 and HPL-USOT 136, marked	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"? A. This is the mailbox of our department for that bunker section. Q. Your bunker purchasing department? A. This is our purchasing department. We have a couple of mailboxes available, the service is divided. Q. Would you receive emails at this address? A. Pardon? Q. Would you receive emails at this RQMT address? A. Not me personally. This is an email address of one of our purchasing managers Q. Which person is that? A. I can't remember who was taking
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yeah, but I can't explain it. Q. What is Fleet 4? A. Fleet 4 is also a possibly related to a stowage center, to another part. I can't really give a clear definition here because I do not have the codes really here they used at that time. Q. I have the same question about the other persons copied on this email? A. Vpillai, I don't know that. Michael Nigmann is known, he is one of the controlling guys in our company. Then we have the RQMT-Section 4 mailbox included, but this is more an informal message for these guys at that time. MR. MALONEY: Let's take a look at a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 135 and 136. (Kock Exhibit 5, Document Bates	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that time. It's an MSC vessel, we can see it on the email here above the vessel's name. We also can identify the email address, VP line is also MSC, so it's the owner, but the IOCDO email, I have no idea what this is. Q. What is "RQMT-Section 4"? A. This is the mailbox of our department for that bunker section. Q. Your bunker purchasing department? A. This is our purchasing department. We have a couple of mailboxes available, the service is divided. Q. Would you receive emails at this address? A. Pardon? Q. Would you receive emails at this RQMT address? A. Not me personally. This is an email address of one of our purchasing managers Q. Which person is that?

Page 46 Page 48 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 2 A. I can remember Lukas Gaus was 2 A. Yes. 2013-2014. 3 taking care of section 1 in that year. I can 3 Could you tell me just generally 4 remember Mr. Doerner was taking care of section 4 how were the sections reorganized? 5 2. Ms. Niemeyer was taking care of section 3, 5 A. We came to the conclusion that it 6 6 I'm not really sure. So Mrs. Dubois should be would not be longer feasible to just have the 7 responsible for section 4 at that time. 7 strict geographical limitation, but also to look 8 8 Q. What's your level of confidence in more into the services. So there was a more 9 that recollection? 9 service-oriented pattern brought forward. 10 10 A. 75 percent. What's the next step for the bunker MR. KEOUGH: Object. purchasing department at Hapag-Lloyd after a 11 11 12 12 bunker requisition form comes in from the MR. FERNANDEZ: Let's not speculate 13 or guess, if you know something you know 13 vessel? To confirm it. To confirm the 14 something. If you don't know you don't know. 14 A. 15 A. That's two years ago. 15 receipt of that document. 16 Would there be a document that 16 After the bunker purchasing 17 17 would refer to which persons of your team were department at Hapag-Lloyd confirms receipt of a 18 responsible for which sections? 18 bunker requisition form, what do members of your team do next? 19 Α. Yes. 19 20 20 Next they are looking whether the O. Would that document be in your 21 21 files back in Hamburg? requisition makes sense. Whether there are ports around this port which has been named 22 A. Yes. 22 here, Tacoma, on the vessel schedule which might 23 What did the sections relate to? 23 Were they geographic designations? 24 be more economic to buy fuel oil, for example. 24 25 That's how it started. That's how 25 Then to communicate with the vessel to check Page 47 Page 49 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) it started. We had a strict geographically --2 whether it would be possible for the vessel to 2 3 3 THE INTERPRETER: Limitation. maybe go also to another port to buy it more 4 4 A. -- limitation that each section was economically. 5 5 taking care just for a specific geographic Q. Let's take a look at the next 6 6 region. It started with section 1 responsible exhibit. 7 7 for U.S. and South Americas. Section 2 (Kock Exhibit 6, Document Bates 8 responsible for North West Europe, section 3 was 8 stamped HPL-USOT 137 and HPL-USOT 138, marked 9 9 responsibilities for Asia Pacific area, and then for identification.) 10 10 Q. I'm handing you a document that's we added at that time a section 4 responsible 11 for the Mediterranean area, and also certain 11 been marked as Exhibit 6, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 137 and 138. Have you seen this document 12 parts of the U.S. 12 13 before? 13 This was always handled also kind of flexible in case of somebody was going on 14 14 A. Yes. 15 vacation or being absent, on sick leave. Also, 15 What is this document? 16 This is the confirmation of the 16 the discipline of the vessels, taking care to 17 direct the emails into the correct requirement 17 responsible section manager, which was Lukas 18 section was not 100 percent. 18 Gaus here at that time. That he received the 19 19 Was there a reorganization at a vessel's requirement and that he would suggest 20 certain point as to these sections? 20 to not only concentrate on Tacoma, but also do 21 A. Yes. 21 it for all those agents in Oakland with an 22 inquiry. 22 O. When was that? 23 This is generally being done for 23 A. This was the hiring of Mrs. Dubois 24 all bunker requisitions coming from vessels to 24 and Mr. Gaus. 25 make sure the guys onboard know that we received 25 So sometime in 2014?

	Page 50		Page 52
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	the requirement, otherwise they are not sure.	2	no other at that time there was no other
3	They might be asking us or picking on us.	3	chance for us but to go to traders.
4	(Kock Exhibit 7, Document Bates	4	Q. Would you have any preference to
5	stamped HPL-USOT 139 and HPL-USOT 140, market	. 5	use O.W. Bunker as opposed to another trader?
6	for identification.)	6	A. No.
7	Q. I'm handing you a document that	7	Q. Would your primary consideration be
8	we've marked as Exhibit 7, pages 139 to 140	8	price or something else?
9	HPL-USOT 139 to 140.	9	A. Price is one of the considerations,
10	So after you've confirmed receipt	10	yes, but also the product quality which is
11	of the bunker requisition, what is the next step	11	offered by the trader.
12	for the bunker purchase department?	12	(Kock Exhibit 8, Document Bates
13	A. To investigate the local markets to	13	stamped HPL-USOT 144 to HPL-USOT 146, marked f
14	start a tender process, an inquiry.	14	identification.)
15	Q. The email that I've placed in front	15	Q. We have marked as the next exhibit,
16	of you that's stamped pages 139 to 140, is that	16	Exhibit 8, a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 144
17	a tender or inquiry?	17	to 146.
18	A. Yes.	18	This is an email chain between
19	Q. Is this an email blast to multiple	19	Mr. Gaus and Andre Maierhofer,
20	participants?	20	M-a-i-e-r-h-o-f-e-r?
21	A. Pardon?	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Is this an email that's sent out to	22	Q. Do you know Mr. Maierhofer?
23	your counterparties?	23	A. Yes.
24	A. Yes.	24	Q. Who is he?
25	Q. About how many counterparties did	25	A. He is one of the salespersons of
	Page 51		Page 53
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	the bunker purchasing department have in 2014?		
2		2	Peninsula Petroleum.
3	A. In total?	2 3	
4	A. In total? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the		Peninsula Petroleum.
		3	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum?
4	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the	3 4	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in
4 5	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form.	3 4 5	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier,
4 5 6	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten?	3 4 5 6	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader.
4 5 6 7	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50.	3 4 5 6 7	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W.
4 5 6 7 8	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes. Q. Would Mr. Gaus send this to a	3 4 5 6 7 8	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Were they providing a quote here to
4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes. Q. Would Mr. Gaus send this to a selection of those counterparties only in the ports, the applicable ports?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Were they providing a quote here to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes. Q. Would Mr. Gaus send this to a selection of those counterparties only in the ports, the applicable ports? A. Yeah.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Were they providing a quote here to fuel the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. At the top of the email
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes. Q. Would Mr. Gaus send this to a selection of those counterparties only in the ports, the applicable ports?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Were they providing a quote here to fuel the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. At the top of the email Mr. Maierhofer says, "Hi Lukas, any feedback or
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes. Q. Would Mr. Gaus send this to a selection of those counterparties only in the ports, the applicable ports? A. Yeah. MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Were they providing a quote here to fuel the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. At the top of the email Mr. Maierhofer says, "Hi Lukas, any feedback on this one, are we in the ballpark?"
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes. Q. Would Mr. Gaus send this to a selection of those counterparties only in the ports, the applicable ports? A. Yeah. MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. After this inquiry is sent out,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Were they providing a quote here to fuel the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. At the top of the email Mr. Maierhofer says, "Hi Lukas, any feedback on this one, are we in the ballpark?" Do you understand what he means by
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes. Q. Would Mr. Gaus send this to a selection of those counterparties only in the ports, the applicable ports? A. Yeah. MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. After this inquiry is sent out, would the bunker purchasing department receive	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Were they providing a quote here to fuel the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. At the top of the email Mr. Maierhofer says, "Hi Lukas, any feedback on this one, are we in the ballpark?" Do you understand what he means by that sentence?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes. Q. Would Mr. Gaus send this to a selection of those counterparties only in the ports, the applicable ports? A. Yeah. MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. After this inquiry is sent out, would the bunker purchasing department receive quotes for the supply?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Were they providing a quote here to fuel the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. At the top of the email Mr. Maierhofer says, "Hi Lukas, any feedback on this one, are we in the ballpark?" Do you understand what he means by that sentence? A. He demanded for a feedback from
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes. Q. Would Mr. Gaus send this to a selection of those counterparties only in the ports, the applicable ports? A. Yeah. MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. After this inquiry is sent out, would the bunker purchasing department receive quotes for the supply? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Were they providing a quote here to fuel the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. At the top of the email Mr. Maierhofer says, "Hi Lukas, any feedback on this one, are we in the ballpark?" Do you understand what he means by that sentence? A. He demanded for a feedback from Lukas Gaus whether his quotation is favorable or
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes. Q. Would Mr. Gaus send this to a selection of those counterparties only in the ports, the applicable ports? A. Yeah. MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. After this inquiry is sent out, would the bunker purchasing department receive quotes for the supply?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Were they providing a quote here to fuel the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. At the top of the email Mr. Maierhofer says, "Hi Lukas, any feedback on this one, are we in the ballpark?" Do you understand what he means by that sentence? A. He demanded for a feedback from Lukas Gaus whether his quotation is favorable or not that he possibly could
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes. Q. Would Mr. Gaus send this to a selection of those counterparties only in the ports, the applicable ports? A. Yeah. MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. After this inquiry is sent out, would the bunker purchasing department receive quotes for the supply? A. Yes. Q. Would that be from entities like the O.W. Bunker Group?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Were they providing a quote here to fuel the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. At the top of the email Mr. Maierhofer says, "Hi Lukas, any feedback on this one, are we in the ballpark?" Do you understand what he means by that sentence? A. He demanded for a feedback from Lukas Gaus whether his quotation is favorable or not that he possibly could (Discussion between interpreter and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes. Q. Would Mr. Gaus send this to a selection of those counterparties only in the ports, the applicable ports? A. Yeah. MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. After this inquiry is sent out, would the bunker purchasing department receive quotes for the supply? A. Yes. Q. Would that be from entities like the O.W. Bunker Group? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Were they providing a quote here to fuel the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. At the top of the email Mr. Maierhofer says, "Hi Lukas, any feedback on this one, are we in the ballpark?" Do you understand what he means by that sentence? A. He demanded for a feedback from Lukas Gaus whether his quotation is favorable or not that he possibly could (Discussion between interpreter and the witness.)
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes. Q. Would Mr. Gaus send this to a selection of those counterparties only in the ports, the applicable ports? A. Yeah. MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. After this inquiry is sent out, would the bunker purchasing department receive quotes for the supply? A. Yes. Q. Would that be from entities like the O.W. Bunker Group? A. Yes. Q. Anyone else?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Were they providing a quote here to fuel the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. At the top of the email Mr. Maierhofer says, "Hi Lukas, any feedback on this one, are we in the ballpark?" Do you understand what he means by that sentence? A. He demanded for a feedback from Lukas Gaus whether his quotation is favorable or not that he possibly could (Discussion between interpreter and the witness.) MR. KEOUGH: The witness is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. Was it more than ten? A. Yes, about 50; 40, 50. Q. Around the world? A. Yes. Q. Would Mr. Gaus send this to a selection of those counterparties only in the ports, the applicable ports? A. Yeah. MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. Q. After this inquiry is sent out, would the bunker purchasing department receive quotes for the supply? A. Yes. Q. Would that be from entities like the O.W. Bunker Group? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Peninsula Petroleum. Q. What is Peninsula Petroleum? A. Peninsula Petroleum is a, in certain areas of the world a physical supplier, in other areas acting as a trader. Q. Were they in competition with O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Were they providing a quote here to fuel the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. At the top of the email Mr. Maierhofer says, "Hi Lukas, any feedback on this one, are we in the ballpark?" Do you understand what he means by that sentence? A. He demanded for a feedback from Lukas Gaus whether his quotation is favorable or not that he possibly could (Discussion between interpreter and the witness.)

_	Page 54		Page 56
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	pending. I would ask respectfully that the	2	sulfur content. This is the basic requirement
3	witness speak on the record.	3	from us, to have this information.
4	If you need to use the interpreter	4	Q. Would there be additional
5	perhaps you could tell Mr. Maloney and they can	5	communications, other than by email, with
6	discuss it with your lawyer. I think the record	6	traders like O.W. Bunker?
7	should to keep the record accurate we need to	7	A. Yes.
8	have some order in that respect, if that's okay.	8	Q. What would those communications be?
9	I'm sorry to interrupt.	9	A. Telephone, it's telephone
10	Q. Did you understand my question,	10	communications. Most of the negotiation stuff
11	sir?	11	was done by telephone. So if a seller cannot
12	A. Could you please ask your question	12	reach the responsible purchaser he will write an
13	again?	13	email.
14	(Record read.)	14	MR. MALONEY: We've been going for
15	A. Yes. This was part of the	15	about an hour and a half, would you like to take
16	negotiation process between Lukas Gaus and	16	a five-minute break?
17	Andreas Maierhofer. Andreas Maierhofer offered	17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	product and he wanted to get a feedback whether	18	(Short recess taken.)
19	the price levels and the product quality was	19	BY MR. MALONEY:
20	favorable for us or not.	20	Q. We've been talking about the fuel
21	Q. Okay. Thank you. Did Peninsula	21	supply to the SANTA ROBERTA. Who received the
22	Petroleum get the contract for the supply to the	22	nomination for the fuel supply after the traders
23	SANTA ROBERTA?	23	put in their offers to the bunker purchasing
24	A. No.	24	department of Hapag-Lloyd?
25	MR. MALONEY: Let's take a look at	25	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the
	Page 55		·
1		1	Page 57
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9.	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form.
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany.
2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as
2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147
2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142.	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document, sir?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. What are typicals?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. What are typicals? A. Typicals are naming the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. What are typicals? A. Typicals are naming the specifications of the fuel oil which are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is the formal order
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. What are typicals? A. Typicals are naming the specifications of the fuel oil which are allowing us to calculate the specific energy of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is the formal order confirmation sent by Mr. Karl Heinz Selmer to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. What are typicals? A. Typicals are naming the specifications of the fuel oil which are allowing us to calculate the specific energy of the offered product, and to see the ignition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is the formal order confirmation sent by Mr. Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Lukas Gaus, to confirm the order they had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. What are typicals? A. Typicals are naming the specifications of the fuel oil which are allowing us to calculate the specific energy of the offered product, and to see the ignition purposes of the fuel oil.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is the formal order confirmation sent by Mr. Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Lukas Gaus, to confirm the order they had received from us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. What are typicals? A. Typicals are naming the specifications of the fuel oil which are allowing us to calculate the specific energy of the offered product, and to see the ignition purposes of the fuel oil. Q. Do you have an understanding what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is the formal order confirmation sent by Mr. Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Lukas Gaus, to confirm the order they had received from us. Q. Page 148, the attachment to this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. What are typicals? A. Typicals are naming the specifications of the fuel oil which are allowing us to calculate the specific energy of the offered product, and to see the ignition purposes of the fuel oil. Q. Do you have an understanding what Mr. Selmer is referring to under Tacoma, Oakland	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is the formal order confirmation sent by Mr. Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Lukas Gaus, to confirm the order they had received from us. Q. Page 148, the attachment to this email, is this the sales order confirmation that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. What are typicals? A. Typicals are naming the specifications of the fuel oil which are allowing us to calculate the specific energy of the offered product, and to see the ignition purposes of the fuel oil. Q. Do you have an understanding what Mr. Selmer is referring to under Tacoma, Oakland and LA in this email?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is the formal order confirmation sent by Mr. Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Lukas Gaus, to confirm the order they had received from us. Q. Page 148, the attachment to this email, is this the sales order confirmation that was sent from O.W. Bunker Germany to Hapag-Lloyt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. What are typicals? A. Typicals are naming the specifications of the fuel oil which are allowing us to calculate the specific energy of the offered product, and to see the ignition purposes of the fuel oil. Q. Do you have an understanding what Mr. Selmer is referring to under Tacoma, Oakland and LA in this email? A. Yeah, he is mentioning the required	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is the formal order confirmation sent by Mr. Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Lukas Gaus, to confirm the order they had received from us. Q. Page 148, the attachment to this email, is this the sales order confirmation that was sent from O.W. Bunker Germany to Hapag-Lloyd AG?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. What are typicals? A. Typicals are naming the specifications of the fuel oil which are allowing us to calculate the specific energy of the offered product, and to see the ignition purposes of the fuel oil. Q. Do you have an understanding what Mr. Selmer is referring to under Tacoma, Oakland and LA in this email? A. Yeah, he is mentioning the required parameters to calculate the energy. He is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is the formal order confirmation sent by Mr. Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Lukas Gaus, to confirm the order they had received from us. Q. Page 148, the attachment to this email, is this the sales order confirmation that was sent from O.W. Bunker Germany to Hapag-Lloyd AG? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. What are typicals? A. Typicals are naming the specifications of the fuel oil which are allowing us to calculate the specific energy of the offered product, and to see the ignition purposes of the fuel oil. Q. Do you have an understanding what Mr. Selmer is referring to under Tacoma, Oakland and LA in this email? A. Yeah, he is mentioning the required parameters to calculate the energy. He is informing us about the product viscosity, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is the formal order confirmation sent by Mr. Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Lukas Gaus, to confirm the order they had received from us. Q. Page 148, the attachment to this email, is this the sales order confirmation that was sent from O.W. Bunker Germany to Hapag-Lloyt AG? A. Yes. Q. The seller in this document is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a document I'll mark as Exhibit 9. (Kock Exhibit 9, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 142, marked for identification.) MR. MALONEY: It's Bates labeled HPL-USOT page 142. Q. This is an email from Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Gaus. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. What are typicals? A. Typicals are naming the specifications of the fuel oil which are allowing us to calculate the specific energy of the offered product, and to see the ignition purposes of the fuel oil. Q. Do you have an understanding what Mr. Selmer is referring to under Tacoma, Oakland and LA in this email? A. Yeah, he is mentioning the required parameters to calculate the energy. He is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) form. A. O.W. Germany. MR. MALONEY: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 10 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 147 through 150. (Kock Exhibit 10, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 147 through HPL-USOT 150, marked for identification.) Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is the formal order confirmation sent by Mr. Karl Heinz Selmer to Mr. Lukas Gaus, to confirm the order they had received from us. Q. Page 148, the attachment to this email, is this the sales order confirmation that was sent from O.W. Bunker Germany to Hapag-Lloyd AG? A. Yes.

	Page 58		Page 60
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Q. And it's for the supply of fuel to	2	2007. This was a year when O.W. Bunker accepted
3	the SANTA ROBERTA?	3	our terms and conditions of 2006.
4	A. The supplier has been mentioned as	4	What they are mentioning here is
5	U.S. Oil. U.S. Oil has been mentioned as their	5	that they are delivering or that they will
6	physical supplier.	6	deliver based on our GTCs of 2007, but 2007 was
7	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd have any control	7	the date of the signature. The date, the year
8	over the selection of the physical supplier?	8	of the start between the business relationship
9	A. No.	9	of Hapag-Lloyd and O.W. Bunker Germany.
10	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd direct O.W. Germany	10	Q. Is it correct that Hapag-Lloyd had
11	to use U.S. Oil for the supply of fuel in	11	terms and conditions in 2007, a prior version
12	Tacoma?	12	from the document you reviewed earlier, or am I
13	A. No.	13	misunderstanding your response?
14	Q. What is Norton Lilly?	14	A. The valid version at that time when
15	A. Norton Lilly is the local agency of	15	the business connection was established was
16	Hapag-Lloyd in this area.	16	based on 2006. There's no version available
17	Q. Can you explain what a port agent	17	from 2007.
18	is?	18	Q. Do you know whether the 2006
19	A. The agent is responsible to	19	version of the terms and conditions has been
20	coordinate also bunker supplies or lubricant	20	produced in this case?
21	supplies between the local vendors and the	21	A. Yeah.
22	vessel; and in case of fuel oil supplies also	22	MR. FERNANDEZ: It has.
23	the engaged quality control, which is normally a	23	Q. This confirmation memorializes the
24	bunker surveyor engaged by us.	24	agreement between O.W. Germany and Hapag for the
25	Q. Was there a bunker surveyor engaged	25	purchase of fuel bunkers for the SANTA ROBERTA?
	Page 59		Page 61
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	in connection with this supply?	2	A. Yes.
3	A. Yes.	3	
1		J	Q. On page 149 there is a paragraph
4	Q. Who was that, if you recall?	4	Q. On page 149 there is a paragraph marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale
4 5	Q. Who was that, if you recall? A. Oiltest.		marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale
	·	4	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above
5	A. Oiltest.	4 5	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale
5 6	A. Oiltest.Q. Does a port agent such as Norton	4 5 6	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and
5 6 7	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do	4 5 6 7	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it
5 6 7 8	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing	4 5 6 7 8	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker
5 6 7 8 9	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing fuel?	4 5 6 7 8 9	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker Germany as seller.
5 6 7 8 9	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing fuel? A. No.	4 5 6 7 8 9	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker Germany as seller. What's your understanding of this
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing fuel? A. No. Q. They are not authorized by	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker Germany as seller. What's your understanding of this paragraph?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing fuel? A. No. Q. They are not authorized by Hapag-Lloyd to purchase fuel?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker Germany as seller. What's your understanding of this paragraph? A. This is a this is a standard
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing fuel? A. No. Q. They are not authorized by Hapag-Lloyd to purchase fuel? A. No.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker Germany as seller. What's your understanding of this paragraph? A. This is a this is a standard term in their pamphlet.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing fuel? A. No. Q. They are not authorized by Hapag-Lloyd to purchase fuel? A. No. Q. It's fair to say that they're	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker Germany as seller. What's your understanding of this paragraph? A. This is a this is a standard term in their pamphlet. Q. Did the O.W. Bunker Group's terms
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing fuel? A. No. Q. They are not authorized by Hapag-Lloyd to purchase fuel? A. No. Q. It's fair to say that they're involved in the logistics of coordinating the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker Germany as seller. What's your understanding of this paragraph? A. This is a this is a standard term in their pamphlet. Q. Did the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions apply to this fuel transaction?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing fuel? A. No. Q. They are not authorized by Hapag-Lloyd to purchase fuel? A. No. Q. It's fair to say that they're involved in the logistics of coordinating the delivery after the order is placed?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker Germany as seller. What's your understanding of this paragraph? A. This is a this is a standard term in their pamphlet. Q. Did the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions apply to this fuel transaction? A. No.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing fuel? A. No. Q. They are not authorized by Hapag-Lloyd to purchase fuel? A. No. Q. It's fair to say that they're involved in the logistics of coordinating the delivery after the order is placed? A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker Germany as seller. What's your understanding of this paragraph? A. This is a this is a standard term in their pamphlet. Q. Did the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions apply to this fuel transaction? A. No. Q. Is the basis for your statement the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing fuel? A. No. Q. They are not authorized by Hapag-Lloyd to purchase fuel? A. No. Q. It's fair to say that they're involved in the logistics of coordinating the delivery after the order is placed? A. Yes. Q. Under remarks there's a designation	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker Germany as seller. What's your understanding of this paragraph? A. This is a this is a standard term in their pamphlet. Q. Did the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions apply to this fuel transaction? A. No. Q. Is the basis for your statement the remarks that are listed on page 148?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing fuel? A. No. Q. They are not authorized by Hapag-Lloyd to purchase fuel? A. No. Q. It's fair to say that they're involved in the logistics of coordinating the delivery after the order is placed? A. Yes. Q. Under remarks there's a designation that says "HALO GTC2007 shall apply." What does	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker Germany as seller. What's your understanding of this paragraph? A. This is a this is a standard term in their pamphlet. Q. Did the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions apply to this fuel transaction? A. No. Q. Is the basis for your statement the remarks that are listed on page 148? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing fuel? A. No. Q. They are not authorized by Hapag-Lloyd to purchase fuel? A. No. Q. It's fair to say that they're involved in the logistics of coordinating the delivery after the order is placed? A. Yes. Q. Under remarks there's a designation that says "HALO GTC2007 shall apply." What does that mean?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker Germany as seller. What's your understanding of this paragraph? A. This is a this is a standard term in their pamphlet. Q. Did the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions apply to this fuel transaction? A. No. Q. Is the basis for your statement the remarks that are listed on page 148? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing fuel? A. No. Q. They are not authorized by Hapag-Lloyd to purchase fuel? A. No. Q. It's fair to say that they're involved in the logistics of coordinating the delivery after the order is placed? A. Yes. Q. Under remarks there's a designation that says "HALO GTC2007 shall apply." What does that mean? A. They are referring to an earlier	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker Germany as seller. What's your understanding of this paragraph? A. This is a this is a standard term in their pamphlet. Q. Did the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions apply to this fuel transaction? A. No. Q. Is the basis for your statement the remarks that are listed on page 148? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. In the sales order confirmation
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Oiltest. Q. Does a port agent such as Norton Lilly, or a bunker surveyor such as Oiltest, do they have any responsibility for purchasing fuel? A. No. Q. They are not authorized by Hapag-Lloyd to purchase fuel? A. No. Q. It's fair to say that they're involved in the logistics of coordinating the delivery after the order is placed? A. Yes. Q. Under remarks there's a designation that says "HALO GTC2007 shall apply." What does that mean? A. They are referring to an earlier accepted set of terms and conditions of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	marked "Terms," and that reads that "the sale and delivery of the marine fuels described above are subject to the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions of sale for marine bunkers," and it refers to Hapag-Lloyd as buyer and O.W. Bunker Germany as seller. What's your understanding of this paragraph? A. This is a this is a standard term in their pamphlet. Q. Did the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and conditions apply to this fuel transaction? A. No. Q. Is the basis for your statement the remarks that are listed on page 148? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. In the sales order confirmation they confirmed that the Hapag-Lloyd terms and

1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-	Page 64
1 NOIDER ROCK (1-19-10) 1 NOIDER ROCK (1-19-	16)
2 agreements with O.W. Germany? 2 system.	,
3 A. Yes. 3 Q. So Mr. Gaus has to	fill in the
4 Q. In every fuel transaction that you 4 information next to reference	number, account,
5 conducted with them? 5 seller in the middle of the page	
6 A. Yes. 6 151?	
7 Q. Were there ever any conflicts 7 A. Yes.	
8 between the Hapag-Lloyd Group's terms and 8 Q. The information about	out the local
9 conditions and the O.W. Bunker Group's terms and 9 physical supplier that would	
10 conditions? 10 Germany, is that information	-
11 A. Yes. 11 have received from Mr. Selm	
Q. Were there any disputes with O.W. 12 A. Yes, and it's needed	l for logistical
13 Germany about that? 13 reasons.	
MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the 14 Q. Would this purchase	e order be
15 form. 15 generated before or after the	
A. No, because our requirement when we 16 A. The order agreemen	
started the business relationship was to accept 17 during a telephone call, this i	*
our terms and conditions of purchasing. That's 18 we are purchasing; and then	•
the same with any other supplier working for us, 19 would be processed later on,	
everybody has to accept our terms and conditions 20 same day or it could be the n	
for purchasing, otherwise we won't come into 21 on what time the order is placed as the state of	, ,
22 business with these guys. 22 Q. On page 152 there a	
23 (Kock Exhibit 11, Document Bates 23 provisions as to quality and company)	
24 stamped HPL-USOT 151 through HPL-USOT 153 24 fuel relating to a survey and stamped HPL-USOT 151 through HPL-USOT 153 24 fuel relating to a survey and stamped HPL-USOT 151 through HPL-USOT 153 24 fuel relating to a survey and stamped HPL-USOT 151 through HPL-USOT 153 24 fuel relating to a survey and stamped HPL-USOT 151 through HPL-USOT 153 24 fuel relating to a survey and stamped HPL-USOT 151 through HPL-USOT 153 24 fuel relating to a survey and stamped HPL-USOT 151 through HPL-USOT 153 24 fuel relating to a survey and stamped HPL-USOT 151 through HPL-USOT 153 24 fuel relating to a survey and stamped HPL-USOT 151 through HPL-USOT 153 24 fuel relating to a survey and stamped HPL-USOT 151 through HPL-USOT 153 24 fuel relating to a survey and stamped HPL-USOT 151 through HPL-USOT 153 24 fuel relating to a survey and stamped HPL-USOT 151 through HPL-USOT 153 24 fuel relating to a survey and stamped HPL-USOT 151 through H	
25 marked for identification.) 25 fuel, do you see that?	sampling of the
Page 63	Page 65
1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-1	
2 Q. What's been marked as Exhibit 11 is 2 A. Um-hum.	/
3 a document labeled HPL-USOT 151 through 153, 3 Q. Those are obligation	ns that O.W.
4 it's an email from Mr. Gaus dated October 1, 4 Germany took on?	
5 2014. 5 A. This is a standard re	equirement of
6 Have you seen this email before? 6 Hapag-Lloyd. These procedu	
7 A. Yes. 7 procedures from Hapag-Lloy	
8 Q. What is this email? 8 supplier has to follow, or sell-	
9 A. This is our written order 9 these procedures.	
10 confirmation to O.W. Bunker Germany. 10 Q. Do you know if Hap	pag-Lloyd made any
Q. Is this order confirmation 11 payment on the supply to O.V.	
12 automatically generated by your system? 12 ROBERTA?	
13 A. Yes. 13 A. Yes.	
Q. Does Mr. Gaus have to fill in any 14 Q. What's your underst	anding?
15 of the information? 15 A. We make payment t	-
1 7	et me show you what
17 Q. How does it work? 17 I will have marked as Exhibit	·
·	
18 A. It's an infrastructure available 18 (Kock Exhibit 12, Do	
18 A. It's an infrastructure available 18 (Kock Exhibit 12, Document 19 showing all these different paragraphs here 19 stamped HPL-USOT 80, mar	ked for identification.
19 showing all these different paragraphs here 19 stamped HPL-USOT 80, mar	
19 showing all these different paragraphs here 19 stamped HPL-USOT 80, mar	Bates labeled
showing all these different paragraphs here 20 which comes along with delivery, survey, 20 Q. This is a document 1	Bates labeled
showing all these different paragraphs here which comes along with delivery, survey, sampling, payment, invoicing; but the individual showing all these different paragraphs here 20 Q. This is a document of the paragraphs here 21 HPL-USOT 80. Have you se	Bates labeled
showing all these different paragraphs here which comes along with delivery, survey, sampling, payment, invoicing; but the individual order quantity, product quality, the estimated stamped HPL-USOT 80, mar Q. This is a document of the individual HPL-USOT 80. Have you seed to before?	Bates labeled een this document

	Page 66		Page 68
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Germany to Hapag-Lloyd.	2	invoice and payment to that seller?
3	Q. Do you see there that the date of	3	A. Yes.
4	the invoice was October 9th of 2014?	4	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the
5	A. Yes.	5	form.
6	Q. With a due date of November 8,	6	Q. Is there anything about the other
7	2014?	7	five transactions involving the SEASPAN HAMBURG,
8	A. Yes.	8	the VIENNA EXPRESS, SOFIA EXPRESS, the DERBY
9	Q. This invoice was paid?	9	or the SIDNEY EXPRESS that would be different
10	A. Yes.	10	from the transaction we just reviewed relating
11	Q. What is the box in the middle on	11	to the SANTA ROBERTA?
12	the top, it says October 20, 2014?	12	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the
13	A. This is the booking date our	13	form.
14	accounting department booked this invoice into	14	MR. KEOUGH: Objection to the form.
15	the system. Mrs. Sakowski is an employee at the	15	A. If O.W. not had gone bust, no.
16	accounting department.	16	(Kock Exhibit 13, Document Bates
17	Q. There is some handwriting and there	17	stamped HPL-USOT page 38, marked for
18	is also a bar code on this version of the	18	identification.)
19	document, I would like to take those marks one	19	Q. We have marked as Exhibit 13 a
20	at a time.	20	document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 38. Have you
21	What's the handwriting in the upper	21	seen this document before?
22	right-hand corner, if you know?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. I don't know.	23	Q. Was this a document produced from
24	Q. In the middle right below the	24	Hapag-Lloyd's own files?
25	accounting department's stamp, do you know what	25	A. No.
	Page 67		Page 69
1	-	1	
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1 2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	this is?	3	Q. How did Hapag-Lloyd come into possession of this document?
3	A. This is the name of the team leader	3 4	^
4	of our accounting department, Mrs. Kargel.	5	A. This has been shown to me during
5	THE INTERPRETER: K-a-r-g-e-l.	6	the preparation of this event.
6	Q. Do you have an understanding of	7	Q. Was that the first time you saw a
7	what the bar code refer to?		copy of this document? A. Yeah.
8	A. This could be the invoicing system	8	
9	at that time was read automatically into our SAP		Q. Did U.S. Oil Trading have an
10	system, and I think this bar code assisted the	10	account with Hapag-Lloyd?
11	system to read the invoice specifics, or the	11	A. No.
12	invoice details.	12	Q. And this is not an invoice that was
13	Q. Do you have any understanding of	13	sent to Hapag-Lloyd?
14	what the numbers refer to there?	14	A. No.
15	A. No. That's a code.	15	(Kock Exhibit 14, Document Bates
16	Q. The handwritten numerals to the	16	stamped HPL-USOT pages 170 and HPL-USOT 171
17	left?	17	marked for identification.)
18	A. For me it looks like the euro U.S.	18	Q. We have marked as Exhibit 14 a
19	dollar cost at that time.	19	document Bates labeled HPL-USOT pages 170 to
20	Q. If the O.W. Bunker Group had not	20	173. Have you seen this email and its
21	gone bankrupt in early November, would these	21	attachments before?
22	transactions as to these six vessels all have	22	A. This is the confirmation of the
23	taken place in the same manner, which is to say	23	SANTA ROBERTA advising us about the received
24 25	bunker requisition form, offers to sellers,	24	fuel oil.
. 1 (-	nomination to a particular seller, delivery,	25	Q. And on page 172 what does this

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

	Page 70		Page 72
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	attachment refer to?	2	dispute about the quantity or the quality of the
3	A. This is a payment advice that	3	fuel listed on the bunker delivery note, who
4	Hapag-Lloyd is going to pay the different	4	would Hapag-Lloyd deal with as to that dispute?
5	amounts for the different stamps to O.W. Bunker	5	A. The responsible purchaser.
6	Germany.	6	Q. So is that O.W. Bunker Germany?
7	Q. Payment advice, is that what	7	MR. FERNANDEZ: Could you reframe
8	Zahlungsbeleg refers to?	8	the question please.
9	A. Yes.	9	MR. MALONEY: Sure.
10	MR. FERNANDEZ: Just note my	10	Q. So once the bunker purchasing
11	objection. You marked Exhibit 14 which is	11	department receives a bunker delivery note, they
12	numbered 170 through 173, I think that may have	12	check the quantity and quality against the
13	been marked in error. You have 170 and 171 seem	13	original purchase order placed with the seller,
14	to be standalone documents. Then 172 and 173 I	14	is that fair?
15	don't believe are affixed to the bunker delivery	15	A. Yes.
16	note. You can certainly ask the witness that,	16	Q. And if there were any disputes
17	but please note my objection to the way this	17	would Hapag-Lloyd go to its seller to resolve
18	exhibit has been marked.	18	those?
19	MR. MALONEY: So noted. I agree	19	A. Yes.
20	with your characterization, Mr. Fernandez.	20	Q. In this case that would be O.W.
21	Q. So is it the case, Mr. Kock, that	21	Bunker Germany?
22	the bunker delivery note at page 171 is the	22	A. Yes.
23	attachment to page 170?	23	Q. Do you know if there were any such
24	A. Yes.	24	disputes about this particular transaction?
25	Q. And then the next pages 172 and 173	25	A. I can't remember. I don't think
	Page 71		Page 73
1		1	
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1 2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	refer to a separate document?		4 1 4 1 1 4 1
3			there was a dispute here in this respect.
1	A. Yes. So this payment advice is	3	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is
4	normally not going through our department. It's	3 4	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears
5	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our	3 4 5	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with
5 6	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the	3 4 5 6	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?
5 6 7	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.	3 4 5 6 7	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes.
5 6 7 8	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to	3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA
5 6 7 8 9	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA?
5 6 7 8 9	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14? MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14? MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14? MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15. MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14? MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15. MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14? MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15. MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, market	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14? MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15. MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14? MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15. MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.) Q. Just to clear up the record. How	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 1 15 16 17	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14? MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15. MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.) Q. Just to clear up the record. How does the bunker purchasing department at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 I 15 16 17 18	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"? A. This is separate there is a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14? MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15. MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.) Q. Just to clear up the record. How does the bunker purchasing department at Hapag-Lloyd use the bunker delivery note that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"? A. This is separate there is a separate payment of the below mentioned items.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14? MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15. MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.) Q. Just to clear up the record. How does the bunker purchasing department at Hapag-Lloyd use the bunker delivery note that was communicated to it here in Exhibit 14?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"? A. This is separate there is a separate payment of the below mentioned items. We did
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14? MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15. MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.) Q. Just to clear up the record. How does the bunker purchasing department at Hapag-Lloyd use the bunker delivery note that was communicated to it here in Exhibit 14? A. The quantity stated on the bunker	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"? A. This is separate there is a separate payment of the below mentioned items. We did THE INTERPRETER: On advisement of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14? MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15. MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.) Q. Just to clear up the record. How does the bunker purchasing department at Hapag-Lloyd use the bunker delivery note that was communicated to it here in Exhibit 14? A. The quantity stated on the bunker delivery note, the metric tons, will be booked	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"? A. This is separate there is a separate payment of the below mentioned items. We did THE INTERPRETER: On advisement of the correctness.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14? MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15. MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.) Q. Just to clear up the record. How does the bunker purchasing department at Hapag-Lloyd use the bunker delivery note that was communicated to it here in Exhibit 14? A. The quantity stated on the bunker delivery note, the metric tons, will be booked as a stop receipt into our SAP system against	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"? A. This is separate there is a separate payment of the below mentioned items. We did THE INTERPRETER: On advisement of the correctness. A. Of the supplies.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying. MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14? MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15. MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.) Q. Just to clear up the record. How does the bunker purchasing department at Hapag-Lloyd use the bunker delivery note that was communicated to it here in Exhibit 14? A. The quantity stated on the bunker delivery note, the metric tons, will be booked	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"? A. This is separate there is a separate payment of the below mentioned items. We did THE INTERPRETER: On advisement of the correctness.

	Page 74		Page 76
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	A. Or performance.	2	A. No.
3	THE INTERPRETER: Performance and	3	Q. Do you know who owns the SEASPAN
4	its calculation.	4	HAMBURG?
5	A. And its calculation we paid.	5	A. SEASPAN, but SEASPAN is a I
6	Q. Who is Frau Bolgow?	6	don't know where the SEASPAN is here. I can't
7	A. Frau Bolgow is a manager, a worker	7	confirm this.
8	in the accounting department.	8	Q. Is this a document produced from
9	Q. This document was dated	9	Hapag-Lloyd's files?
10	November 6th of 2014?	10	A. This is a document which is
11	A. Yes.	11	
12	(Kock Exhibit 16, Document Bates	12	produced between our chartering department and
			the vessel's manager or owner. We are not
13	stamped HPL-USOT 87 through HPL-USOT 89, marke		involved in that business, or our department,
14	for identification.)	14	our purchasing department is not involved in
15	A. May I say something?	15	that business.
16	Q. Absolutely.	16	Q. Do you see under line 37 of this
17	A. There is something wrong here	17	document, on page 201, the passage that reads
18	because the attachments are Hapag-Lloyd's crew	18	that the charterers shall provide and pay for
19	lists, and the first page here is referring to	19	all fuel and MDO, with certain exceptions stated
20	an invoice and bunker delivery note coming from	20	there in the clause?
21	O.W. Bunker Germany.	21	A. I see it.
22	Q. We've marked as Exhibit 16	22	Q. Do you have an understanding
23	documents that have been Bates labeled HPL-USOT	23	whether that was the case for the SEASPAN
24	87 through 89. The witness has referenced that	24	HAMBURG, that the Hapag-Lloyd was the
25	page 87 refers to an invoice and bunker delivery	25	responsible party for purchasing fuel?
	Page 75		Page 77
			rage //
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
1 2		1 2	
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)		Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document.	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes.
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case?
2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87.	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the
2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for
2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG. (Kock Exhibit 17, Document labeled	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen? A. Tim Petersen, he was assigned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG. (Kock Exhibit 17, Document labeled "Time Charter," Bates stamped HPL-USOT 201 to HPL-USOT 205, marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen? A. Tim Petersen, he was assigned together with his manager director, Mr. Glen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG. (Kock Exhibit 17, Document labeled "Time Charter," Bates stamped HPL-USOT 201 to HPL-USOT 205, marked for identification.) Q. Was the SEASPAN HAMBURG on time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen? A. Tim Petersen, he was assigned together with his manager director, Mr. Glen Hards.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG. (Kock Exhibit 17, Document labeled "Time Charter," Bates stamped HPL-USOT 201 to HPL-USOT 205, marked for identification.) Q. Was the SEASPAN HAMBURG on time charter by Hapag-Lloyd in 2014?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen? A. Tim Petersen, he was assigned together with his manager director, Mr. Glen Hards. Q. So you recognize those signatures
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG. (Kock Exhibit 17, Document labeled "Time Charter," Bates stamped HPL-USOT 201 to HPL-USOT 205, marked for identification.) Q. Was the SEASPAN HAMBURG on time charter by Hapag-Lloyd in 2014? A. That's what the pamphlet says.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen? A. Tim Petersen, he was assigned together with his manager director, Mr. Glen Hards. Q. So you recognize those signatures there on page 205 as Mr. Petersen and Mr. Hards? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG. (Kock Exhibit 17, Document labeled "Time Charter," Bates stamped HPL-USOT 201 to HPL-USOT 205, marked for identification.) Q. Was the SEASPAN HAMBURG on time charter by Hapag-Lloyd in 2014? A. That's what the pamphlet says. It's a time charter contract.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen? A. Tim Petersen, he was assigned together with his manager director, Mr. Glen Hards. Q. So you recognize those signatures there on page 205 as Mr. Petersen and Mr. Hards? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 18, Document Bates
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG. (Kock Exhibit 17, Document labeled "Time Charter," Bates stamped HPL-USOT 201 to HPL-USOT 205, marked for identification.) Q. Was the SEASPAN HAMBURG on time charter by Hapag-Lloyd in 2014? A. That's what the pamphlet says. It's a time charter contract. Q. We've marked as Exhibit 17 a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen? A. Tim Petersen, he was assigned together with his manager director, Mr. Glen Hards. Q. So you recognize those signatures there on page 205 as Mr. Petersen and Mr. Hards? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 18, Document Bates
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG. (Kock Exhibit 17, Document labeled "Time Charter," Bates stamped HPL-USOT 201 to HPL-USOT 205, marked for identification.) Q. Was the SEASPAN HAMBURG on time charter by Hapag-Lloyd in 2014? A. That's what the pamphlet says. It's a time charter contract. Q. We've marked as Exhibit 17 a document labeled "Time Charter," it's marked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen? A. Tim Petersen, he was assigned together with his manager director, Mr. Glen Hards. Q. So you recognize those signatures there on page 205 as Mr. Petersen and Mr. Hards? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 18, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 90 through HPL-USOT 91, marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG. (Kock Exhibit 17, Document labeled "Time Charter," Bates stamped HPL-USOT 201 to HPL-USOT 205, marked for identification.) Q. Was the SEASPAN HAMBURG on time charter by Hapag-Lloyd in 2014? A. That's what the pamphlet says. It's a time charter contract. Q. We've marked as Exhibit 17 a document labeled "Time Charter," it's marked HPL-USOT pages 201 to 205.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen? A. Tim Petersen, he was assigned together with his manager director, Mr. Glen Hards. Q. So you recognize those signatures there on page 205 as Mr. Petersen and Mr. Hards? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 18, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 90 through HPL-USOT 91, market for identification.) Q. We've marked as Exhibit 18 a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG. (Kock Exhibit 17, Document labeled "Time Charter," Bates stamped HPL-USOT 201 to HPL-USOT 205, marked for identification.) Q. Was the SEASPAN HAMBURG on time charter by Hapag-Lloyd in 2014? A. That's what the pamphlet says. It's a time charter contract. Q. We've marked as Exhibit 17 a document labeled "Time Charter," it's marked HPL-USOT pages 201 to 205. Is this the time charter for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen? A. Tim Petersen, he was assigned together with his manager director, Mr. Glen Hards. Q. So you recognize those signatures there on page 205 as Mr. Petersen and Mr. Hards? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 18, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 90 through HPL-USOT 91, marked for identification.) Q. We've marked as Exhibit 18 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 90 through 91.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG. (Kock Exhibit 17, Document labeled "Time Charter," Bates stamped HPL-USOT 201 to HPL-USOT 205, marked for identification.) Q. Was the SEASPAN HAMBURG on time charter by Hapag-Lloyd in 2014? A. That's what the pamphlet says. It's a time charter contract. Q. We've marked as Exhibit 17 a document labeled "Time Charter," it's marked HPL-USOT pages 201 to 205. Is this the time charter for the SEASPAN HAMBURG?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen? A. Tim Petersen, he was assigned together with his manager director, Mr. Glen Hards. Q. So you recognize those signatures there on page 205 as Mr. Petersen and Mr. Hards? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 18, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 90 through HPL-USOT 91, market for identification.) Q. We've marked as Exhibit 18 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 90 through 91. Have you seen this document before?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG. (Kock Exhibit 17, Document labeled "Time Charter," Bates stamped HPL-USOT 201 to HPL-USOT 205, marked for identification.) Q. Was the SEASPAN HAMBURG on time charter by Hapag-Lloyd in 2014? A. That's what the pamphlet says. It's a time charter contract. Q. We've marked as Exhibit 17 a document labeled "Time Charter," it's marked HPL-USOT pages 201 to 205. Is this the time charter for the SEASPAN HAMBURG? MR. KEOUGH: Objection to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen? A. Tim Petersen, he was assigned together with his manager director, Mr. Glen Hards. Q. So you recognize those signatures there on page 205 as Mr. Petersen and Mr. Hards? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 18, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 90 through HPL-USOT 91, market for identification.) Q. We've marked as Exhibit 18 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 90 through 91. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG. (Kock Exhibit 17, Document labeled "Time Charter," Bates stamped HPL-USOT 201 to HPL-USOT 205, marked for identification.) Q. Was the SEASPAN HAMBURG on time charter by Hapag-Lloyd in 2014? A. That's what the pamphlet says. It's a time charter contract. Q. We've marked as Exhibit 17 a document labeled "Time Charter," it's marked HPL-USOT pages 201 to 205. Is this the time charter for the SEASPAN HAMBURG? MR. KEOUGH: Objection to the form. MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen? A. Tim Petersen, he was assigned together with his manager director, Mr. Glen Hards. Q. So you recognize those signatures there on page 205 as Mr. Petersen and Mr. Hards? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 18, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 90 through HPL-USOT 91, marked for identification.) Q. We've marked as Exhibit 18 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 90 through 91. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. What is this document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) note from O.W. Bunker Germany, but the following pages appear to refer to an unrelated document. MR. MALONEY: I would just ask counsel to follow up on whether the correct attachments have been produced at page 87. MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Q. I would like to turn to the SEASPAN HAMBURG. (Kock Exhibit 17, Document labeled "Time Charter," Bates stamped HPL-USOT 201 to HPL-USOT 205, marked for identification.) Q. Was the SEASPAN HAMBURG on time charter by Hapag-Lloyd in 2014? A. That's what the pamphlet says. It's a time charter contract. Q. We've marked as Exhibit 17 a document labeled "Time Charter," it's marked HPL-USOT pages 201 to 205. Is this the time charter for the SEASPAN HAMBURG? MR. KEOUGH: Objection to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Yes. Q. That's the case? A. Yes. Q. Who would be the person in the chartering department responsible for negotiating that? A. Mr. Tim Petersen. Q. Tim Petersen? A. Tim Petersen, he was assigned together with his manager director, Mr. Glen Hards. Q. So you recognize those signatures there on page 205 as Mr. Petersen and Mr. Hards? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 18, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 90 through HPL-USOT 91, market for identification.) Q. We've marked as Exhibit 18 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 90 through 91. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes.

	Page 78		Page 80
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	department.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Does RQMT stand for requirement?	3	Q. Page 94 is a separate document?
4	A. Yes.	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. What is TIW in the subject line?	5	Q. What does the heading mean on page
6	A. This is the abbreviation of the	6	94?
7	port.	7	A. This is a price comparence (sic)
8	Q. Tacoma Washington?	8	showing
9	A. This is a I think this is a	9	Q. Is it a price comparison?
10	code. This is a UN code no, this is not a UN	10	A. The meaning here is
11	code. For me this is a self-created	11	Preisvereinbarungen.
12	abbreviation from the vessel.	12	THE INTERPRETER: Agreement.
13	Q. Is there any physical supplier	13	A. Which means agreement.
14	specified in this email or its attachment?	14	•
15	A. No.	15	- •
16	(Kock Exhibit 19, Document Bates	16	A. Yes.
	stamped HPL-USOT 92 through HPL-USOT 94, market		Q. What is HFO and MDO?
17 18	for identification.)		A. HFO is heavy fuel, a heavy fuel
	O. We've marked as Exhibit 192 emails	18	oil, and MDO means marine distillate oil.
19	`	19	Q. This chart refers to the vessel,
20	and attachments that have been Bates labeled	20	the SEASPAN HAMBURG?
21	HPL-USOT 92, 93 and 94, and it appears that	21	A. Yes.
22	there are two emails and then a document behind	22	Q. Who fills out a chart like this?
23	that; is that fair to say?	23	A. The responsible purchaser.
24	A. There's also some hiccup here I	24	Q. This appears to be a document
25	see, because the covering page is referring to	25	filled out by Karl Heinz Selmer, is that
	Page 79		Page 81
			1511
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
1 2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market	1 2	
			Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct?
2	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection.
2 3 4	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out
2 3 4 5	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W	2 3 4 . 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser.
2 3 4 5 6	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical	2 3 4 . 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser?
2 3 4 5 6 7	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and	2 3 4 . 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing.	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing. So the first page here has nothing	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing. So the first page here has nothing to do with the attachments and behind. I would	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is best, most favorable for Hapag-Lloyd.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing. So the first page here has nothing to do with the attachments and behind. I would have expected here a copy of the inquiry from	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is best, most favorable for Hapag-Lloyd. So I call it price comparence sheet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing. So the first page here has nothing to do with the attachments and behind. I would have expected here a copy of the inquiry from Mr. Lukas Gaus, like we had it for the previous	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is best, most favorable for Hapag-Lloyd. So I call it price comparence sheet because it's not an agreement. After we find an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing. So the first page here has nothing to do with the attachments and behind. I would have expected here a copy of the inquiry from Mr. Lukas Gaus, like we had it for the previous vessel.	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is best, most favorable for Hapag-Lloyd. So I call it price comparence sheet because it's not an agreement. After we find an agreement here and it states with whom Mr. Gaus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing. So the first page here has nothing to do with the attachments and behind. I would have expected here a copy of the inquiry from Mr. Lukas Gaus, like we had it for the previous vessel. Q. Noted.	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is best, most favorable for Hapag-Lloyd. So I call it price comparence sheet because it's not an agreement. After we find an agreement here and it states with whom Mr. Gaus was making this agreement here, with O.W. Bunker
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing. So the first page here has nothing to do with the attachments and behind. I would have expected here a copy of the inquiry from Mr. Lukas Gaus, like we had it for the previous vessel. Q. Noted. MR. FERNANDEZ: The top page, is	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is best, most favorable for Hapag-Lloyd. So I call it price comparence sheet because it's not an agreement. After we find an agreement here and it states with whom Mr. Gaus was making this agreement here, with O.W. Bunker Germany and Karl Heinz Selmer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing. So the first page here has nothing to do with the attachments and behind. I would have expected here a copy of the inquiry from Mr. Lukas Gaus, like we had it for the previous vessel. Q. Noted. MR. FERNANDEZ: The top page, is that what you're referring to?	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is best, most favorable for Hapag-Lloyd. So I call it price comparence sheet because it's not an agreement. After we find an agreement here and it states with whom Mr. Gaus was making this agreement here, with O.W. Bunker Germany and Karl Heinz Selmer. Q. In the lower right, does that refer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing. So the first page here has nothing to do with the attachments and behind. I would have expected here a copy of the inquiry from Mr. Lukas Gaus, like we had it for the previous vessel. Q. Noted. MR. FERNANDEZ: The top page, is that what you're referring to? A. This is the top page for our inquiry.	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is best, most favorable for Hapag-Lloyd. So I call it price comparence sheet because it's not an agreement. After we find an agreement here and it states with whom Mr. Gaus was making this agreement here, with O.W. Bunker Germany and Karl Heinz Selmer. Q. In the lower right, does that refer to the price that was agreed with O.W. Germany?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing. So the first page here has nothing to do with the attachments and behind. I would have expected here a copy of the inquiry from Mr. Lukas Gaus, like we had it for the previous vessel. Q. Noted. MR. FERNANDEZ: The top page, is that what you're referring to? A. This is the top page for our inquiry. Q. Page 92 refers to the inquiry that	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is best, most favorable for Hapag-Lloyd. So I call it price comparence sheet because it's not an agreement. After we find an agreement here and it states with whom Mr. Gaus was making this agreement here, with O.W. Bunker Germany and Karl Heinz Selmer. Q. In the lower right, does that refer to the price that was agreed with O.W. Germany? A. In the lower right, the 1.5 million?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing. So the first page here has nothing to do with the attachments and behind. I would have expected here a copy of the inquiry from Mr. Lukas Gaus, like we had it for the previous vessel. Q. Noted. MR. FERNANDEZ: The top page, is that what you're referring to? A. This is the top page for our inquiry.	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is best, most favorable for Hapag-Lloyd. So I call it price comparence sheet because it's not an agreement. After we find an agreement here and it states with whom Mr. Gaus was making this agreement here, with O.W. Bunker Germany and Karl Heinz Selmer. Q. In the lower right, does that refer to the price that was agreed with O.W. Germany? A. In the lower right, the 1.5 million? Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing. So the first page here has nothing to do with the attachments and behind. I would have expected here a copy of the inquiry from Mr. Lukas Gaus, like we had it for the previous vessel. Q. Noted. MR. FERNANDEZ: The top page, is that what you're referring to? A. This is the top page for our inquiry. Q. Page 92 refers to the inquiry that was sent into the marketplace by Hapag-Lloyd,	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is best, most favorable for Hapag-Lloyd. So I call it price comparence sheet because it's not an agreement. After we find an agreement here and it states with whom Mr. Gaus was making this agreement here, with O.W. Bunker Germany and Karl Heinz Selmer. Q. In the lower right, does that refer to the price that was agreed with O.W. Germany? A. In the lower right, the 1.5 million? Q. Yes. A. This is the total, the total U.S.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing. So the first page here has nothing to do with the attachments and behind. I would have expected here a copy of the inquiry from Mr. Lukas Gaus, like we had it for the previous vessel. Q. Noted. MR. FERNANDEZ: The top page, is that what you're referring to? A. This is the top page for our inquiry. Q. Page 92 refers to the inquiry that was sent into the marketplace by Hapag-Lloyd, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is best, most favorable for Hapag-Lloyd. So I call it price comparence sheet because it's not an agreement. After we find an agreement here and it states with whom Mr. Gaus was making this agreement here, with O.W. Bunker Germany and Karl Heinz Selmer. Q. In the lower right, does that refer to the price that was agreed with O.W. Germany? A. In the lower right, the 1.5 million? Q. Yes. A. This is the total, the total U.S. dollar order amount based on the order quantity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical specifications of the ordered product, and another attachment referring to our price comparison we are doing. So the first page here has nothing to do with the attachments and behind. I would have expected here a copy of the inquiry from Mr. Lukas Gaus, like we had it for the previous vessel. Q. Noted. MR. FERNANDEZ: The top page, is that what you're referring to? A. This is the top page for our inquiry. Q. Page 92 refers to the inquiry that was sent into the marketplace by Hapag-Lloyd, correct?	2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) correct? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This document has been filled out by the responsible purchaser. Q. Who is the responsible purchaser? A. At that time it looks like Lukas Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's using this piece of paper here to compare all the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is best, most favorable for Hapag-Lloyd. So I call it price comparence sheet because it's not an agreement. After we find an agreement here and it states with whom Mr. Gaus was making this agreement here, with O.W. Bunker Germany and Karl Heinz Selmer. Q. In the lower right, does that refer to the price that was agreed with O.W. Germany? A. In the lower right, the 1.5 million? Q. Yes. A. This is the total, the total U.S.

	Page 82		Page 84
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Q. Do you know why O.W. Bunker is	2	offer. You can see it on the weighted U.S.
3	listed twice over there on the left, in the	3	dollar amount.
4	first column entitled "Anbieter"?	4	Q. Do you know what is GEFO in the
		5	first column of the persons who offered?
5	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.	6	_
6	A. Because they offered twice. They		A. GEFO is a Hamburg-based trader, who
7	offered us \$520 in Oakland and they offered us	7	is also working based on our terms and
8	\$523 in Tacoma. Although it looks like the \$523	8	conditions. As any other parties here mentioned
9	is more expensive than the other ones, we picked	9	as well.
10	it because it was representing the highest	10	Q. And Peninsula refers to Peninsula
11	energy contents.	11	Petroleum?
12	So for us it lowers energy costs,	12	A. Yes.
13	and also a very good ignition product, the CCAI	13	Q. This document is dated October 10,
14	value gives you some kind of knowledge about the	14	2014, is that correct?
15	ignition quality of the offered fuel oil, and	15	A. This was the date of the fixing
16	825 is very good.	16	here, right, October 10th.
17	Q. So because the fuel had a higher	17	(Kock Exhibit 20, Document Bates
18	quality at a lower price O.W. Bunker got the	18	stamped HPL-USOT 95 through HPL-USOT 98, marke
19	nomination?	19	for identification.)
20	A. Yes. You can see there's a column	20	MR. FERNANDEZ: Off the record.
21	here under "Bestellkombination," there is the	21	(Off-the-record discussion held.)
22	first column here.	22	Q. We've marked as Exhibit 20 a
23	MR. KEOUGH: You can say it in	23	document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 95 through 98.
24	English please.	24	Have you seen this document before, sir?
25	A. This is the total cost weighted on	25	A. Yes.
	Page 83		Page 85
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	energy contents.	2	Q. What is this document?
3	MR. KEOUGH: Which column are you	3	A. This is an order confirmation
4	referring to, sir?	4	coming from O.W. Bunker Germany to Hapag-Lloyd
5	THE INTERPRETER: The one before	5	confirming the bunker deal for the SEASPAN
6	last.	6	HAMBURG at Tacoma.
7	MR. KEOUGH: Mr. Interpreter, since	7	Q. Just like with the SANTA ROBERTA,
8	we haven't sworn you in yet	8	O.W. Bunker Germany is the seller?
9	MR. MALONEY: He has been sworn.	9	A. Yes.
10	MR. KEOUGH: Okay. Please go	10	Q. And Hapag-Lloyd AG is the buyer?
11	ahead, sir.	11	A. Yes.
	THE INTERPRETER. The one before	12	
12 13	THE INTERPRETER: The one before	12 13	Q. The same remarks "HALO GCT2007
13	last, it says IFO/MFO.	13	Q. The same remarks "HALO GCT2007 shall apply" are listed on page 96?
13 14	last, it says IFO/MFO. THE INTERPRETER: The total cost by	13 14	Q. The same remarks "HALO GCT2007 shall apply" are listed on page 96? A. Yes.
13 14 15	last, it says IFO/MFO. THE INTERPRETER: The total cost by weight/energy.	13 14 15	Q. The same remarks "HALO GCT2007 shall apply" are listed on page 96?A. Yes.Q. Norton Lilly is being used as a
13 14 15 16	last, it says IFO/MFO. THE INTERPRETER: The total cost by weight/energy. A. Sorry to correct you. It's not by	13 14 15 16	Q. The same remarks "HALO GCT2007 shall apply" are listed on page 96? A. Yes. Q. Norton Lilly is being used as a port agent?
13 14 15 16 17	last, it says IFO/MFO. THE INTERPRETER: The total cost by weight/energy. A. Sorry to correct you. It's not by weight, the energy is weighted in this cost	13 14 15 16 17	Q. The same remarks "HALO GCT2007 shall apply" are listed on page 96? A. Yes. Q. Norton Lilly is being used as a port agent? A. Right.
13 14 15 16 17 18	last, it says IFO/MFO. THE INTERPRETER: The total cost by weight/energy. A. Sorry to correct you. It's not by weight, the energy is weighted in this cost here.	13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. The same remarks "HALO GCT2007 shall apply" are listed on page 96? A. Yes. Q. Norton Lilly is being used as a port agent? A. Right. Q. On page 95 Mr. Selmer writes to
13 14 15 16 17 18	last, it says IFO/MFO. THE INTERPRETER: The total cost by weight/energy. A. Sorry to correct you. It's not by weight, the energy is weighted in this cost here. THE INTERPRETER: Considered,	13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. The same remarks "HALO GCT2007 shall apply" are listed on page 96? A. Yes. Q. Norton Lilly is being used as a port agent? A. Right. Q. On page 95 Mr. Selmer writes to Mr. Gaus "Dear Lukas, thank you for your
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	last, it says IFO/MFO. THE INTERPRETER: The total cost by weight/energy. A. Sorry to correct you. It's not by weight, the energy is weighted in this cost here. THE INTERPRETER: Considered, listed weighted.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. The same remarks "HALO GCT2007 shall apply" are listed on page 96? A. Yes. Q. Norton Lilly is being used as a port agent? A. Right. Q. On page 95 Mr. Selmer writes to Mr. Gaus "Dear Lukas, thank you for your support."
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	last, it says IFO/MFO. THE INTERPRETER: The total cost by weight/energy. A. Sorry to correct you. It's not by weight, the energy is weighted in this cost here. THE INTERPRETER: Considered, listed weighted. A. No, weighted, because we do an	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. The same remarks "HALO GCT2007 shall apply" are listed on page 96? A. Yes. Q. Norton Lilly is being used as a port agent? A. Right. Q. On page 95 Mr. Selmer writes to Mr. Gaus "Dear Lukas, thank you for your support." Do you have an understanding of
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	last, it says IFO/MFO. THE INTERPRETER: The total cost by weight/energy. A. Sorry to correct you. It's not by weight, the energy is weighted in this cost here. THE INTERPRETER: Considered, listed weighted. A. No, weighted, because we do an energy calculation here. We have an Energlewert	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. The same remarks "HALO GCT2007 shall apply" are listed on page 96? A. Yes. Q. Norton Lilly is being used as a port agent? A. Right. Q. On page 95 Mr. Selmer writes to Mr. Gaus "Dear Lukas, thank you for your support." Do you have an understanding of what he means by that?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	last, it says IFO/MFO. THE INTERPRETER: The total cost by weight/energy. A. Sorry to correct you. It's not by weight, the energy is weighted in this cost here. THE INTERPRETER: Considered, listed weighted. A. No, weighted, because we do an energy calculation here. We have an Energlewert here also. This Energlewert will be weighted in	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. The same remarks "HALO GCT2007 shall apply" are listed on page 96? A. Yes. Q. Norton Lilly is being used as a port agent? A. Right. Q. On page 95 Mr. Selmer writes to Mr. Gaus "Dear Lukas, thank you for your support." Do you have an understanding of what he means by that? A. To receive the offer. Sorry, to
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	last, it says IFO/MFO. THE INTERPRETER: The total cost by weight/energy. A. Sorry to correct you. It's not by weight, the energy is weighted in this cost here. THE INTERPRETER: Considered, listed weighted. A. No, weighted, because we do an energy calculation here. We have an Energlewert	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. The same remarks "HALO GCT2007 shall apply" are listed on page 96? A. Yes. Q. Norton Lilly is being used as a port agent? A. Right. Q. On page 95 Mr. Selmer writes to Mr. Gaus "Dear Lukas, thank you for your support." Do you have an understanding of what he means by that?

1	Page 86		Page 88
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	stamped HPL-USOT pages 105 and HPL-USOT 106,	2	Manager, Master, Officers or crew or agents for
3	marked for identification.)	3	the Owner Or Manager."
4	Q. We've marked as Exhibit 21 an email	4	Have you seen stamps like this
5	Bates stamped HPL-USOT pages 105 to 106. Have	5	before in the course of your work at
6	you seen this email before?	6	Hapag-Lloyd?
7	A. Yes.	7	A. Occasionally.
8	Q. What is this email?	8	Q. What is the effect of a stamp like
9	A. This is an information back to the	9	this?
10	local agent, Norton Lilly, and the vessel, and	10	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.
11	the people in the stowage center, as well as to	11	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.
12	the bunker surveyor informing them about the	12	A. For our department, I don't see
13	done stem, that there will be 2,900 for the	13	any.
14	SEASPAN HAMBURG and at Tacoma to coordinate tl		(Kock Exhibit 23, Document Bates
15	supplier.	15	stamped HPL-USOT 24, marked for identification.)
16	(Kock Exhibit 22, Document Bates	16	Q. We have marked as Exhibit 23 a
17	stamped HPL-USOT 113 and HPL-USOT 114, marked		document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 24. Have you
18	for identification.)	18	seen this document before?
19	O. Marked as Exhibit 22 an email with	19	A. Yes.
20	attachment, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 113 and 114.	20	Q. What is this document?
21	Have you seen this document before?	21	A. This is the invoice from O.W.
22	A. Yes.	22	Hamburg to Hapag-Lloyd for the supply of fuel
23	Q. What is this document?	23	oil at Tacoma.
24	A. This is an email from the SEASPAN	24	Q. The invoice from O.W. Germany to
25	HAMBURG after sending to the responsible	25	Hapag-Lloyd?
	Page 87	23	Page 89
1		,	-
1 2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
3	purchaser confirming the receipt of 2900 tons fuel oil at Tacoma on the 16th of October 2014.	2	A. Right.
		3	O There are a single server at a server and the
			Q. There are again some stamps on the
4	Q. This email went to the Hapag-Lloyd	4	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's
5	bunker purchasing department?	5	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014?
5 6	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical	5 6	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has
5 6 7	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a	5 6 7	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager,
5 6 7 8	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been	5 6 7 8	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from
5 6 7 8 9	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied.	5 6 7 8 9	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey.
5 6 7 8 9	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page	5 6 7 8 9	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page 114, what is the attachment?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice was paid by Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Germany?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page 114, what is the attachment? A. This is the attachment to that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice was paid by Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Germany? A. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page 114, what is the attachment? A. This is the attachment to that email.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice was paid by Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Yes, it was paid?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page 114, what is the attachment? A. This is the attachment to that email. Q. Do you have any understanding of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice was paid by Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Yes, it was paid? A. No. Wait. Due date November 15th.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page 114, what is the attachment? A. This is the attachment to that email. Q. Do you have any understanding of the large stamp marked "SEASPAN HAMBURG Bunk	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 er 15	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice was paid by Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Yes, it was paid? A. No. Wait. Due date November 15th. I strongly believe we have stopped it.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page 114, what is the attachment? A. This is the attachment to that email. Q. Do you have any understanding of the large stamp marked "SEASPAN HAMBURG Bunk Receipt"?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 er 15	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice was paid by Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Yes, it was paid? A. No. Wait. Due date November 15th. I strongly believe we have stopped it. Q. Ordinarily the terms of payment are
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page 114, what is the attachment? A. This is the attachment to that email. Q. Do you have any understanding of the large stamp marked "SEASPAN HAMBURG Bunk Receipt"? A. No, this stamp has not been created	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 er 15 16 17	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice was paid by Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Yes, it was paid? A. No. Wait. Due date November 15th. I strongly believe we have stopped it. Q. Ordinarily the terms of payment are within 30 days from the date of delivery listed
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page 114, what is the attachment? A. This is the attachment to that email. Q. Do you have any understanding of the large stamp marked "SEASPAN HAMBURG Bunk Receipt"? A. No, this stamp has not been created by our department. It looks like this stamp has	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 er 15 16 17	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice was paid by Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Yes, it was paid? A. No. Wait. Due date November 15th. I strongly believe we have stopped it. Q. Ordinarily the terms of payment are within 30 days from the date of delivery listed there on the invoice?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page 114, what is the attachment? A. This is the attachment to that email. Q. Do you have any understanding of the large stamp marked "SEASPAN HAMBURG Bunk Receipt"? A. No, this stamp has not been created by our department. It looks like this stamp has been created by the vessel's owner or manager.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 er 15 16 17 18	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice was paid by Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Yes, it was paid? A. No. Wait. Due date November 15th. I strongly believe we have stopped it. Q. Ordinarily the terms of payment are within 30 days from the date of delivery listed there on the invoice? A. Right, providing the invoice is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page 114, what is the attachment? A. This is the attachment to that email. Q. Do you have any understanding of the large stamp marked "SEASPAN HAMBURG Bunk Receipt"? A. No, this stamp has not been created by our department. It looks like this stamp has been created by the vessel's owner or manager. Q. It reads that, "Bunkers are	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 er 15 16 17 18 19 20	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice was paid by Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Yes, it was paid? A. No. Wait. Due date November 15th. I strongly believe we have stopped it. Q. Ordinarily the terms of payment are within 30 days from the date of delivery listed there on the invoice? A. Right, providing the invoice is coming within 14 days or 15 days after supply.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page 114, what is the attachment? A. This is the attachment to that email. Q. Do you have any understanding of the large stamp marked "SEASPAN HAMBURG Bunk Receipt"? A. No, this stamp has not been created by our department. It looks like this stamp has been created by the vessel's owner or manager. Q. It reads that, "Bunkers are received onboard and taken into custody for and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 er 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice was paid by Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Yes, it was paid? A. No. Wait. Due date November 15th. I strongly believe we have stopped it. Q. Ordinarily the terms of payment are within 30 days from the date of delivery listed there on the invoice? A. Right, providing the invoice is coming within 14 days or 15 days after supply. If not, we are paying 15 days after receipt of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page 114, what is the attachment? A. This is the attachment to that email. Q. Do you have any understanding of the large stamp marked "SEASPAN HAMBURG Bunk Receipt"? A. No, this stamp has not been created by our department. It looks like this stamp has been created by the vessel's owner or manager. Q. It reads that, "Bunkers are received onboard and taken into custody for and on behalf of Charterers only for the account of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 er 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice was paid by Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Yes, it was paid? A. No. Wait. Due date November 15th. I strongly believe we have stopped it. Q. Ordinarily the terms of payment are within 30 days from the date of delivery listed there on the invoice? A. Right, providing the invoice is coming within 14 days or 15 days after supply. If not, we are paying 15 days after receipt of the invoice.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page 114, what is the attachment? A. This is the attachment to that email. Q. Do you have any understanding of the large stamp marked "SEASPAN HAMBURG Bunk Receipt"? A. No, this stamp has not been created by our department. It looks like this stamp has been created by the vessel's owner or manager. Q. It reads that, "Bunkers are received onboard and taken into custody for and on behalf of Charterers only for the account of the Charterer. No lien" it's illegible for the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 er 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice was paid by Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Yes, it was paid? A. No. Wait. Due date November 15th. I strongly believe we have stopped it. Q. Ordinarily the terms of payment are within 30 days from the date of delivery listed there on the invoice? A. Right, providing the invoice is coming within 14 days or 15 days after supply. If not, we are paying 15 days after receipt of the invoice. MR. MALONEY: I think it's a good
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	bunker purchasing department? A. Yes, as well as to the technical department. I think it might be a superintendent department of SEASPAN had been copied. Q. On the face of the page, on page 114, what is the attachment? A. This is the attachment to that email. Q. Do you have any understanding of the large stamp marked "SEASPAN HAMBURG Bunk Receipt"? A. No, this stamp has not been created by our department. It looks like this stamp has been created by the vessel's owner or manager. Q. It reads that, "Bunkers are received onboard and taken into custody for and on behalf of Charterers only for the account of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 er 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	invoice. Was this booked by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department in October 28th of 2014? A. Yes. You like the name. It has been booked by the accounting manager, Mrs. Yaylaoglu. I think she's coming from Turkey. Q. Do you know whether this invoice was paid by Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. Yes, it was paid? A. No. Wait. Due date November 15th. I strongly believe we have stopped it. Q. Ordinarily the terms of payment are within 30 days from the date of delivery listed there on the invoice? A. Right, providing the invoice is coming within 14 days or 15 days after supply. If not, we are paying 15 days after receipt of the invoice.

	Page 90		Page 92
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	(Resumed 1:48 p.m.)	2	Q. I hand you a document that we've
3	(Kock Exhibit 24, Document Bates	3	marked as Exhibit 25, it's labeled HPL-USOT
4	stamped HPL-USOT 00115, marked for	4	pages 58 through 60.
5	identification.)	5	Have you seen this document before?
6	(Kock Exhibit 25, Document Bates	6	A. Yes.
7	stamped HPL-USOT pages 58 through HPL-USOT 60,	7	Q. What is this document?
8	marked for identification.)	8	A. This is the written purchase order
9	(Kock Exhibit 26, Document Bates	9	to our product center at O.W. Bunker Germany
10	stamped HPL-USOT pages 128 to HPL-USOT 129,	10	GMBH.
11	marked for identification.)	11	Q. Is this document generated in the
12	(Kock Exhibit 27, Document Bates	12	ordinary course of Hapag-Lloyd's business?
13	stamped HPL-USOT page 78, marked for	13	A. Yes.
14	identification.)	14	Q. Was it generated at or near the
15	(Kock Exhibit 28, Document Bates	15	time that the order was placed?
16	stamped HPL-USOT 126, marked for	16	A. Yes.
17	identification.)	17	Q. Is it the regular practice of the
18	(Kock Exhibit 29, Document Bates	18	bunker purchasing department to generate
19	stamped HPL-USOT 189 to HPL-USOT 192, marked for		purchase orders such as these?
20	identification.)	20	A. Yes.
21	(Kock Exhibit 30, Document Bates	21	Q. I'm handing you a document that
22	stamped, marked for identification.)	22	I've marked as Exhibit 26, it's labeled HPL-USOT
23	(Kock Exhibit 31, Document Bates	23	pages 128 to 129. Have you seen this document
24	stamped HPL-USOT 199 through HPL-USOT 200,	24	before?
25	marked for identification.)	25	A. Yes.
		23	
	Page 91	-	Page 93
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	MR. MALONEY: We're back from	2	Q. What is this document?
3	lunch.	3	A. This is the bunker receipt the
4	Q. I'm going to hand you a document	4	SOFIA EXPRESS sent us after receiving the bunker
5	marked as Kock Exhibit 24, document Bates	5	replenishment at Tacoma on October 29, 2014.
6	labeled HPL-USOT 00115.	6	Q. The email is directed to Ship
7	Is this email and attachment from	7	Management Fuel. What is Ship Management Fuel?
8	the SOFIA EXPRESS?	8	A. Ship Management Fuel is our ship
9	A. Yes.	9	management department.
10	Q. What does this document refer to?	10	Q. At Hapag-Lloyd?
11	A. It's a bunker requisition for the	11	A. At Hapag-Lloyd.
12	Port of Tacoma on the 29th of October, coming	12	Q. Is that different from the bunker
13	from the vessel's master.	13	requirement department?
14	Q. Do you know, was the SOFIA EXPRESS		A. It's a neighbor department of our
15	owned by Hapag-Lloyd?	15	purchasing department.
16	A. This is a Hapag-Lloyd vessel.	16	Q. I'm going to hand you a document
17	Q. Was Hapag also operating that	17	that I've marked as Exhibit 27, it's labeled
18	vessel?	18	HPL-USOT page 78.
	A. Yes.	19	My question again is have you seen
19	() In () at a hour of 7() 1.49	20	this document before?
19 20	Q. In October of 2014?		
19 20 21	A. Yes.	21	A. Yes.
19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. This email went to the bunker	21 22	Q. And what is this document?
19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. This email went to the bunker purchasing department?	21 22 23	Q. And what is this document?A. This is an invoice issued by O.W.
19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. This email went to the bunker	21 22	Q. And what is this document?

	Page 94		Page 96
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Q. It's dated November 1st of 2014?	2	or liters or cubic meters, and if the product
3	A. Yes.	3	density is analyzed lighter than the given
4	Q. This copy is a little bit hard to	4	density on the bunker delivery receipt, you will
5	read. Underneath the line items for quantity	5	calculate a loss to the shipowner because this
6	supplied and quality there's a notation that	6	is a pure commercial claim.
7	it's a "non-taxable delivery abroad."	7	Q. Would the bunker purchasing
8	Do you have an understanding of	8	department ever send a claim like this to the
9	what that means?	9	local physical supplier?
10	A. No.	10	A. No. We have no relation to this
11	Q. I can't read the handwriting on the	11	physical supplier, we have no contract with
12	right. Do you have any understanding of what	12	them.
13	that notation refers to?	13	Q. The information on page 127 details
14	A. It looks like that a claim has been	14	the claim that Ms. Niemeyer made?
15	issued on this supplier and the payment, the	15	A. Yes. This is the fuel survey
16	normal payment run has been stopped due to this	16	report we received from the attending surveyor
17	claim.	17	who was at the scene witnessing the quantity
18	Q. Are you referring to a claim with	18	determination onboard of the bunker barge, and
19	respect to the quantity or quality of fuel	19	witnessing also the sample drawing, and then
20	delivered, or something else?	20	arranging later on the analysis here.
21	A. In this specific case I can't	21	Q. Does the review of that email
22	remember.	22	refresh your recollection as to whether that
23	Q. It's your understanding that this	23	refers to the claim that's indicated on the
24	invoice has not been paid, correct?	24	invoice?
25	A. Yes.	25	A. It looks like, yes.
	Page 95		- 0F
	1490 73		Page 97
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
1 2		1 2	
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)		Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates
2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to
2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment.	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do
2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at	2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this A. Based on a different analyzed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the nomination. Have a beautiful sunny day"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this A. Based on a different analyzed product density compared to the given density on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the nomination. Have a beautiful sunny day"? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this A. Based on a different analyzed product density compared to the given density on the bunker delivery receipt, so we had a short	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the nomination. Have a beautiful sunny day"? A. Right. Q. Does this email attach the sales
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this A. Based on a different analyzed product density compared to the given density on the bunker delivery receipt, so we had a short delivery.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the nomination. Have a beautiful sunny day"? A. Right. Q. Does this email attach the sales order confirmation between Hapag-Lloyd and O.W.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this A. Based on a different analyzed product density compared to the given density on the bunker delivery receipt, so we had a short delivery. Q. What is a short delivery?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the nomination. Have a beautiful sunny day"? A. Right. Q. Does this email attach the sales order confirmation between Hapag-Lloyd and O.W. Germany?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this A. Based on a different analyzed product density compared to the given density on the bunker delivery receipt, so we had a short delivery. Q. What is a short delivery? A. A product density, I mean, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the nomination. Have a beautiful sunny day"? A. Right. Q. Does this email attach the sales order confirmation between Hapag-Lloyd and O.W. Germany? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this A. Based on a different analyzed product density compared to the given density on the bunker delivery receipt, so we had a short delivery. Q. What is a short delivery? A. A product density, I mean, the delivered metric tons will be calculated based	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the nomination. Have a beautiful sunny day"? A. Right. Q. Does this email attach the sales order confirmation between Hapag-Lloyd and O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. O.W. Germany got the nomination for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this A. Based on a different analyzed product density compared to the given density on the bunker delivery receipt, so we had a short delivery. Q. What is a short delivery? A. A product density, I mean, the delivered metric tons will be calculated based on a delivered volume, which has a delivery	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the nomination. Have a beautiful sunny day"? A. Right. Q. Does this email attach the sales order confirmation between Hapag-Lloyd and O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. O.W. Germany got the nomination for the VIENNA EXPRESS?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this A. Based on a different analyzed product density compared to the given density on the bunker delivery receipt, so we had a short delivery. Q. What is a short delivery? A. A product density, I mean, the delivered metric tons will be calculated based on a delivered volume, which has a delivery temperature and a specific product density.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the nomination. Have a beautiful sunny day"? A. Right. Q. Does this email attach the sales order confirmation between Hapag-Lloyd and O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. O.W. Germany got the nomination for the VIENNA EXPRESS? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this A. Based on a different analyzed product density compared to the given density on the bunker delivery receipt, so we had a short delivery. Q. What is a short delivery? A. A product density, I mean, the delivered metric tons will be calculated based on a delivered volume, which has a delivery temperature and a specific product density. Based on these three items you can calculate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the nomination. Have a beautiful sunny day"? A. Right. Q. Does this email attach the sales order confirmation between Hapag-Lloyd and O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. O.W. Germany got the nomination for the VIENNA EXPRESS? A. Yes. Q. O.W. Germany is listed here as the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this A. Based on a different analyzed product density compared to the given density on the bunker delivery receipt, so we had a short delivery. Q. What is a short delivery? A. A product density, I mean, the delivered metric tons will be calculated based on a delivered volume, which has a delivery temperature and a specific product density. Based on these three items you can calculate metric tons which will be invoiced from a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the nomination. Have a beautiful sunny day"? A. Right. Q. Does this email attach the sales order confirmation between Hapag-Lloyd and O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. O.W. Germany got the nomination for the VIENNA EXPRESS? A. Yes. Q. O.W. Germany is listed here as the seller on the transaction?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this A. Based on a different analyzed product density compared to the given density on the bunker delivery receipt, so we had a short delivery. Q. What is a short delivery? A. A product density, I mean, the delivered metric tons will be calculated based on a delivered volume, which has a delivery temperature and a specific product density. Based on these three items you can calculate metric tons which will be invoiced from a volume, because the bunker barge while alongside	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the nomination. Have a beautiful sunny day"? A. Right. Q. Does this email attach the sales order confirmation between Hapag-Lloyd and O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. O.W. Germany got the nomination for the VIENNA EXPRESS? A. Yes. Q. O.W. Germany is listed here as the seller on the transaction? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this A. Based on a different analyzed product density compared to the given density on the bunker delivery receipt, so we had a short delivery. Q. What is a short delivery? A. A product density, I mean, the delivered metric tons will be calculated based on a delivered volume, which has a delivery temperature and a specific product density. Based on these three items you can calculate metric tons which will be invoiced from a volume, because the bunker barge while alongside the vessel can be weighted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the nomination. Have a beautiful sunny day"? A. Right. Q. Does this email attach the sales order confirmation between Hapag-Lloyd and O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. O.W. Germany got the nomination for the VIENNA EXPRESS? A. Yes. Q. O.W. Germany is listed here as the seller on the transaction? A. Yes. Q. The payment terms and terms and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 28, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 126. This is an email from Dorit Niemeyer to Mr. Selmer, dated November 5th of 2014, and the exhibit goes on to page 127 with its attachment. I'll just ask you to take a look at that document? A. Mrs. Niemeyer issued a claim. Q. Does this A. Based on a different analyzed product density compared to the given density on the bunker delivery receipt, so we had a short delivery. Q. What is a short delivery? A. A product density, I mean, the delivered metric tons will be calculated based on a delivered volume, which has a delivery temperature and a specific product density. Based on these three items you can calculate metric tons which will be invoiced from a volume, because the bunker barge while alongside	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I've marked as Exhibit 29, it's Bates labeled HPL-USOT 189 to 192. This is an email from Mr. Selmer to Ms. Niemeyer concerning the VIENNA EXPRESS. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. The email is in German. Does it read, in sum and substance, "Thank you for the nomination. Have a beautiful sunny day"? A. Right. Q. Does this email attach the sales order confirmation between Hapag-Lloyd and O.W. Germany? A. Yes. Q. O.W. Germany got the nomination for the VIENNA EXPRESS? A. Yes. Q. O.W. Germany is listed here as the seller on the transaction? A. Yes.

	Page 98		Page 100
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	A. Yes.	2	record.
3	Q. I hand you a document that I've	3	(Off the record.)
4	marked as Exhibit 30, Bates labeled HPL-USOT	4	(Exhibit 33, Hapag-Lloyd Terms and
5	pages 182 to 184. Have you seen this document	5	Conditions of Purchasing, Bates stamped HPL-OMS
6	before?	6	1 through HPL-USOT 5, marked for
7	A. Yes.	7	identification.)
8	Q. What is this document?	8	(Exhibit 34, Email and attachments
9	A. This is the official written order	9	Bates stamped HPL-OMS 00057 through HPL-OMS
10	from Hapag-Lloyd to O.W. Bunker Germany	10	00058, marked for identification.)
11	confirming the order for VIENNA EXPRESS,	11	(Exhibit 35, Document Bates stamped
12	2,700 tons of fuel oil at the Port of Tacoma on	12	ING HL 2718 through ING HL 20, marked for
13	October 16, 2014.	13	identification.)
14	Q. I hand you a document that I've	14	(Exhibit 36, Document Bates stamped
15	marked as Exhibit 31, Bates labeled HPL-USOT 199	15	HPL-OMS 28 through HPL-OMS 30, marked for
16	through 200.	16	identification.)
17	Do you know who Victoria Bohn is?	17	(Exhibit 37, Document Bates stamped
18	A. I don't know Victoria Bohn. It	18	ING HL 32 and ING HL 33, marked for
19	looks like she's working in or she worked at the	19	identification.)
20	O.W. Bunker Hamburg department for issuing	20	(Exhibit 38, Document Bates stamped
21	invoices, though she's addressing this mail here	21	HPL-OMS 63 through HPL-OMS 69, marked for
22	to our accounting department, Mrs. Sakowski:	22	identification.)
23	"Good morning, Mrs. Sakowski.	23	(Exhibit 39, Document Bates stamped
24	Attached you received invoice and delivery note	24	HPL-OMS 42 and HPL-OMS 43, marked for
25	for the bunker delivery of VIENNA EXPRESS in	25	identification.)
	Daga 00		
	Page 99		Page 101
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Page 101 Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
1 2		1 2	
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)		Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier."	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents
2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the
2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D.
2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd?	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29, 2014 by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department? A. Yes. Q. This invoice also was not paid?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this document? A. This is a set of Hapag-Lloyd Terms and Conditions of Purchasing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29, 2014 by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department? A. Yes. Q. This invoice also was not paid? A. Not paid, the security was issued.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this document? A. This is a set of Hapag-Lloyd Terms and Conditions of Purchasing. Q. This was produced in connection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29, 2014 by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department? A. Yes. Q. This invoice also was not paid? A. Not paid, the security was issued. (Kock Exhibit 32, Document Bates	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this document? A. This is a set of Hapag-Lloyd Terms and Conditions of Purchasing. Q. This was produced in connection with the O'Rourke Marine Services action, case
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29, 2014 by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department? A. Yes. Q. This invoice also was not paid? A. Not paid, the security was issued. (Kock Exhibit 32, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 197 to HPL-USOT 198, marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 or 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this document? A. This is a set of Hapag-Lloyd Terms and Conditions of Purchasing. Q. This was produced in connection with the O'Rourke Marine Services action, case number 14-cv-10027.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29, 2014 by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department? A. Yes. Q. This invoice also was not paid? A. Not paid, the security was issued. (Kock Exhibit 32, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 197 to HPL-USOT 198, marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 or 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this document? A. This is a set of Hapag-Lloyd Terms and Conditions of Purchasing. Q. This was produced in connection with the O'Rourke Marine Services action, case number 14-cv-10027. Do you have any understanding of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29, 2014 by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department? A. Yes. Q. This invoice also was not paid? A. Not paid, the security was issued. (Kock Exhibit 32, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 197 to HPL-USOT 198, marked for identification.) Q. We have marked as Exhibit 32 a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 or 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this document? A. This is a set of Hapag-Lloyd Terms and Conditions of Purchasing. Q. This was produced in connection with the O'Rourke Marine Services action, case number 14-cv-10027. Do you have any understanding of whether there were different terms and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29, 2014 by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department? A. Yes. Q. This invoice also was not paid? A. Not paid, the security was issued. (Kock Exhibit 32, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 197 to HPL-USOT 198, marked for identification.) Q. We have marked as Exhibit 32 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 197 to 198.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 or 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this document? A. This is a set of Hapag-Lloyd Terms and Conditions of Purchasing. Q. This was produced in connection with the O'Rourke Marine Services action, case number 14-cv-10027. Do you have any understanding of whether there were different terms and conditions that applied to the SIDNEY EXPRESS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29, 2014 by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department? A. Yes. Q. This invoice also was not paid? A. Not paid, the security was issued. (Kock Exhibit 32, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 197 to HPL-USOT 198, marked for identification.) Q. We have marked as Exhibit 32 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 197 to 198. Have you seen this document before, sir?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 or 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this document? A. This is a set of Hapag-Lloyd Terms and Conditions of Purchasing. Q. This was produced in connection with the O'Rourke Marine Services action, case number 14-cv-10027. Do you have any understanding of whether there were different terms and conditions that applied to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and the DERBY D as to the other four vessels we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29, 2014 by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department? A. Yes. Q. This invoice also was not paid? A. Not paid, the security was issued. (Kock Exhibit 32, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 197 to HPL-USOT 198, marked for identification.) Q. We have marked as Exhibit 32 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 197 to 198. Have you seen this document before, sir? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 or 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this document? A. This is a set of Hapag-Lloyd Terms and Conditions of Purchasing. Q. This was produced in connection with the O'Rourke Marine Services action, case number 14-cv-10027. Do you have any understanding of whether there were different terms and conditions that applied to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and the DERBY D as to the other four vessels we have just reviewed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29, 2014 by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department? A. Yes. Q. This invoice also was not paid? A. Not paid, the security was issued. (Kock Exhibit 32, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 197 to HPL-USOT 198, marked for identification.) Q. We have marked as Exhibit 32 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 197 to 198. Have you seen this document before, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 or 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this document? A. This is a set of Hapag-Lloyd Terms and Conditions of Purchasing. Q. This was produced in connection with the O'Rourke Marine Services action, case number 14-cv-10027. Do you have any understanding of whether there were different terms and conditions that applied to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and the DERBY D as to the other four vessels we have just reviewed? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29, 2014 by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department? A. Yes. Q. This invoice also was not paid? A. Not paid, the security was issued. (Kock Exhibit 32, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 197 to HPL-USOT 198, marked for identification.) Q. We have marked as Exhibit 32 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 197 to 198. Have you seen this document before, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is an email to our requirement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 or 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this document? A. This is a set of Hapag-Lloyd Terms and Conditions of Purchasing. Q. This was produced in connection with the O'Rourke Marine Services action, case number 14-cv-10027. Do you have any understanding of whether there were different terms and conditions that applied to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and the DERBY D as to the other four vessels we have just reviewed? A. No. Q. What is your understanding?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29, 2014 by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department? A. Yes. Q. This invoice also was not paid? A. Not paid, the security was issued. (Kock Exhibit 32, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 197 to HPL-USOT 198, marked for identification.) Q. We have marked as Exhibit 32 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 197 to 198. Have you seen this document before, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is an email to our requirement section 3, as well as to our ship management	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 or 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this document? A. This is a set of Hapag-Lloyd Terms and Conditions of Purchasing. Q. This was produced in connection with the O'Rourke Marine Services action, case number 14-cv-10027. Do you have any understanding of whether there were different terms and conditions that applied to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and the DERBY D as to the other four vessels we have just reviewed? A. No. Q. What is your understanding? A. We had a set of terms and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29, 2014 by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department? A. Yes. Q. This invoice also was not paid? A. Not paid, the security was issued. (Kock Exhibit 32, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 197 to HPL-USOT 198, marked for identification.) Q. We have marked as Exhibit 32 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 197 to 198. Have you seen this document before, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is an email to our requirement section 3, as well as to our ship management department, confirming the bunker delivery at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 or 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this document? A. This is a set of Hapag-Lloyd Terms and Conditions of Purchasing. Q. This was produced in connection with the O'Rourke Marine Services action, case number 14-cv-10027. Do you have any understanding of whether there were different terms and conditions that applied to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and the DERBY D as to the other four vessels we have just reviewed? A. No. Q. What is your understanding? A. We had a set of terms and conditions negotiated with O.W. Bunker for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Tacoma on October 18, 2014. The original documents will follow by courier." Q. The attachment to this email on page 200, is that the invoice from O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. Again, it was booked on October 29, 2014 by Hapag-Lloyd's accounting department? A. Yes. Q. This invoice also was not paid? A. Not paid, the security was issued. (Kock Exhibit 32, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 197 to HPL-USOT 198, marked for identification.) Q. We have marked as Exhibit 32 a document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 197 to 198. Have you seen this document before, sir? A. Yes. Q. What is this document? A. This is an email to our requirement section 3, as well as to our ship management	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 or 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) MR. MALONEY: We've taken a short break just to get some additional documents marked, and we're going to turn now to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and DERBY D. Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I've marked as Exhibit 33, it's HPL-OMS pages 1 through 5. Do you recognize this document? A. This is a set of Hapag-Lloyd Terms and Conditions of Purchasing. Q. This was produced in connection with the O'Rourke Marine Services action, case number 14-cv-10027. Do you have any understanding of whether there were different terms and conditions that applied to the SIDNEY EXPRESS and the DERBY D as to the other four vessels we have just reviewed? A. No. Q. What is your understanding? A. We had a set of terms and

	Page 106		Page 108
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	securance (sic) has been issued.	2	not our department's business, so I'm not in
3	Q. Security has been issued in the	3	that stuff.
4	court in these actions?	4	Q. On page 64 at line 39, is it fair
5	A. Yes.	5	to say that the charterers were responsible for
6	Q. Was the DERBY D a vessel that was	6	the purchasing of fuel while it was on charter?
7	owned by Hapag-Lloyd or chartered, on charter to	7	A. Based on this definition here in
8	Hapag?	8	this contract, yes.
9	A. This was a charter to Hapag-Lloyd.	9	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to
10	Q. Do you know who owned the DERBY D	10	just objection.
11	in 2014?	11	Q. I'm going to hand you a document
12	A. No.	12	that I've marked as Exhibit 39, and it's labeled
13	Q. Do you know whether the charter was	13	HPL-OMS pages 42 and 43. Have you seen this
14	governed by a Charter Party?	14	document before?
15	A. It must be governed by a Charter	15	A. Yes.
16	Party, because a Charter Party is a contract	16	Q. Is this another inquiry to the
17	between the vessel's owner and the charterer.	17	market?
18	Q. I show you a document that I have	18	A. Yes.
19	marked as Exhibit 38, it's labeled HPL-OMS 63	19	Q. None of the recipients are
20	through 69.	20	identified. Is there a reason why these
21	MR. MALONEY: I'll note for the	21	inquiries are sent without a listing of who is
22	record that there is a designation, an entire	22	to receive them?
23	Bates stamped document number HPL-OMS 63 throug	h 23	A. Yeah. The recipients will be only
24	122 is hereby deemed confidential.	24	blank carbon copied to not allow them who is
25	MR. DEHART: Under the terms of the	25	participating in this inquiry.
	Page 107		Page 109
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	confidentiality agreement it applies to all	2	Q. To not
3	these actions?	3	A. We are not interested to allow them
4	Q. Have you seen this document before,	4	to know who is competing to maybe contact each
5	sir?	5	other to manipulate prices.
6	A. I can't remember.	6	Q. There's no reference to any local
7	Q. Is this a document that would have	7	physical suppliers on page 42 and 43, is there?
8	been negotiated by your chartering department?	8	A. No.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. Do you know if this inquiry was
10	Q. There on page 69, the last page of	10	sent directly to O'Rourke Marine Services?
11	the document, are those Mr. Petersen and	11	A. This inquiry has not been sent to
12	Mr. Hards' signatures?	12	O'Rourke.
13	A. Yes.	13	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd have any
14	Q. Did you know or do you know who	14	relationship with O'Rourke Marine Services
15	owns the DERBY D?	15	directly?
16	A. No.	16	A. No, no relationship directly. We
17	Q. On page 1 of this document it says	17	only have relationship to sellers accepting our
18	the Charter Party was made and concluded in	18	terms and conditions of purchasing.
19	Hamburg between Containers Lines Inc., Monrovia,		Q. O.W. Germany accepted Hapag-Lloyd's
20	and Hapag-Lloyd.	20	terms and conditions?
21	Do you have any reason to doubt	21	A. Yes.
22	whether Containers Lines Inc. are the owners of	22	Q. Did U.S. Oil Trading accept
23	this vessel, the DERBY D?	23	Hapag-Lloyd's terms and conditions?
24	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	24	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.
25	A. This is not our business. This is	25	A. We had no contact with these guys.

	Page 110		Page 112
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Q. Did O'Rourke Marine Services accept	2	Q. I've marked as Exhibit 41 a
	the terms and conditions?	3	document labeled HPL-OMS pages 18 through 20.
4	A. We had no direct contact to this	4	Have you seen this document before?
	company.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. You can put that aside.	6	Q. What is this document?
7	(Kock Exhibit 40, Document Bates	7	A. This is a written order from
	stamped HPL-OMS 21 through HPL-OMS 22, marke		Hapag-Lloyd, Mr. Lukas Gaus, to O.W. Bunker
	for identification.)	9	Germany GMBH, confirming the purchase of 50 tons
10	Q. I have marked as Exhibit 40 a	10	of marine distillate DMA 0.1 percent sulfur at
	document labeled HPL-OMS pages 21 through 22.	11	the Port of Houston, delivery to take place on
	Do you recognize this document?	12	November 5, 2014.
13	A. Yes.	13	Q. Do you see in the second paragraph
14	O. What is it?	14	it says that "All fuel delivered to Hapag-Lloyd
15	A. This is a sales order confirmation	15	AG as well as to Hapag-Lloyd Kreuzfahrten ISO
	coming from O.W. Bunker Germany confirming the	16	8217 Fourth Edition 2010," it says 2010(E)?
	sale of 50 tons of marine gas oil, 0.1 percent	17	A. Right.
	sulfur, at the Port of Houston, delivery on	18	Q. Is it the 2010(E) quality standard
	November 5, 2014.	19	that applies to this transaction?
20	· ·	20	A. This is a standard text in this
	Q. And again, the seller is O.W.	21	
22	Bunker Germany in this document? A. Yes.	22	contract. In this case the purchaser did not
		23	correct down to 2005 spec that O.W. was
23	Q. And the purchaser is Hapag-Lloyd AG?	23	confirming to us.
			Q. So would the sales order
25	A. Yes.	25	confirmation govern that question?
	Page 111		Page 113
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Q. Under your remarks it says "HALO	2	A. Yes.
3	GTC2005(E) shall apply"?	3	Q. Because this document is
4	A. It looks like a typo to me.	4	automatically generated by Hapag-Lloyd's
5	Q. Why do you say that?	5	systems?
6	A. Because in all previous order	6	A. Yes.
7	confirmations were relating to the GTCs of 2007,	7	Q. Do you know how many physical
8	which was in fact 2006.	8	suppliers are based in Houston, Texas, physical
9	Q. That was when the relationship with	9	suppliers of fuel?
10	O.W. Bunker Germany commenced?	10	A. No.
11	A. Yes.	11	(Kock Exhibit 42, Document Bates
12	Q. The line above says "All per ISO	12	stamped HPL-OMS page 45, marked for
	8217 2005(E)." Is it possible that someone	13	identification.)
	transposed the quality standard and the terms	14	Q. Marked as Exhibit 42 a document
15	and conditions?	15	labeled HPL-OMS page 45. Have you seen this
16	A. It looks like because the E is	16	document before?
17	THE INTERPRETER: In parentheses.	17	A. Yes.
18	A. Yeah.	18	Q. And do you know what it refers to?
19	Q. Does the quality standard	19	A. It refers to the delivery of the
20	designation look correct to you?	20	order of Mr. Jonas Hanke to O.W. Germany for
21	A. Yes. The ISO 8217 2005 exists,	21	arrange to supply of marine distillates to the
4	it's an old version.	22	DERBY D in Houston on November 5th.
	n's an old version.		DERBT D in Houston on November 3th.
	(Kock Exhibit 41, Document Bates	23	I think this is the covering email
22 23		23	

	Page 114		Page 116
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	order confirmation for the Exhibit Number 40.	2	A. It was the beginning of November
3	Q. What you're saying is that the	3	when it was in the newspaper.
4	second email below in this email chain from	4	Q. What do you remember about that?
5	Mr. Selmer refers to a sales order confirmation,	5	A. That we received a newspaper
6	and that sales order confirmation appears to be	6	article announcing that there will be trouble,
7	the document we've marked as Exhibit 40?	7	they might have problems to maintain supplies.
8	A. Yeah, because also this says order	8	That's when we contacted O.W. Germany and there
9	number of O.W. similar. They are referring to	9	it was they sold the assets of Singapore, the
10	the sales order confirmation number 1980-28364,	10	Singapore products company.
11	which is similar to this one here.	11	Q. Did you have a call with someone at
12	Q. So it's your testimony that the	12	O.W. Germany?
13	sales order number on Exhibit 40 is 119-28364?	13	A. We tried to make several calls with
14	A. Yes.	14	O.W. Germany, but it was difficult at that time
15	Q. The sales order confirmation number	15	to get through to reach somebody giving us clear
16	on Exhibit 42 also refers to number 119-283364?	16	information.
17	A. Yes.	17	Q. Did you have at least one
18	Q. Mr. Selmer writes to Mr. Hanke	18	discussion with them where they discussed
19	"supply by truck, do you know what that refers	19	Singapore?
20	to"?	20	A. This was not kind enough of a
21	A. To the supply of the ordered	21	discussion, it was always a very fast speak
22	50 metric tons of marine distillates or marine	22	because they were not really involved also in
23	gas oil at Houston. So the supply has been	23	Hamburg, they had problems to really disclose or
24	arranged by tank trucks and not by barge.	24	explain what's going on.
25	Q. Hapag-Lloyd also received an	25	Q. What happened next?
	Page 115		Page 117
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
1 2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is	1 2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right?	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes.	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and
2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other
2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document? A. No. No. I can't remember.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't remember.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document? A. No. No. I can't remember. Q. This document is not from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't remember. Q. Did you receive any threats to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document? A. No. No. I can't remember. Q. This document is not from Hapag-Lloyd's files, is it? It was produced by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't remember. Q. Did you receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document? A. No. No. I can't remember. Q. This document is not from Hapag-Lloyd's files, is it? It was produced by ING Bank N.V	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't remember. Q. Did you receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document? A. No. No. I can't remember. Q. This document is not from Hapag-Lloyd's files, is it? It was produced by ING Bank N.V A. I don't know. This looks strange	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't remember. Q. Did you receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd? A. Could you please repeat it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document? A. No. No. I can't remember. Q. This document is not from Hapag-Lloyd's files, is it? It was produced by ING Bank N.V A. I don't know. This looks strange to me because of that Danish stamp here,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't remember. Q. Did you receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd? A. Could you please repeat it? Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd receive any threats
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document? A. No. No. I can't remember. Q. This document is not from Hapag-Lloyd's files, is it? It was produced by ING Bank N.V A. I don't know. This looks strange to me because of that Danish stamp here, "BOGFORT." I've never seen this before, I can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't remember. Q. Did you receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd? A. Could you please repeat it? Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document? A. No. No. I can't remember. Q. This document is not from Hapag-Lloyd's files, is it? It was produced by ING Bank N.V A. I don't know. This looks strange to me because of that Danish stamp here, "BOGFORT." I've never seen this before, I can't remember.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't remember. Q. Did you receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd? A. Could you please repeat it? Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd after the insolvency?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document? A. No. No. I can't remember. Q. This document is not from Hapag-Lloyd's files, is it? It was produced by ING Bank N.V A. I don't know. This looks strange to me because of that Danish stamp here, "BOGFORT." I've never seen this before, I can't remember. Q. Okay. You can put that aside. Do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't remember. Q. Did you receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd? A. Could you please repeat it? Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd after the insolvency? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document? A. No. No. I can't remember. Q. This document is not from Hapag-Lloyd's files, is it? It was produced by ING Bank N.V A. I don't know. This looks strange to me because of that Danish stamp here, "BOGFORT." I've never seen this before, I can't remember. Q. Okay. You can put that aside. Do you know whether O.W. Germany was paid for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't remember. Q. Did you receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd? A. Could you please repeat it? Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd after the insolvency? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. At that time, no, I can't remember.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document? A. No. No. I can't remember. Q. This document is not from Hapag-Lloyd's files, is it? It was produced by ING Bank N.V A. I don't know. This looks strange to me because of that Danish stamp here, "BOGFORT." I've never seen this before, I can't remember. Q. Okay. You can put that aside. Do you know whether O.W. Germany was paid for the fuel supplied to the DERBY D?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't remember. Q. Did you receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd? A. Could you please repeat it? Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd after the insolvency? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. At that time, no, I can't remember. It was later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document? A. No. No. I can't remember. Q. This document is not from Hapag-Lloyd's files, is it? It was produced by ING Bank N.V A. I don't know. This looks strange to me because of that Danish stamp here, "BOGFORT." I've never seen this before, I can't remember. Q. Okay. You can put that aside. Do you know whether O.W. Germany was paid for the fuel supplied to the DERBY D? A. No, I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't remember. Q. Did you receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd? A. Could you please repeat it? Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd after the insolvency? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. At that time, no, I can't remember. It was later. Q. Did you receive any communications
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document? A. No. No. I can't remember. Q. This document is not from Hapag-Lloyd's files, is it? It was produced by ING Bank N.V A. I don't know. This looks strange to me because of that Danish stamp here, "BOGFORT." I've never seen this before, I can't remember. Q. Okay. You can put that aside. Do you know whether O.W. Germany was paid for the fuel supplied to the DERBY D? A. No, I don't know. Q. When did you become aware that O.W.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't remember. Q. Did you receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd? A. Could you please repeat it? Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd after the insolvency? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. At that time, no, I can't remember. It was later. Q. Did you receive any communications later from local physical suppliers in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) invoice for this supply from O.W. Germany, is that right? A. Yes. (Kock Exhibit 43, Document Bates stamped ING HL 270015, marked for identification.) Q. I would like to hand you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 43 labeled ING HL 270015. Are you familiar with this document? A. No. No. I can't remember. Q. This document is not from Hapag-Lloyd's files, is it? It was produced by ING Bank N.V A. I don't know. This looks strange to me because of that Danish stamp here, "BOGFORT." I've never seen this before, I can't remember. Q. Okay. You can put that aside. Do you know whether O.W. Germany was paid for the fuel supplied to the DERBY D? A. No, I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. We stopped, we stopped paying invoices and we tried to, because we understand at that time that they were no longer able to deliver also our contracts in Rotterdam and Antwerp, so we immediately tried to find other sellers to deliver instead. Q. Did you receive any communications from local physical suppliers? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't remember. Q. Did you receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd? A. Could you please repeat it? Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd receive any threats to arrest vessels owned or chartered by Hapag-Lloyd after the insolvency? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. At that time, no, I can't remember. It was later. Q. Did you receive any communications

	Page 118		Page 120
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	physical suppliers in Antwerp and Rotterdam.	2	(Kock Exhibit 44, Notice of Rule
3	Q. Only in Rotterdam and Antwerp or	3	30(b)(6) Deposition, marked for identification.)
4	elsewhere as well?	4	(Kock Exhibit 45, Notice of Rule
5	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	5	30(b)(6) Deposition, marked for identification.)
6	A. There was a lot of communication	6	(Kock Exhibit 46, First Amended
7	going on at that time, I do not have this exact	7	Complaint For Interpleader and Declaratory
8	amount of communication now, I can't remember	8	Judgment, marked for identification.)
9	it. It's in the records, but I can't remember	9	(Kock Exhibit 47, Declaration of
10	it.	10	Norbert Kock, marked for identification.)
11	Q. How about with respect to the cases	11	EXAMINATION BY MR. HEILIG:
12	*	12	Q. Good afternoon, sir. My name is
13	that you're appearing for here today, did you	13	Justin Heilig. I represent O.W. Bunker Germany
	have any communications with O'Rourke Marine	14	
14	Services after the bankruptcy of the O.W. Bunker	15	in three of the actions pending in New York
15	Group?		which involve the vessels VIENNA EXPRESS, SOFIA
16	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	16	EXPRESS, the SANTA ROBERTA, SEASPAN HAMBURG,
17	A. I can't remember.	17	well as the SIDNEY EXPRESS and the DERBY D.
18	Q. The same question for U.S. Oil	18	Please note that when I refer to
19	Trading. Did Hapag-Lloyd have any	19	the vessels, plural, I'm referring to those six
20	communications with U.S. Oil Trading after the	20	specific vessels unless otherwise noted, okay?
21	bankruptcy of the O.W. Bunker Group?	21	A. Okay.
22	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	22	Q. When I refer to the bunker
23	A. It could be done via our legal or	23	transactions I'm speaking about the supply of
24	insurance department.	24	bunker fuel to those six vessels in October of
25	Q. To your knowledge, no one in the	25	2014, okay?
	Page 119		Page 121
1	Page 119 Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Page 121 Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
1 2		1 2	
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay.
2 3	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring
2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W.	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm
2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014?	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two
2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party
2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection whatsoever of any communications with either O'Rourke Marine or U.S. Oil Trading after the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you should already be familiar with them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection whatsoever of any communications with either O'Rourke Marine or U.S. Oil Trading after the bankruptcy, is that your testimony?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you should already be familiar with them. First let me thank you for coming
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection whatsoever of any communications with either O'Rourke Marine or U.S. Oil Trading after the bankruptcy, is that your testimony? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you should already be familiar with them. First let me thank you for coming all this way from Hamburg to testify today, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection whatsoever of any communications with either O'Rourke Marine or U.S. Oil Trading after the bankruptcy, is that your testimony? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I would have been or I should have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you should already be familiar with them. First let me thank you for coming all this way from Hamburg to testify today, we appreciate it. I'm going to first have you look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection whatsoever of any communications with either O'Rourke Marine or U.S. Oil Trading after the bankruptcy, is that your testimony? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I would have been or I should have been able to look at my records because maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you should already be familiar with them. First let me thank you for coming all this way from Hamburg to testify today, we appreciate it. I'm going to first have you look at documents that have been marked as exhibits
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection whatsoever of any communications with either O'Rourke Marine or U.S. Oil Trading after the bankruptcy, is that your testimony? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I would have been or I should have been able to look at my records because maybe there's something in it if it had been passed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you should already be familiar with them. First let me thank you for coming all this way from Hamburg to testify today, we appreciate it. I'm going to first have you look at documents that have been marked as exhibits 44 and 45, they are the notices of deposition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection whatsoever of any communications with either O'Rourke Marine or U.S. Oil Trading after the bankruptcy, is that your testimony? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I would have been or I should have been able to look at my records because maybe there's something in it if it had been passed to our legal department, our insurance department	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you should already be familiar with them. First let me thank you for coming all this way from Hamburg to testify today, we appreciate it. I'm going to first have you look at documents that have been marked as exhibits 44 and 45, they are the notices of deposition issued by O.W. Germany in these actions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection whatsoever of any communications with either O'Rourke Marine or U.S. Oil Trading after the bankruptcy, is that your testimony? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I would have been or I should have been able to look at my records because maybe there's something in it if it had been passed to our legal department, our insurance department to take care of that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you should already be familiar with them. First let me thank you for coming all this way from Hamburg to testify today, we appreciate it. I'm going to first have you look at documents that have been marked as exhibits 44 and 45, they are the notices of deposition issued by O.W. Germany in these actions. Sir, have you seen these documents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection whatsoever of any communications with either O'Rourke Marine or U.S. Oil Trading after the bankruptcy, is that your testimony? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I would have been or I should have been able to look at my records because maybe there's something in it if it had been passed to our legal department, our insurance department to take care of that. MR. MALONEY: Those are all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you should already be familiar with them. First let me thank you for coming all this way from Hamburg to testify today, we appreciate it. I'm going to first have you look at documents that have been marked as exhibits 44 and 45, they are the notices of deposition issued by O.W. Germany in these actions. Sir, have you seen these documents before today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection whatsoever of any communications with either O'Rourke Marine or U.S. Oil Trading after the bankruptcy, is that your testimony? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I would have been or I should have been able to look at my records because maybe there's something in it if it had been passed to our legal department, our insurance department to take care of that. MR. MALONEY: Those are all the questions that I have for you at this time,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you should already be familiar with them. First let me thank you for coming all this way from Hamburg to testify today, we appreciate it. I'm going to first have you look at documents that have been marked as exhibits 44 and 45, they are the notices of deposition issued by O.W. Germany in these actions. Sir, have you seen these documents before today? A. I'm not sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection whatsoever of any communications with either O'Rourke Marine or U.S. Oil Trading after the bankruptcy, is that your testimony? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I would have been or I should have been able to look at my records because maybe there's something in it if it had been passed to our legal department, our insurance department to take care of that. MR. MALONEY: Those are all the questions that I have for you at this time, Mr. Kock. Thank you for your time and I'll pass	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you should already be familiar with them. First let me thank you for coming all this way from Hamburg to testify today, we appreciate it. I'm going to first have you look at documents that have been marked as exhibits 44 and 45, they are the notices of deposition issued by O.W. Germany in these actions. Sir, have you seen these documents before today? A. I'm not sure. Q. Do you understand that you've been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection whatsoever of any communications with either O'Rourke Marine or U.S. Oil Trading after the bankruptcy, is that your testimony? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I would have been or I should have been able to look at my records because maybe there's something in it if it had been passed to our legal department, our insurance department to take care of that. MR. MALONEY: Those are all the questions that I have for you at this time, Mr. Kock. Thank you for your time and I'll pass the witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you should already be familiar with them. First let me thank you for coming all this way from Hamburg to testify today, we appreciate it. I'm going to first have you look at documents that have been marked as exhibits 44 and 45, they are the notices of deposition issued by O.W. Germany in these actions. Sir, have you seen these documents before today? A. I'm not sure. Q. Do you understand that you've been designation by Hapag-Lloyd as the corporate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection whatsoever of any communications with either O'Rourke Marine or U.S. Oil Trading after the bankruptcy, is that your testimony? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I would have been or I should have been able to look at my records because maybe there's something in it if it had been passed to our legal department, our insurance department to take care of that. MR. MALONEY: Those are all the questions that I have for you at this time, Mr. Kock. Thank you for your time and I'll pass the witness. (Short recess taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you should already be familiar with them. First let me thank you for coming all this way from Hamburg to testify today, we appreciate it. I'm going to first have you look at documents that have been marked as exhibits 44 and 45, they are the notices of deposition issued by O.W. Germany in these actions. Sir, have you seen these documents before today? A. I'm not sure. Q. Do you understand that you've been designation by Hapag-Lloyd as the corporate representative to testify about the topics
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker purchasing department received communications from U.S. Oil Trading or O'Rourke Marine Services after the insolvency of the O.W. Bunker Group in early November 2014? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. I don't remember. Q. So you have no recollection whatsoever of any communications with either O'Rourke Marine or U.S. Oil Trading after the bankruptcy, is that your testimony? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I would have been or I should have been able to look at my records because maybe there's something in it if it had been passed to our legal department, our insurance department to take care of that. MR. MALONEY: Those are all the questions that I have for you at this time, Mr. Kock. Thank you for your time and I'll pass the witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. Okay. Q. When I refer to USOT I'm referring to U.S. Oil Trading, one of the parties to two of those actions. When I refer to OMS I'm speaking about O'Rourke Marine Services, a party to one of those actions, all right? A. Um-hum. Q. We've been discussing those names and vessels throughout the day today, so you should already be familiar with them. First let me thank you for coming all this way from Hamburg to testify today, we appreciate it. I'm going to first have you look at documents that have been marked as exhibits 44 and 45, they are the notices of deposition issued by O.W. Germany in these actions. Sir, have you seen these documents before today? A. I'm not sure. Q. Do you understand that you've been designation by Hapag-Lloyd as the corporate

	Page 122		Page 124
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Q. You can put them aside, we're done	2	First Amended Complaint filed by Hapag-Lloyd in
3	with them. Earlier I believe you testified that	3	action 14-cv-9949.
4	Hapag-Lloyd began doing business with O.W.	4	MR. HEILIG: I should clarify for
5	Germany in 2007, is that correct?	5	the record that this is the pleading without
6	A. That's correct.	6	exhibits.
7	Q. So Hapag didn't have any dealings	7	Q. Sir, have you seen this document
8	with O.W. Germany before 2007?	8	before today?
9	A. No.	9	A. I've seen a lot of documents today.
10	Q. Was Hapag aware of O.W. Germany's	10	I'm not sure. I don't think so.
11	presence in the market before 2007?	11	Q. Did you review any documents to be
12	A. Yes.	12	filed by Hapag in these lawsuits before they
13	Q. Is there a reason why you didn't do	13	were filed?
14	business with O.W. Germany before that time?	14	In other words, did you review any
15	A. Before that time we've done no	15	of the pleadings before they were submitted by
16	business with O.W. because the reputation of	16	Hapag's U.S. attorneys to the court?
17	O.W. was a poor reputation in the local market.	17	A. I was doing testimonies last year
18	Q. Okay. So by 2007 then, is it fair	18	in writing and I had to review them earlier.
19	to say O.W. Germany's reputation improved in the	19	Q. So you reviewed them for factual
20	local market?	20	accuracy?
21	A. For me personally, not really.	21	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. I think
22	Q. Okay.	22	we're talking about different things, just to be
23	A. But this was different to my	23	fair to the witness. We're on pleadings and I
24	management.	24	think he's referring to maybe his declaration.
25	Q. So it was management's decision to	25	I think you have to be careful with the words
	Page 123		Page 125
1	Page 123 Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	
1 2		1 2	Page 125 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have.
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)		Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany?	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have.
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough.
2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader.	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the
2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions? A. Right. This was the kind of my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions? A. Right. This was the kind of my personal securant, or securing that we have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to today? A. Yes. This was the contract we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions? A. Right. This was the kind of my personal securant, or securing that we have a real plain and sound business case with O.W.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to today? A. Yes. This was the contract we have discussed earlier today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions? A. Right. This was the kind of my personal securant, or securing that we have a real plain and sound business case with O.W. Germany.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to today? A. Yes. This was the contract we have discussed earlier today. Q. If we look at the document
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions? A. Right. This was the kind of my personal securant, or securing that we have a real plain and sound business case with O.W. Germany. Q. Okay. So if I understand you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to today? A. Yes. This was the contract we have discussed earlier today. Q. If we look at the document previously marked as Exhibit Number 3, the ARA
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions? A. Right. This was the kind of my personal securant, or securing that we have a real plain and sound business case with O.W. Germany. Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly, the acceptance of Hapag's terms by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to today? A. Yes. This was the contract we have discussed earlier today. Q. If we look at the document previously marked as Exhibit Number 3, the ARA contract. Is this the document that you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions? A. Right. This was the kind of my personal securant, or securing that we have a real plain and sound business case with O.W. Germany. Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly, the acceptance of Hapag's terms by O.W. Germany gave Hapag some feeling of comfor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to today? A. Yes. This was the contract we have discussed earlier today. Q. If we look at the document previously marked as Exhibit Number 3, the ARA contract. Is this the document that you're referring to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions? A. Right. This was the kind of my personal securant, or securing that we have a real plain and sound business case with O.W. Germany. Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly, the acceptance of Hapag's terms by O.W. Germany gave Hapag some feeling of comfor or some level of security that they could do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to today? A. Yes. This was the contract we have discussed earlier today. Q. If we look at the document previously marked as Exhibit Number 3, the ARA contract. Is this the document that you're referring to? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions? A. Right. This was the kind of my personal securant, or securing that we have a real plain and sound business case with O.W. Germany. Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly, the acceptance of Hapag's terms by O.W. Germany gave Hapag some feeling of comfor or some level of security that they could do business	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to today? A. Yes. This was the contract we have discussed earlier today. Q. If we look at the document previously marked as Exhibit Number 3, the ARA contract. Is this the document that you're referring to? A. Right. MR. FERNANDEZ: Just note my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions? A. Right. This was the kind of my personal securant, or securing that we have a real plain and sound business case with O.W. Germany. Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly, the acceptance of Hapag's terms by O.W. Germany gave Hapag some feeling of comfor or some level of security that they could do business A. Confidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to today? A. Yes. This was the contract we have discussed earlier today. Q. If we look at the document previously marked as Exhibit Number 3, the ARA contract. Is this the document that you're referring to? A. Right. MR. FERNANDEZ: Just note my continued line of objection with regard to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions? A. Right. This was the kind of my personal securant, or securing that we have a real plain and sound business case with O.W. Germany. Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly, the acceptance of Hapag's terms by O.W. Germany gave Hapag some feeling of comfor or some level of security that they could do business A. Confidence. Q. Confidence that they could do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to today? A. Yes. This was the contract we have discussed earlier today. Q. If we look at the document previously marked as Exhibit Number 3, the ARA contract. Is this the document that you're referring to? A. Right. MR. FERNANDEZ: Just note my continued line of objection with regard to pleadings and legal issues. He's in the fuel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions? A. Right. This was the kind of my personal securant, or securing that we have a real plain and sound business case with O.W. Germany. Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly, the acceptance of Hapag's terms by O.W. Germany gave Hapag some feeling of comfor or some level of security that they could do business A. Confidence. Q. Confidence that they could do business with O.W. Germany?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to today? A. Yes. This was the contract we have discussed earlier today. Q. If we look at the document previously marked as Exhibit Number 3, the ARA contract. Is this the document that you're referring to? A. Right. MR. FERNANDEZ: Just note my continued line of objection with regard to pleadings and legal issues. He's in the fuel department, so I'm going to have a continuing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions? A. Right. This was the kind of my personal securant, or securing that we have a real plain and sound business case with O.W. Germany. Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly, the acceptance of Hapag's terms by O.W. Germany gave Hapag some feeling of comfor or some level of security that they could do business A. Confidence. Q. Confidence that they could do business with O.W. Germany? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to today? A. Yes. This was the contract we have discussed earlier today. Q. If we look at the document previously marked as Exhibit Number 3, the ARA contract. Is this the document that you're referring to? A. Right. MR. FERNANDEZ: Just note my continued line of objection with regard to pleadings and legal issues. He's in the fuel department, so I'm going to have a continuing line of objections relative to pleadings and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) begin doing business in 2007 with O.W. Germany? A. Yes, because O.W. at that time reached a position at the market which could not be an oversight. They really grow up to real big oil trader, bunker trader. Q. Okay. I believe you testified earlier that as a condition of doing business with O.W. Germany, O.W. Germany had to accept Hapag's terms and conditions? A. Right. This was the kind of my personal securant, or securing that we have a real plain and sound business case with O.W. Germany. Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly, the acceptance of Hapag's terms by O.W. Germany gave Hapag some feeling of comfor or some level of security that they could do business A. Confidence. Q. Confidence that they could do business with O.W. Germany?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) you have. MR. HEILIG: Fair enough. Q. Let's just take a look at the document then. Would you mind looking at page 5, and specifically paragraph 17? A. Yes. Q. In reviewing this document now, does this refresh your recollection as to whether you've seen this document prior to today? A. Yes. This was the contract we have discussed earlier today. Q. If we look at the document previously marked as Exhibit Number 3, the ARA contract. Is this the document that you're referring to? A. Right. MR. FERNANDEZ: Just note my continued line of objection with regard to pleadings and legal issues. He's in the fuel department, so I'm going to have a continuing

	Page 126		Page 128
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	have no understanding about what is a pleading.	2	and that's a reference to this ARA contract.
3	THE WITNESS: Maybe you could	3	So if I understand you correctly,
4	translate this for me, what is a pleading?	4	the ARA contract does not have any relevance to
5	(Interpreter conferring with the	5	bunker transactions at U.S. ports. So my
6	witness)	6	question is, is this still correct, that in the
7	MR. FERNANDEZ: A lawsuit.	7	normal course of business Hapag would remit
8	Q. This document was filed by Hapag	8	payment to O.W. Germany for bunker transactions
9	with the court specifying what their allegations	9	taking place at U.S. ports?
10	are as to the facts and to the relationships of	10	MR. KEOUGH: Objection to the form.
11	the parties, as well as to the relief sought by	11	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.
12	Hapag?	12	A. Yes.
13	A. I was not engaged in it.	13	Q. So even though this contract in
14	Q. Okay. But you understand the	14	Exhibit 3 might not be relevant, payment would
15	reference in paragraph 17 is to this ARA	15	still be remitted from Hapag to O.W. Germany for
16	contract in Exhibit 3?	16	bunker transactions for which O.W. Germany
17	A. Yes.	17	received the nomination?
18	Q. I believe you testified earlier the	18	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the
19	ARA contract dealt with the Ports of Antwerp,	19	form.
20	Rotterdam, and was it Amsterdam as well?	20	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.
21	A. Amsterdam. May I interrupt?	21	Q. In other words, Hapag would not pay
22	Q. Sure.	22	a physical supplier directly?
23	A. Because this contract is clearly	23	A. No.
24	stating Antwerp and Rotterdam, so Amsterdam is	24	Q. Never. Okay. Did Hapag ever
25	not included. This is the abbreviation, this is	25	receive invoices directly from the physical
	Page 127		Page 129
1	N. I IZ. I. (1.10.16)		
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) a common abbreviation in North Europe or	1 2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W.
2	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the	2	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W.
2	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based	2	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany?
2 3 4	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam.	2 3 4	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has
2 3 4 5	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based	2 3 4 5	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam.
2 3 4 5 6	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam.	2 3 4 5 6	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker
2 3 4 5 6 7	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the ARA contract, what relevance does it have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior. Q. Let's look at what has been marked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the ARA contract, what relevance does it have to bunker transactions that took place at U.S.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior. Q. Let's look at what has been marked as Exhibit 47, and this is Mr. Kock's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the ARA contract, what relevance does it have to bunker transactions that took place at U.S. ports?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior. Q. Let's look at what has been marked as Exhibit 47, and this is Mr. Kock's Declaration from action 15-cv-6718, which was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the ARA contract, what relevance does it have to bunker transactions that took place at U.S. ports? A. No relevance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior. Q. Let's look at what has been marked as Exhibit 47, and this is Mr. Kock's Declaration from action 15-cv-6718, which was transferred from the U.S. District Court of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the ARA contract, what relevance does it have to bunker transactions that took place at U.S. ports? A. No relevance. Q. Okay. So that contract does not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior. Q. Let's look at what has been marked as Exhibit 47, and this is Mr. Kock's Declaration from action 15-cv-6718, which was transferred from the U.S. District Court of the Western District of Washington to the Southern
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the ARA contract, what relevance does it have to bunker transactions that took place at U.S. ports? A. No relevance. Q. Okay. So that contract does not govern the transactions that we've been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior. Q. Let's look at what has been marked as Exhibit 47, and this is Mr. Kock's Declaration from action 15-cv-6718, which was transferred from the U.S. District Court of the Western District of Washington to the Southern District of New York.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the ARA contract, what relevance does it have to bunker transactions that took place at U.S. ports? A. No relevance. Q. Okay. So that contract does not govern the transactions that we've been discussing today with respect to the vessels?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior. Q. Let's look at what has been marked as Exhibit 47, and this is Mr. Kock's Declaration from action 15-cv-6718, which was transferred from the U.S. District Court of the Western District of Washington to the Southern District of New York. Sir, do you recognize this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the ARA contract, what relevance does it have to bunker transactions that took place at U.S. ports? A. No relevance. Q. Okay. So that contract does not govern the transactions that we've been discussing today with respect to the vessels? MR. KEOUGH: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior. Q. Let's look at what has been marked as Exhibit 47, and this is Mr. Kock's Declaration from action 15-cv-6718, which was transferred from the U.S. District Court of the Western District of Washington to the Southern District of New York. Sir, do you recognize this document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the ARA contract, what relevance does it have to bunker transactions that took place at U.S. ports? A. No relevance. Q. Okay. So that contract does not govern the transactions that we've been discussing today with respect to the vessels? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This was the contract based on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior. Q. Let's look at what has been marked as Exhibit 47, and this is Mr. Kock's Declaration from action 15-cv-6718, which was transferred from the U.S. District Court of the Western District of Washington to the Southern District of New York. Sir, do you recognize this document? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the ARA contract, what relevance does it have to bunker transactions that took place at U.S. ports? A. No relevance. Q. Okay. So that contract does not govern the transactions that we've been discussing today with respect to the vessels? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This was the contract based on Rotterdam and Antwerp supplies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior. Q. Let's look at what has been marked as Exhibit 47, and this is Mr. Kock's Declaration from action 15-cv-6718, which was transferred from the U.S. District Court of the Western District of Washington to the Southern District of New York. Sir, do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. This is a declaration that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the ARA contract, what relevance does it have to bunker transactions that took place at U.S. ports? A. No relevance. Q. Okay. So that contract does not govern the transactions that we've been discussing today with respect to the vessels? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This was the contract based on Rotterdam and Antwerp supplies. Q. Paragraph 1 the on the same page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior. Q. Let's look at what has been marked as Exhibit 47, and this is Mr. Kock's Declaration from action 15-cv-6718, which was transferred from the U.S. District Court of the Western District of Washington to the Southern District of New York. Sir, do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. This is a declaration that you signed on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the ARA contract, what relevance does it have to bunker transactions that took place at U.S. ports? A. No relevance. Q. Okay. So that contract does not govern the transactions that we've been discussing today with respect to the vessels? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This was the contract based on Rotterdam and Antwerp supplies. Q. Paragraph 1 the on the same page says, "In the normal course of business	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior. Q. Let's look at what has been marked as Exhibit 47, and this is Mr. Kock's Declaration from action 15-cv-6718, which was transferred from the U.S. District Court of the Western District of Washington to the Southern District of New York. Sir, do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. This is a declaration that you signed on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the ARA contract, what relevance does it have to bunker transactions that took place at U.S. ports? A. No relevance. Q. Okay. So that contract does not govern the transactions that we've been discussing today with respect to the vessels? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This was the contract based on Rotterdam and Antwerp supplies. Q. Paragraph 1 the on the same page says, "In the normal course of business Hapag-Lloyd" and it's abbreviated HLag "would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior. Q. Let's look at what has been marked as Exhibit 47, and this is Mr. Kock's Declaration from action 15-cv-6718, which was transferred from the U.S. District Court of the Western District of Washington to the Southern District of New York. Sir, do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. This is a declaration that you signed on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes. Q. I take it then that you reviewed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a common abbreviation in North Europe or Northwest Europe to say we are talking about Antwerp, Rotterdam and Amsterdam, but the contract we have been agreed upon was only based in Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. So perhaps a better title for the document would be AR contract? A. Right. Q. What relevance does Exhibit 3, the ARA contract, what relevance does it have to bunker transactions that took place at U.S. ports? A. No relevance. Q. Okay. So that contract does not govern the transactions that we've been discussing today with respect to the vessels? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This was the contract based on Rotterdam and Antwerp supplies. Q. Paragraph 1 the on the same page says, "In the normal course of business	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	suppliers for bunker nominations awarded to O.W. Germany? A. There is a chance that this has been done in the course of bankruptcy when we had to cover on a very short notice bunker deliveries in the Port of Antwerp and Rotterdam. Q. Prior to the bankruptcy did that ever occur? A. No, not prior. Q. Let's look at what has been marked as Exhibit 47, and this is Mr. Kock's Declaration from action 15-cv-6718, which was transferred from the U.S. District Court of the Western District of Washington to the Southern District of New York. Sir, do you recognize this document? A. Yes. Q. This is a declaration that you signed on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd? A. Yes.

Page 130 Page 132 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 2 2 worse price term, because the energy content of A. Yes. 3 3 O. And it's accurate, to the best of the fuel is better for the vessels? 4 4 Yes, right. your knowledge and belief? 5 A. Yes. 5 Q. Would Hapag also take into 6 6 Q. Let's take a look at page 2, consideration whether a trader would be able to 7 paragraphs 4 and 5; well really 4, 5 and 6. It 7 supply at multiple ports, as opposed to just one 8 appears that these paragraphs discuss the 8 port? 9 submission of bunker requisitions via email from 9 A. This is not our preference. 10 the vessels and then the fuel department, your 10 In paragraph 5 of your declaration 11 you discuss beginning the process of soliciting department evaluating those requisitions. 11 12 Does this declaration essentially 12 bids for the supply of fuel from various 13 summarize the bunkering process that we 13 traders, and there's a footnote, footnote 1, 14 discussed earlier with Mr. Maloney, ING's 14 which discusses the distinction between a trader 15 counsel? 15 and a bunker broker. 16 A. 16 I was wondering if you could just Yes. 17 17 describe for me what that distinction is in a Q. Can you tell me a bit more about 18 what goes into the evaluation process discussed 18 bit of greater detail? 19 in paragraph 5 after receipt of a bunker 19 A. Yeah, I mean --20 MR. KEOUGH: Objection. requisition from a vessel? 20 21 21 A. We have a clear understanding about A. In general, we try to supply on the most economic basis our fleet of container 22 the role of a bunker broker and the bunker 22 vessels. We are always trying to have advantage trader, because the bunker broker is just 23 23 of local price differences. So if vessels comes 24 24 knowing a supplier and he's knowing a shipowner to the U.S. East Coast we do not concentrate on 25 25 requiring fuel oil, and he's bringing together Page 133 Page 131 1 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 2 only one port, for example New York, we will 2 those parties. 3 3 always include other ports in the chain of the And then he's cashing in his, what 4 vessel's schedule which could be then Halifax, 4 is it, margin and stepping back and leaving the 5 5 shipowner together with the physical supplier to North Fork, Savannah. б 6 We will tender all these ports arranging and agreeing on the business. The 7 7 together in one go and then select the most contract comes together or works together 8 economic offer at the specific port, which could 8 between the shipowner, the buyer and the 9 9 be then New York or even Halifax or North Fork physical supplier locally. 10 or Savannah, that's what we are evaluating. 10 So this is not what we are after 11 Q. I believe we saw documents earlier 11 because we are trying to secure not only the 12 in which the physicals or the fuel specs were 12 quality of the product, but also the legal status of the contract, that's why we are just 13 provided by O.W. Germany to Hapag-Lloyd for 13 14 Tacoma, Oakland and Los Angeles on the West 14 working with parties accepting our terms and 15 Coast? 15 conditions of purchasing. 16 16 So this needs to be -- it could be A. Yes. 17 17 O. That would be the same? a physical supplier accepting them, but in most 18 18 cases, especially U.S.-based physical suppliers It's the same pattern. It's the 19 same pattern in Northwest Europe where we are 19 are not interested to accept them because they 20 20 also trying to compare in between Rotterdam, might be too sharp for them, so we are taking 21 Antwerp and Hamburg sometimes. 21 advantage of the services of a bunker trader. 22 Q. If I understand your earlier If the bunker trader is owing the product that's 22 23 23 testimony correctly, Hapag will evaluate both what we are demanding, we are expecting that the price as well as the fuel specs. If it's a 24 24 he's owning the product. 25 better fuel spec it might agree to accept a 25 MR. FERNANDEZ: Owing?

Page 136 Page 134 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 2 THE WITNESS: He owns. 2 Q. In paragraph 9, which starts on the 3 3 THE INTERPRETER: Owning. bottom of page 3 and goes to the top of page 4, 4 A. He owns the product and is selling 4 you discuss an incident where Hapag faced a 5 it to us on this risk, and based also on his 5 significant claim in the United States in the 6 6 1990s involving a foreign bunker broker. 7 7 Would you mind just explaining a Q. Do you know whether it's customary 8 for a broker to simply invoice its commission 8 bit more about that, that claim and that issue, 9 for the full selling invoice price for the 9 explain its relevance to these transactions here 10 bunker fuel? 10 today? 11 A. What I was experiencing in the past 11 A. The relevance to this or to these 12 was that the invoice was always coming from the 12 transactions is to try to explain why we are 13 physical supplier. When we have been doing 13 changing the policy from using brokers to using business, we are brokers, long, long ago. traders instead, because at that time we had a 14 14 15 O. And the broker would issue its own 15 claim, I think it was on the U.S. West Coast, 16 invoice for its commission? 16 and the fuel was not stable so the vessel had 17 17 A. Yeah. experienced severe operational problems. 18 Q. Let's pose a hypothetical. If O.W. 18 They could manage to operate, but 19 Germany were acting as a broker in a transaction 19 it was taking additional manpower to clean out 20 in which U.S. Oil physically supplied the fuel, the filters and to clean up the purification 20 21 again, if O.W. Germany were acting as a broker plant of that vessel so the vessel could 21 22 U.S. Oil would have issued an invoice to maintain the voyage, but only with additional 22 23 Hapag-Lloyd, and O.W. Germany would have issued manpower, as far as I can remember. 23 2.4 a separate invoice to Hapag-Lloyd for its So we claimed the delivery with the 24 25 commission? 25 physical supplier, I do not recognize, it's too Page 135 Page 137 1 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 2 MR. KEOUGH: Objection to the form. long ago, and he did not answer our claim. He 2 3 3 Probably, yes. just stepped back and stopped communicating with A. 4 But that's not what occurred here? 4 Q. us. 5 5 Okay. Had they been paid by that No. Q. A. 6 б In footnote 2 of your declaration time? you say you completely disagree with U.S. Oil's 7 7 A. They had been paid. So essentially Hapag had no 8 characterization of O.W. Denmark as a bunker 8 Q. 9 9 recourse --10 10 Again, I believe you've answered The money was out and there was no 11 this from your perspective. From Hapag's 11 more trigger to motivate them to answer. perspective O.W. Germany was its contractual 12 12 Q. Okay. Paragraph 7 on page 3 you state, "O.W. Germany solicited business as 13 counterparty, correct? 13 14 A. O.W. Germany was our contractual 14 having the ability to serve as a one-stop shop 15 counterparty. 15 for the sales/supply of fuel to vessels, thereby undertaking complete responsibility for all Hapag-Lloyd did not contract with 16 16 17 O.W. Denmark in these transactions? 17 aspects of the transaction, including inter alia 18 18 procurement delivery supply quality and Α. Never. 19 And O.W. Germany was a trader in 19 quantity." 20 part because it agreed to A, sell the bunker 20 What did you mean by a one-stop 21 fuel to Hapag-Lloyd; and B, agree to accept 21 shop? 22 Hapag-Lloyd's terms and conditions; and C, To have one party responsible for 22 23 supply to our vessel. 23 assume the risk for that sale? 24 A. That's right. 24 Q. Is this --MR. KEOUGH: Objection. 25 25 Not to interact with all these

1	Page 138		Page 140
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	parties which could be an in between.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Is it fair so say then that Hapag	3	Q. The 2006 version?
4	did not care what happened downstream of O.W.	4	A. Yes.
5	Germany in terms of dealing with subcontractors,	5	Q. And the version attached to
6	physical suppliers?	6	Exhibit 3?
7	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.	7	A. This is the version which has been
8	A. That's not our business.	8	negotiated with O.W. Bunker during the process
9	Q. If I understand correctly from what	9	of negotiating the contract in Rotterdam and
10	you said a few minutes ago, O.W. Germany's	10	Antwerp.
11	solicitation of business, as having the ability	11	Q. The ARA contract?
12	to serve as a one-stop shop, satisfied Hapag's	12	A. The ARA contract.
13	upper management that they could begin doing	13	Q. Which has no relevance to the
14	business with O.W. Germany in 2007, is that	14	transactions at issue here in these actions?
15	correct?	15	MR. KEOUGH: Objection to the form.
16	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the	16	A. Right.
17	form. You can answer the question.	17	Q. So really the version attached to
18	A. Yes, it seems so.	18	Exhibit H 1 are the terms that apply to the
19	Q. So if I understand sort of as a	19	contracts between Hapag-Lloyd and O.W. Germany
20	synthesis of what you said already, Hapag-Lloyd	20	for these transactions?
21	never authorized or pointed O.W. Germany as an	21	A. Yeah.
22	agent to order fuel on Hapag's behalf, is that	22	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.
23	correct?	23	A. This has been also confirmed by
24	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.	24	O.W
25	A. Never.	25	Q. It's signed and stamped by O.W.
	Page 139		Page 141
			_
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
1 2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S.	1 2	
			Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S.	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany?
2	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No.	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also
2 3 4	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order.
2 3 4 5	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No.	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes. MR. KEOUGH: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two. It seems like we have two sets of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two. It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two. It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two. It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two. It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two. It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long. Do you understand what the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag? MR. KEOUGH: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two. It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long. Do you understand what the difference is between these two versions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This is not our business.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two. It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long. Do you understand what the difference is between these two versions? A. The first version here which was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This is not our business. Q. So Hapag did not instruct O.W.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two. It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long. Do you understand what the difference is between these two versions? A. The first version here which was dated 2006, this is the version we were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This is not our business. Q. So Hapag did not instruct O.W. Germany to use certain physical suppliers at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two. It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long. Do you understand what the difference is between these two versions? A. The first version here which was dated 2006, this is the version we were discussing earlier today, which has been always	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This is not our business. Q. So Hapag did not instruct O.W. Germany to use certain physical suppliers at various ports?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two. It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long. Do you understand what the difference is between these two versions? A. The first version here which was dated 2006, this is the version we were discussing earlier today, which has been always mentioned by O.W. as the terms and conditions of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This is not our business. Q. So Hapag did not instruct O.W. Germany to use certain physical suppliers at various ports? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two. It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long. Do you understand what the difference is between these two versions? A. The first version here which was dated 2006, this is the version we were discussing earlier today, which has been always mentioned by O.W. as the terms and conditions of 2007.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This is not our business. Q. So Hapag did not instruct O.W. Germany to use certain physical suppliers at various ports? A. No. MR. KEOUGH: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S. Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two. It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long. Do you understand what the difference is between these two versions? A. The first version here which was dated 2006, this is the version we were discussing earlier today, which has been always mentioned by O.W. as the terms and conditions of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Germany? A. Yeah, but it has been also confirmed by O.W. in their order. Q. In their sales order confirmations. Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply? A. Yes. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag? MR. KEOUGH: Objection. A. This is not our business. Q. So Hapag did not instruct O.W. Germany to use certain physical suppliers at various ports? A. No.

Page 142 Page 144 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 2 (Kock Exhibit 48, Document Bates 2 because those containers have a specific weight. 3 stamped HPL-USOT 154 through 159, marked for 3 So the stowage planner needs to 4 identification.) 4 know that there might be fuel oil coming, that's 5 (Kock Exhibit 49, Agency Agreement, 5 the reason in this message here the vessel was 6 Bates stamped HPL-USOT 285 through 309, marked 6 sending to the stowage guys. 7 for identification.) 7 So essentially --Q. 8 To get reconfirmed is it okay for (Kock Exhibit 50, Document Bates 8 A. 9 stamped HPL-USOT 160 through 162, marked for 9 you. 10 identification.) 10 It's replanning, it's looking ahead 11 (Kock Exhibit 51, Document Bates to what will happen in the future? 11 12 stamped OWG-9949-230 through 233, marked for 12 Yes. Α. 13 identification.) 13 O. If we look at Exhibit 5, the 14 Q. Earlier we walked through various 14 document page 135 and the reverse side which is 15 documents relating to the supply of bunkers to 15 136, this is the email from the vessel with the 16 the SANTA ROBERTA. I just want to go back 16 actual requisition form, correct? 17 through those as quickly as possible and look at 17 A. Yes. 18 some of the previously marked exhibits for 18 Does the master of a Hapag vessel Q. 19 clarification purposes, and also to look at some 19 ever communicate directly with the physical 20 new exhibits. Like I said, I will try to go 20 supplier or trader? 21 through them as quickly as possible so that I'm 21 A. No. 22 not rehashing the same issues. MR. KEOUGH: Objection. 22 23 I believe you testified earlier, Q. Does the master have authority from 23 24 and correct me if I'm wrong, that these Hapag to do so? 24 25 transactions essentially transpired in the same 25 A. No. Page 145 Page 143 1 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 2 manner. That the procedure of the requisition, MR. KEOUGH: Objection. 2 3 3 the soliciting bids, the nomination, the So then the master doesn't have any 4 exchange of order confirmations and the 4 authority to either negotiate or alter the terms 5 invoicing were all essentially the same, is that 5 of a purchase contract that Hapag has entered 6 6 into with a physical supplier or trader? correct? 7 7 A. Yes. A. No. 8 Let's first look at Exhibit 8 The master's role is to simply 9 9 notify Hapag of what the vessel needs in terms Number 4, an email from the vessel. I believe 10 10 of fuel, right? you said that this is essentially, to use an 11 American idiomatic expression, it's essentially 11 A. Right. 12 a heads-up. It's just a notification from the 12 MR. KEOUGH: Objection. vessel saying at some point in the near future 13 13 That's the requisition form, Q. 14 we're going to issue a requisition form for 14 correct? 15 bunkers? 15 A. Right. 16 A. Yes. Our procedure says before a And that form is not an order, the 16 Q. 17 vessel is raising a bunker requisition to our order comes from Hapag, correct? 17 18 department, they have to recheck that 18 A. Right. And the order is placed by Hapag 19 requisition with the local stowage planner, 19 Q. 20 because bringing 3,000 metric tons of fuel oil with O.W. Germany, right? 20 A. Right. 21 onboard of the vessel has an impact to the 21 vessel's operation, and this has to be Okay. So when we get down further 22 22 Q. coordinated also with the stowage center not to through the process by stamping a bunker 23 23 get in conflict with coming container freight in delivery note, the master is merely confirming 24 24 that port or leaving container freight onboard the receipt of fuel, right? 25 25

	Page 146		Page 148
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	A. Right.	2	I believe you testified that this would be
3	MR. KEOUGH: Objection to the form.	3	Hapag's email to the market soliciting bids to
4	Q. The master doesn't have authority	4	supply the SANTA ROBERTA, is that correct?
5	to bind Hapag to the terms that are on a bunker	5	A. Correct.
6	delivery?	6	Q. Okay. On the reverse we have the
7	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.	7	actual inquiry form, is that right?
8	A. No.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. The master doesn't have authority	9	Q. Do you see a paragraph about
10	to deviate from Hapag's terms and conditions	10	halfway down the page that begins "Please take
11	which govern the purchase contract?	11	into consideration"?
12	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.	12	A. Yes.
13	=	13	
	A. They do not have an authorization		Q. This paragraph makes reference to
14	from Hapag-Lloyd.	14 15	Hapag's terms and conditions?
15	Q. If you look at Exhibit 6. Again,	_	A. That's true.
16	this is just Hapag's confirmation email to the	16	Q. And it's the latest edition?
17	vessel indicating that the requisition form has	17	A. That's true.
18	been received, and that Hapag will make	18	Q. So would this alter in any way your
19	arrangements accordingly, correct?	19	earlier testimony about which version of Hapag's
20	A. Yes.	20	terms applies to its contracts with O.W.
21	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.	21	Germany?
22	Q. If we look at the date on	22	MR. MALONEY: Objection to the
23	Exhibit 6. What's the date on the email?	23	form.
24	A. The date?	24	A. This is a standard text here, and
25	Q. Yes.	25	what we can see in O.W.'s order confirmation is
	Page 147		Page 149
1	Page 147 Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Page 149 Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
1 2		1 2	
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)		Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that.
2 3	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel?	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay.
2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest.
2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't
2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between.	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a	2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I guess conducting the evaluation process? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at the first page of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I guess conducting the evaluation process? A. No. Q. So then on Monday morning, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at the first page of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9. I believe Exhibit 8 is one where we've put several
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I guess conducting the evaluation process? A. No. Q. So then on Monday morning, for example, they would have looked on the valuation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at the first page of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9. I believe Exhibit 8 is one where we've put several documents together that perhaps should not have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I guess conducting the evaluation process? A. No. Q. So then on Monday morning, for example, they would have looked on the valuation process that we discussed earlier?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at the first page of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9. I believe Exhibit 8 is one where we've put several documents together that perhaps should not have been together, but that's all right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I guess conducting the evaluation process? A. No. Q. So then on Monday morning, for example, they would have looked on the valuation process that we discussed earlier? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at the first page of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9. I believe Exhibit 8 is one where we've put several documents together that perhaps should not have been together, but that's all right. I believe you testified earlier
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I guess conducting the evaluation process? A. No. Q. So then on Monday morning, for example, they would have looked on the valuation process that we discussed earlier? A. Yes. Q. And by Tuesday they would get back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at the first page of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9. I believe Exhibit 8 is one where we've put several documents together that perhaps should not have been together, but that's all right. I believe you testified earlier that what we have in Exhibit 8 is one of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I guess conducting the evaluation process? A. No. Q. So then on Monday morning, for example, they would have looked on the valuation process that we discussed earlier? A. Yes. Q. And by Tuesday they would get back to the vessel?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at the first page of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9. I believe Exhibit 8 is one where we've put several documents together that perhaps should not have been together, but that's all right. I believe you testified earlier that what we have in Exhibit 8 is one of the offers from Peninsula Petroleum, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I guess conducting the evaluation process? A. No. Q. So then on Monday morning, for example, they would have looked on the valuation process that we discussed earlier? A. Yes. Q. And by Tuesday they would get back to the vessel? A. Yeah. I would have been expected	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at the first page of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9. I believe Exhibit 8 is one where we've put several documents together that perhaps should not have been together, but that's all right. I believe you testified earlier that what we have in Exhibit 8 is one of the offers from Peninsula Petroleum, which is another trader, is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I guess conducting the evaluation process? A. No. Q. So then on Monday morning, for example, they would have looked on the valuation process that we discussed earlier? A. Yes. Q. And by Tuesday they would get back to the vessel? A. Yeah. I would have been expected to do it on Monday orally, but there might be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at the first page of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9. I believe Exhibit 8 is one where we've put several documents together that perhaps should not have been together, but that's all right. I believe you testified earlier that what we have in Exhibit 8 is one of the offers from Peninsula Petroleum, which is another trader, is that correct? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I guess conducting the evaluation process? A. No. Q. So then on Monday morning, for example, they would have looked on the valuation process that we discussed earlier? A. Yes. Q. And by Tuesday they would get back to the vessel? A. Yeah. I would have been expected to do it on Monday orally, but there might be a reason that they couldn't do it so they did it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at the first page of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9. I believe Exhibit 8 is one where we've put several documents together that perhaps should not have been together, but that's all right. I believe you testified earlier that what we have in Exhibit 8 is one of the offers from Peninsula Petroleum, which is another trader, is that correct? A. Right. Q. Exhibit 9 we have the typical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I guess conducting the evaluation process? A. No. Q. So then on Monday morning, for example, they would have looked on the valuation process that we discussed earlier? A. Yes. Q. And by Tuesday they would get back to the vessel? A. Yeah. I would have been expected to do it on Monday orally, but there might be a reason that they couldn't do it so they did it on a Tuesday morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at the first page of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9. I believe Exhibit 8 is one where we've put several documents together that perhaps should not have been together, but that's all right. I believe you testified earlier that what we have in Exhibit 8 is one of the offers from Peninsula Petroleum, which is another trader, is that correct? A. Right. Q. Exhibit 9 we have the typical supply by O.W. Germany with the specifications
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I guess conducting the evaluation process? A. No. Q. So then on Monday morning, for example, they would have looked on the valuation process that we discussed earlier? A. Yes. Q. And by Tuesday they would get back to the vessel? A. Yeah. I would have been expected to do it on Monday orally, but there might be a reason that they couldn't do it so they did it on a Tuesday morning. Q. Please do not admonish your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at the first page of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9. I believe Exhibit 8 is one where we've put several documents together that perhaps should not have been together, but that's all right. I believe you testified earlier that what we have in Exhibit 8 is one of the offers from Peninsula Petroleum, which is another trader, is that correct? A. Right. Q. Exhibit 9 we have the typical supply by O.W. Germany with the specifications for the fuel?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I guess conducting the evaluation process? A. No. Q. So then on Monday morning, for example, they would have looked on the valuation process that we discussed earlier? A. Yes. Q. And by Tuesday they would get back to the vessel? A. Yeah. I would have been expected to do it on Monday orally, but there might be a reason that they couldn't do it so they did it on a Tuesday morning. Q. Please do not admonish your subordinates based on what we've said today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at the first page of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9. I believe Exhibit 8 is one where we've put several documents together that perhaps should not have been together, but that's all right. I believe you testified earlier that what we have in Exhibit 8 is one of the offers from Peninsula Petroleum, which is another trader, is that correct? A. Right. Q. Exhibit 9 we have the typical supply by O.W. Germany with the specifications for the fuel? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) A. The date was September 30, 2014. Q. What's the date on the email with the requisition form from the vessel? A. This was September 26th. This was sent on a Friday and has been answered on a Tuesday. There was a weekend in between. Q. Are there individuals in your team in the office over the weekend who would be I guess conducting the evaluation process? A. No. Q. So then on Monday morning, for example, they would have looked on the valuation process that we discussed earlier? A. Yes. Q. And by Tuesday they would get back to the vessel? A. Yeah. I would have been expected to do it on Monday orally, but there might be a reason that they couldn't do it so they did it on a Tuesday morning. Q. Please do not admonish your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) that they were referring to the terms and conditions from 2006, and we accepted that. Q. Okay. A. Because we did not protest. Q. So in other words, this doesn't change your earlier testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 8 and 9. I just want you to look at the first page of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9. I believe Exhibit 8 is one where we've put several documents together that perhaps should not have been together, but that's all right. I believe you testified earlier that what we have in Exhibit 8 is one of the offers from Peninsula Petroleum, which is another trader, is that correct? A. Right. Q. Exhibit 9 we have the typical supply by O.W. Germany with the specifications for the fuel?

	Page 150		Page 152
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	earlier as a price comparison?	2	presumably per metric ton, and O.W. Bunker's
3	A. Yes.	3	first offer was 554 per metric ton?
4	Q. Essentially, this shows	4	A. You have to be careful with the
5	Hapag-Lloyd's process of evaluating the bids or	5	last column here which is stating which port is
6	the offers that it received from various	6	offered. So could be please repeat your
7	traders?	7	question?
8	A. That's true.	8	Q. I'm not sure I had a pending
9	Q. One of which would be O.W. Bunker	9	question. It just appears that the offer stated
10	Germany?	10	for GEFO is a dollar less than O.W. Bunker
11	A. Yes.	11	Germany in that first column entitled "Offer"?
12	Q. Is this an internal document?	12	A. That's true.
13	A. Yes.	13	Q. If I understand your earlier
14	Q. So this would not have been shared	14	testimony, the lowest price did not necessarily
15	with O.W. Germany?	15	win the nomination because the fuel quality
16	A. No.	16	might be better?
17	Q. And would not have been shared with	17	A. Yes.
18	the physical suppliers?	18	Q. And that's a factor that would be
19	A. Or anybody else.	19	taken into consideration which Hapag?
20	Q. Anybody else?	20	A. The energy contents, right.
21	A. Just internally, and if there is an	21	Q. The energy contents, right. If we
22	audit going on.	22	go over three columns it's again entitled "One
23	Q. If we look at the furthest column	23	offer," but it appears to be for the marine
24	to the left we have the names of the various	24	diesel oil header column?
25	traders that issued offers to Hapag-Lloyd, is	25	A. Yes.
	Page 151		Page 153
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	that correct?	2	Q. We see GEFO's first offer was
3	A. Right.	3	better than O.W. Bunker Germany, then O.W.
4	Q. Remind me, did you identify GF or	4	Bunker Germany made a second bid at a lower
5	GEFO before?	5	price, is that correct?
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Where are you at the moment?
7	Q. And who is that?	7	Q. Under the MDO O.W. Bunker 2 TE, it
8	A. This is a German-based or a	8	looks like the price went from 895 to 885?
9	Hamburg-based trader we are using from time to	9	A. Yes.
10	time.	10	Q. So at some point O.W. Bunker
11	Q. So if we look at the next column to	11	Germany improved its offer to the MDO. Do I
12	the right, number 1, offer, we see that how	12	understand correctly that the negotiation of
13	do you pronounce it?	13	pricing would have taken place likely over the
14	A. GEFO is the abbreviation for	14	phone?
15	Gesellschaft transport.	15	A. Yes.
16	THE INTERPRETER: Society for oil	16	Q. Okay. Again, this is just an
		4 -	internal document that would not have been
17	transportation.	17	internal document that would not have been
	transportation. A. This is a tanker owner operating in	17	shared?
17	•		
17 18	A. This is a tanker owner operating in the Baltic mainly and the North Sea coast area, owning tankers and operate them, and having a	18	shared?
17 18 19	A. This is a tanker owner operating in the Baltic mainly and the North Sea coast area, owning tankers and operate them, and having a fuel oil purchasing department which were grown	18 19 20 21	shared? A. That's correct.
17 18 19 20	A. This is a tanker owner operating in the Baltic mainly and the North Sea coast area, owning tankers and operate them, and having a fuel oil purchasing department which were grown into also a trading department offering their	18 19 20 21 22	shared? A. That's correct. Q. Let's take a look at a document
17 18 19 20 21	A. This is a tanker owner operating in the Baltic mainly and the North Sea coast area, owning tankers and operate them, and having a fuel oil purchasing department which were grown into also a trading department offering their services to external other shipowners.	18 19 20 21	shared? A. That's correct. Q. Let's take a look at a document that's been marked as Exhibit 48, this is Bates number HPL-USOT 154 through 159. Do you recall if you looked at an
17 18 19 20 21 22	A. This is a tanker owner operating in the Baltic mainly and the North Sea coast area, owning tankers and operate them, and having a fuel oil purchasing department which were grown into also a trading department offering their	18 19 20 21 22	shared? A. That's correct. Q. Let's take a look at a document that's been marked as Exhibit 48, this is Bates number HPL-USOT 154 through 159.

	Page 154		Page 156
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Q. I believe we discussed a bit about	2	whether that was a preexisting stamp or if you
3	Norton Lilly and Oiltest, is that correct?	3	had done it.
4	A. Yes.	4	MR. FERNANDEZ: We had done it.
5	Q. If I understand correctly, Norton	5	MR. HEILIG: There's no testimony
6	Lilly was the local agent at the port?	6	to mark as confidential.
7	A. That's right.	7	Q. Earlier we discussed a bit about
8	Q. And Oiltest was the surveyor	8	what would happen if a claim were to arise in a
9	appointed to essentially run quality control on	9	particular transaction.
10	the fuel specs?	10	Would a claim arise based on the
11	A. Yes, and quality.	11	survey conducted by Oiltest, for example?
12	Q. What would be the purpose of the	12	A. For example, yes.
13	email at pages 154 to 155?	13	Q. Let's take a look at a document
14	A. To inform the local agent, the	14	that's been marked as Exhibit Number 50, this is
15	vessel, the stowage planners, as well as the	15	Bates number HPL-USOT 160 through 162. Do you
16	attending surveyor about this order we did.	16	recognize these documents?
17	Q. So it's really logistics and	17	A. Yes.
18	coordinating the physical supplier at the port?	18	Q. Page 161, is this a fuel survey
19	A. It's only logistics.	19	report submitted by Oiltest to Hapag-Lloyd for
20	Q. Neither Norton Lilly nor Oiltest	20	the SANTA ROBERTA transaction?
21	plays any part in the negotiation or the	21	A. Yes.
22	formation of a contract for the purchase of fuel	22	Q. So the fuel surveyor would have
23	oil?	23	completed the document on page 161?
24	A. No.	24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Do you know whether Hapag's	25	Q. Page 162 we have a photograph which
	Page 155		Page 157
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	relationship with Norton Lilly is governed by	2	appears to be a photograph of the samples taken?
3	written contracts?	3	A. That's right.
4	A. I'm not involved in that business,	4	Q. From the fuel?
5	but there must be a contract onboard, otherwise	5	A. Yes.
6	they won't work for us.	6	Q. Does Hapag-Lloyd require a
7	Q. Let's look at a document that has	7	photograph of the samples to I guess demonstrate
8	been marked as Exhibit 49, Bates stamps HPL-USOT	8	their existence?
9	285 through 309.	9	A. Their integrity, right.
10	Sir, have you seen this document	10	Q. Would these documents be the type
11	before today?	11	of documents to notify Hapag of a potential
12	A. No.	12	claim based on the specifications of the fuel?
13	Q. I take it then it's fair to say you	13	A. Yes.
14	did not play any part in drafting or negotiating	14	Q. If a claim were presented by
15	this document?	15	Hapag-Lloyd, I believe you testified that it
16	A. That's correct.	16	would be presented to O.W. Germany?
17	Q. And you're not familiar with its	17	A. Yes.
18	terms?	18	Q. And to no one else?
19	A. No.	19	A. Right.
20	Q. Well then we'll move on.	20	Q. And Hapag-Lloyd didn't care what
21	MR. FERNANDEZ: Just for the	21	happened downstream of O.W. Germany with respect
22	record, what you marked as Exhibit 49 has been	22	to those claims, correct?
23	marked confidential pursuant to the	23	A. That's right.
24	confidentiality agreement.	24 25	Q. All right. And if it were determined or agreed by the parties that the
25	MR. HEILIG: They should have asked		

	Page 158		Page 160
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	claim was valid, there would be an adjustment in	2	Germany's invoice to Hapag-Lloyd for the SANTA
3	price to O.W. Germany's invoice to Hapag-Lloyd?	3	ROBERTA transaction, correct?
4	A. That's right.	4	A. That's right.
5	Q. Irrespective of whether or not	5	Q. This one is not stamped because it
6	there would be a corresponding reduction or	6	has not yet been entered into Hapag's accounting
7	adjustment in price of the physical supplier's	7	system?
8	invoice to O.W.?	8	A. That's right.
9	A. We have no relation to the physical	9	Q. Looking earlier we looked at the
10	supplier. We are just dealing with O.W.	10	stamped version of the invoice?
11	Germany.	11	A. Yes.
12	Q. This all stems from that issue in	12	Q. And it would have been entered in
13	the '90s where you dealt with the broker who	13	the system?
14	simply washed his hands with the situation, and	14	A. This is depending on where the
15	left Hapag with the recourse?	15	copies are coming from. If the copies are
16	A. Yes.	16	coming out of our system and they had been
17	Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 14. I	17	booked into the system there is a stamp. In
18	believe this was an email from the vessel	18	this case here, this is communication from O.W.
19	attaching the bunker delivery note that was sent	19	Bunker to our bookkeeping department, and at
20	directly to Hapag?	20	that time the invoice has not been booked.
21	A. Yes.	21	Q. The stamp is Hapag's stamp?
22	Q. Would Hapag have also received a	22	A. Yes.
23	copy of the bunker delivery note from O.W.	23	Q. Do you know whether Hapag would
24	Germany at some point?	24	have stamped the copy received by email or would
25	A. It could have been done at some	25	Hapag have waited for the original to arrive by
1	Page 159		Page 161
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	point together with the invoice.	2	courier?
3	Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a	3	A. At that time the accounting
4	document that has been marked as Exhibit 51,	4	department was not allowed to process invoices
5	Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.	5	coming by email. The local taxing authorities
6	The email on page 230 is in German	6	in German were demanding us just to process
7	so I will have to rely on you to translate, or	7	original invoices received and not copies.
8	our trusted translator. Can you describe this	8	Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped
9	email for me?	9	version we looked at earlier was the hard copy
10	A. Yeah. This is a message from	10	received by Hapag-Lloyd?
11	Victoria Bohn who's an administrative worker at	11	A. Yes.
12	O.W. at that time addressing this email to	12	Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 15.
13	Marion Sakowski, who is a manager in our	13	Just remind me again what the German word
14	accounting department saying hello Frau Sakowski	14	translates to?
15	or hello Mrs. Sakowski, attached you receive	15	A. This is the payment notice that
16	invoice and bunker delivery note for the	16	there is money in the pipeline.
17	bunkering of M/V, Motor Vessel SANTA ROBERTA		Q. We looked earlier and saw that the
18	Tacoma on October 9, 2014. The original will	18	SANTA ROBERTA is identified on this document:
19	follow per courier.	19	A. Yes.
20	Q. So Hapag would receive copies of	20	Q. How would Hapag-Lloyd make payment
21	the bunker delivery note and the invoice and the	21	to O.W. Germany, physical payment; was it by
22	original by mail?	22	check or wire payment?
23	A. Yes.	23	A. Wire payment.
24	Q. If we look at the third page,	24	Q. Would this document be issued
25	document number 232, we have a copy of O.W.	25	before or after the actual wire payment was

	Page 198		Page 200
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	2	Q. I'm showing you what's been
3	A. It should have been Captain Grundel	3	produced by your counsel as HPL-USOT page 89,
4	if he was onboard at that time, yes.	4	which appears to be a crew list for the VIENNA
5	Q. According to the crew list he was	5	EXPRESS, which I'm placing before you.
6	the captain?	6	Do you recognize that the name of
7	A. Yes.	7	the chief engineer depicted on that page do
8	Q. At that time, right?	8	you recognize whether the name of the chief
9	A. Yes.	9	engineer is depicted on that page?
10	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	10	A. It looks like the chief engineer,
11	Q. Is the SOFIA EXPRESS still owned by	11	<u> </u>
12	Hapag-Lloyd?	12	Marek Sojda, was the responsible chief engineer for the vessel at that time, and the signature
13	A. Yes.	13	-
			looks like Sojda. I would agree.
14	Q. Is the VIENNA EXPRESS still owned	14	Q. Other than the document that you've
15	by Hapag-Lloyd?	15	reviewed in Germany and here, the testimony that
16	A. Yes.	16	you described, did you review any diaries or
17	Q. I direct your attention to	17	calendar that you may have kept in October of
18	Exhibit 32, to the second page which is marked	18	2014?
19	HPL-USOT 00198. Would you look at the second	19	A. No.
20	page please, sir?	20	Q. In preparation for your testimony
21	Is that the bunker delivery receipt	21	today?
22	for the VIENNA EXPRESS?	22	A. No.
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. At the time, in October of 2014,
24	Q. Is it your understanding that the	24	did you have a practice of maintaining a
25	chief engineer of the VIENNA EXPRESS signed tha	t 25	notebook or a diary of the work that was going
	Page 199		Page 201
1	Page 199 Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Page 201 Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
1 2		1 2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)		
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department?
2 3	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No.
2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have
2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as
2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a
2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd. Q. And it bears the stamp also on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd. Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd. Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I think this is part also of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd. Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the course of your duties as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I think this is part also of our terms and conditions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd. Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the course of your duties as the director of purchasing, you're use to seeing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I think this is part also of our terms and conditions. Q. Prior to the O.W. bankruptcy, did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd. Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the course of your duties as the director of purchasing, you're use to seeing stamps of the vessel and Hapag-Lloyd on these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I think this is part also of our terms and conditions. Q. Prior to the O.W. bankruptcy, did you have any experience with any seller or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd. Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the course of your duties as the director of purchasing, you're use to seeing stamps of the vessel and Hapag-Lloyd on these bunker delivery receipts?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I think this is part also of our terms and conditions. Q. Prior to the O.W. bankruptcy, did you have any experience with any seller or supplier claiming that they had a lien against a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd. Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the course of your duties as the director of purchasing, you're use to seeing stamps of the vessel and Hapag-Lloyd on these bunker delivery receipts? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I think this is part also of our terms and conditions. Q. Prior to the O.W. bankruptcy, did you have any experience with any seller or supplier claiming that they had a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel for non-payment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd. Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the course of your duties as the director of purchasing, you're use to seeing stamps of the vessel and Hapag-Lloyd on these bunker delivery receipts?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I think this is part also of our terms and conditions. Q. Prior to the O.W. bankruptcy, did you have any experience with any seller or supplier claiming that they had a lien against a

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

	Page 210		Page 212
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Lilly to assist you with?	2	A. Yes, it contains much more
3	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the	3	paperwork.
4	form.	4	Q. Than Exhibit 17?
5	MR. MALONEY: Objection to the	5	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the
6	form.	6	form.
7	MR. HEILIG: Objection.	7	Q. Does it contain the additional
8	A. Yes.	8	pages of the time charter produced by your
9	Q. Would you look at paragraph 19. Do	9	attorneys, which are omitted from Exhibit 17?
10	you see at the end of the second sentence in	10	A. I don't know.
11	that paragraph you say:	11	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.
12	"Additionally, execution of the	12	Q. Would you look at the document
13	bunker delivery receipt simply acknowledged	13	please?
14	receipt of the fuel as to volume and delivery	14	MR. DEHART: Can we note on the
15	temperature only and did not ratify performance	15	record that this document has been designated as
16	of USOT concerning delivery of fuel."	16	confidential?
17	Do you see that?	17	MR. KEOUGH: Yes.
18	A. Yes.	18	A. That's a lot of paperwork in behind
19	Q. What did you mean by "ratify	19	stating clauses to the Charter Party.
20	performance of USOT concerning delivery of	20	MR. KEOUGH: Off the record.
21	fuel"?	21	(Off the record)
22	A. That we just confirm the quality,	22	Q. Is it correct that what's been
23	correction, the volume, the delivered volume and	23	marked as Exhibit 53 contains the Bates numbers
24	the delivered temperature, and that the final	24	HPL-USOT 201 through 241, is that right?
25	metric tons which will be invoiced later by the	25	A. Yes.
	Page 211		Page 213
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	seller, O.W., will be calculated based on the	2	Q. You understand this is a document
3	analyzed product entity which comes later.	3	produced by your attorneys in this case for
4	Q. That quality analysis that you	4	Hapag-Lloyd?
5	described?	5	A. No.
6	A. Yes.	6	MR. KEOUGH: I'll ask counsel if
7	MR. KEOUGH: Let's mark this as	7	he's prepared to stipulate to that effect?
8	Exhibit 53 and 54.	8	MR. FERNANDEZ: I'll take it under
9	(Kock Exhibit 53, Time Charter with	9	advisement.
10	attachments, Bates stamped HPL-USOT 201 through	10	MR. KEOUGH: Thank you.
11	241, marked for identification.)	11	Q. Would you please place Exhibit 54
12	(Kock Exhibit 54, Time Charter,	12	in front of the witness. Showing you that
13	Bates stamped HPL-USOT 242 through 284, marked	13	document, do you recognize that as a copy of a
14	for identification.)	14	time charter produced by your counsel, which
15	Q. I'm showing you what's been marked	15	bears the Bates numbers HPL-USOT 242 through
16	as Exhibit 53. Do you recognize that as the	16	284?
17	time charter produced by your counsel in the	17	A. But I can't identify who was issued
18	case that is similar to Exhibit 17, but it	18	this document here, because it's not my
19	contains the riders and additional pages that	19	business.
20	are lacking from Exhibit 17?	20	MR. KEOUGH: That appears to be a
21	A. Our department is not involved in	21	time charter for the SANTA ROBERTA. Exhibit 53
22	negotiating charter parties.	22	was a time charter for the SEASPAN HAMBURG.
23	Q. I'm just asking you if you	23	MR. FERNANDEZ: Also marked
24	recognize this exhibit as a more complete	24	confidential for purposes of this litigation.
25	document?	25	MR. KEOUGH: Yes, I take it it is.